b ey }kjk

Site inspection report cum scrutiny comments on examination of Review of Mining plan including PMCP of Bitkuli Limestone mines 7.578 ha near , village – Bitkuli ,District- Baloda Bazaar. submitted under rule 17(2) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017 on behalf of M/s Panchmukhi Enterprises. Inspection was carried out by Shri J.S Hada AMG on 3/3/2017.

1 Title of Document may be changed to Review of Mining plan through out the text part and accordingly rules under which document is submitted may be changed.
2 Mine code 38CHG14013 also needs to be mentioned on cover page and at para 3.2
3 Para 2.7, name of all partners of firm with address and phone , fax , email needs to be given.
4 Para 3.10- DGPS Co-ordinates authenticated by state government for all boundary pillars needs to be given under this para .
5 Para 5.6- in review complete data upto financial year 2016-17 may be incorporated in all heads.
6 Para 5.21 , Financial assurance details of earlier BG needs to be given only.
7 Para 5.22 – Details about violation pointed out and its compliance with letter reference has not been given correctly . During last five years violation on 7/6/2012, 15/4/2014, 23/11/2014 & 26/7/2016 pointed out for various rules. Violations and its compliance should be given correctly.
8 Mining- The yearwise development & production proposal given in para 7.2.1 & 7.2.2 is not supported by calculation. The year wise location and area of excavation , RL between workings is proposed needs to be given under para 7.16.
9 Para 7.22 , the ultimate pit profile , size of pits length, width & depth needs to be mentioned.
10 Para 13.2 . only applicable employment list needs to be given.
11 Para 14.3.9- Financial assurance to be calculated @ Rs 3.0 lakhs per hects area to be put to use.
12 This being a working mines , Economical axis of Mineral reserve should have been substantiated with average cost of production and PMV value of Mineral for last three years .
13 All plates should have been signed with date.
14 Name of regulation and rules to be corrected on all plates.
15 Development and production sections (plate no-8)- Projected mine planning should have been planed on already prepared geological sections. Moreover the nomenclature used for geological section should be same while projecting mine planning.
16 Proposed section AA’ is not feasible, needs to be corrected.
17 Conceptual plan 9- conceptual stage pit profile , conceptual stage benches ,Ultimate pit slope and bottom RL are not shown on plan and section.