# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

#### Gandhinagar regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/RJS/LEAD-ZINC-COPPER-1 Mine code : 34RAJ27001

(i) Name of the Inspecting : GQ21 ) DATA RAM GURJAR

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Dr. Sandeep Rajput, Geologist

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 20-DEC-22
(v) Prev.inspection date : 04-MAR-22

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : RAJPURA DARIBA

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/421/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Underground

(e) Postal address

State : RAJASTHAN

District : RAJSAMAND

Village : DARIBA

Taluka : RAILMAGRA

Post office : DARIBA

Pin Code : 313211

FAX No. : 02952-65143

E-mail : arun.mishra@vedanta.co.in

Phone : 0294-2421420

(f) Police Station : Railmagra
(g) First opening date : 28-DEC-70

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : RAJPURA-DARIBA

correspondance DISTRICT-RAJSAMAND (RAJ.)

PIN-313211

3. (a) Lease Number : RAJ0654 (b) Lease area : 1142.2

(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 12-MAY-60

4. Mineral worked : COPPER ORE Associated

LEAD & ZINC ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Hindustan Zinc Ltd

Yashad Bhavan Udaipur UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone:

FAX :

Owner : Sri Arun Mishra

Yashad Bhavan, Udaipur Rajasthan UDAIPUR

RAJASTHAN Phone:

FAX : 0294-2412545

Agent : Nathu Singh Choudhary

Rajpura Dariba Mine, PO-Dariba, Tehsil-Railmagra RAJSAMAND RAJASTHAN Phone: 02952-265164

FAX : 0295-2265143

Mining Engineer

Name : Abhimanyu Singh Ogra, Full Time

Qualification : BE Mining

Appointment/: 07-APR-21 14-APR-22

Termination date

Mining Engineer

Name : Bharat Kumar Gaikwad, Full Time

Qualification : BE Mining Appointment/ : 14-APR-22

Termination date

Mining Engineer

Name : Pushpendra Singh Dhabhai, Full Time

Qualification : B Tech Mining & FCC-UR

Appointment/: 06-JUN-19 07-APR-21

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Dr. Sandeep Kumar Rajput, Full Time

Qualification : Ph.D., N.E.T Appointment/ : 15-JUN-20

Termination date

Manager

Name : Bharat Kumar Gaikwad

Qualification : BE (Mining)
Appointment/ : 14-APR-22

Termination date

Manager

Name : Pushpendra Singh Dhaibhai Qualification : B Tech Mining & FCC UR

Appointment/: 06-JUN-19 07-APR-21

Termination date

Manager

: Abhimanyu Singh Ogra Name

Qualification : BE Mining.

Appointment/ : 07-APR-21 14-APR-22 Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Plan/Scheme of Mining

Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	12-DEC-00
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	19-AUG-05
Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960	26-MAY-09
Modif.approved Mining Scheme	26-MAY-09
Modif.of approved Mining Plan	19-OCT-12
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	22-JUL-15
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016	26-SEP-17
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016	23-JAN-18
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016	10-MAY-19
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016	26-JUN-20
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016	27-JUL-21

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	A total of 150 BHs with 29000 mts of drilling was proposed during the year 2020-21.	A total of 98 BHs with 27655.20 mts of drilling was carried out during the year 2020-21.	Violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 issued for shortfall in exploration vide letter dated 16/07/2021 during previous inspection.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	A total of 136 BHs with 29000 mts of drilling was proposed during the year 2021-22.	A total of 164 BHs with 39230 mts of drilling was carried out during the year 2021-22.	Nil
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Agency: M/s TDMA Gurgaon. No proposal on expenditure.	Agency: M/s TDMA and SMSL. Expenditure: Around Rs. 2010.07 lakh rupees	Nil
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	It is not surfacial deposit, it is vein type/lens type deposit, exploration drilling proposed to prove depth continuity of meinreal.	Exploration has been carried out as par proposal during the year 2021-22.	Nil
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	No specific proposal given for balance reserve.	As on 01.04.2022 Reserve-28.91 million tons Resources-38.86 million tons.	As submitted in annual return for FY 2021-22.
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			No issue observed related to Geology & Exploration.
Deve	elopment :			
Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	Development has been proposed for North Lode, East Lode, Main Lode and South Lode at various levels. A total of 11000 mts of development was proposed during the year 2021-22.	Development has been carried out in North Lode, East Lode, Main Lode and South Lode during the RY, a total development of 8628 mts has been carried out during the RY 2021-22.	Due to shortfall in U/G mine development during the year 2021-22, violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 17.01.2023.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Not applicable as mining operations was proposed through UG Mining Method.	Not applicable.	NIL.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Not applicable as mining operations was proposed through UG Mining Method.	Not applicable.	NIL.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	top soil	No top soil has been generated.	NIL.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	OB generation	Not applicable as no proposal.	NIL.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	Development has been proposed through UG mining method.	The UG mechanised method has been adopted for carrying out mining operations in the lease area which is best suited to the type of deposit.	Due to shortfall in U/G mine development during the year 2021-22, violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 17.01.2023.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Year 2021-22: 1550000 T	Year 2021-22: 1252365 T	Nil
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	Year 2021-22: 1550000 T	Year 2021-22: 1252365 T	Nil
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation		Entire ROM is subject to beneficiation. A quantity of 897311 tonnes (mill tailing) has been reported as mineral reject.	Nil
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.		0.84% (Pb+Zn) (as per annual return).	Mill tailing has been reported as mineral reject.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No proposal of Sub-grade generation.	Not applicable.	NIL.
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not applicable as no proposal.	Not applicable.	NIL.
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	No proposal of segregation as entire ROM is subject to beneficiation.	ROM was beneficiated.	NIL.
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposal of any fresh beneficiation study.	Beneficiation of ROM is being carried out as per proposal.	Beneficiation facility of 1.2 mtpa is already in place within lease boundary.
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.

31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	being an underground	Not applicable.	NIL.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	7.09 Hect.	7.09 Hect.	Nil
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	being an	Not applicable.	NIL.
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Total area of 359.23 Hect. has been proposed to put in use at the end of 2024-25.	An area of 244.53 Ha. has been utilized at the end of the year 2021-22.	As per AR 2021-22.
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2016-17: 950000 2017-18: 1000000 2018-19: 1200000 2019-20: 1500000 2020-21: 1500000 2021-22: 1550000 2022-23: 2000000	2016-17: 745532 2017-18: 895568 2018-19: 1079955 2019-20: 1037607 2020-21: 1215171 2021-22: 1118959 2021-22: 895377 (till Nov-22)	All figures in tonnes
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	Underground method of mining has been proposed.	The Method of mining is suitable to the type of deposit.	The mine had been developed by UG method which is best suited to the type of deposit. No issue observed related method of mining.

### Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
--------	------	-----------	-------------	---------

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	top soil and	Top soil has not been generated during the reporting year. Waste generated during the year has been disposed in stoped out area. Mineral reject/ Mill tailing has been disposed in tailing dam.	Mill tailing of 897311 T has been reported as Mineral reject.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	top soil and	Top soil has not been generated during the reporting year. Waste generated during the year has been disposed in stoped out area. Mineral reject/ Mill tailing has been disposed in tailing dam.	Mill tailing has been reported as Mineral reject.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	within lease	One waste dump within lease area. No waste dump out side lease area.	Nil
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	One waste dump.	One waste dump.	NIL.
4f	Number of dead dumps.	No proposal.	Nil	Nil
4g	Number of dumps established.	No proposal.	Not applicable.	NIL.
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	No proposal.	Retaining wall and garland drain observed at necessary places along the dump.	NIL.
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	No proposal during the year 2021-22.	Not applicable.	NIL.
4 j	Number of settling ponds	No proposal of settling ponds.	Not applicable.	NIL.
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	U/G Mine development waste was proposed to dispose in UG stopes.	U/G mine development waste generated during the year was disposed as per proposal.	No issue related to waste dumping.

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	in stope waste	In stope waste dumping was observed after full extraction of mineral.	NIL.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No specific proposal on area of backfilling. A quantity of 516667 CuM of waste was proposed to dispose in UG stopes during the year 2021-22.	Waste quantity of 446572 CuM has been dispose in UG stopes during the year 2020-21.	Nil
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No proposal of top soil generation during reporting year.	No top soil has been generated during the year.	NIL.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No proposal of full reclamation and or rehabilitation during reporting year.	Not applicable.	NIL.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	<pre>in stope waste dumping after full</pre>	In stope waste dumping was observed after full extraction of mineral.	No issue related to backfilling or waste disposal.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	As per rule 26(2) of MCDR, 2017, PMCP report to be submitted for previous year by 1st July.	- <b>L</b>	NIL.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No specific proposal.	Not applicable	Nil

6c	afforestation done (ha).	Year 2021-22: 1.5 hect. virgin area & 2 hect. Gap Filling	Year 2021-22: 1.5 hect. virgin area & 1 hect. Gap Filling	During the year 2021-22, plantation was proposed over an area 3.5 hect.(3500 nos.) whereas actual plantation has been carried out over an area 2.5 hect (2500nos.), hence violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 17.01.2023.
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	3000 nos. saplings during the year 2020-21.	2500 nos. saplings during the year 2020-21.	During the year 2021-22, plantation was proposed over an area 3.5 hect.(3500 nos.) whereas actual plantation has been carried out over an area 2.5 hect (2500nos.), hence violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 17.01.2023.
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	No specific proposal given in this regard.	242500 nos. at the end of year 2021-22.	Nil
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation		No other method of rehabilitation was observed.	NIL.
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	No proposal on cost of watch and care during the year.	Approximately Rs. 16877000	Nil
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	2021-22: 5,16,667 m3	2021-22: 4,17,455 m3	Nil

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	2021-22: 5,16,667 m3	2021-22: 4,46,572 m3	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not applicable being an underground mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	No any other specific proposal.	Not applicable.	NIL.
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Total 3500 number of saplings during the year 2021-22.	Total 2500 number of saplings planted during the year 2021-22.	NIL.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	hectare	A total of 2.5 hectare area was planted during the year 2021-22.	Nil
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	Plantation.	Plantation.	NIL.
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Proposal of environment monitoring has been envisaged.	Environment monitoring was carried out and found within permissible limit.	Few sample checks have been carried out.

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

During the year 2021-22, plantation was proposed over an area 3.5 hect.(3500 nos.) whereas actual plantation has been carried out over an area 2.5 hect (2500nos.), hence violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 17.01.2023.

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	No specific proposal of grade wise sorting of ROM.	Entire ROM ore is being transported/dispatched to Ore beneficiation plant.	NIL.
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Beneficiation: Mechanical.	Beneficiation: Mechanical.	NIL.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No proposal.	Not applicable.	NIL.
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	1.2 MTPA Beneficiation plant has been installed within the lease area. The process includes mainly crushing and grinding of ROM, Classification , differential flotation cell etc.	Beneficiation of ROM was observed.	Nil

7e General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues

No issues related to mineral conservation and beneficiation.

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	top soil	Top soil has not been generated.	NIL.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not applicable as no proposal of top soil generation.	Not applicable.	NIL.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Reject and fines generation.	Reject and fines has not been generated during the year. Waste has been disposed as per proposal. Mill tailing was disposed in tailing pond.	Nil
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Waste rock is proposed to dispose in stopped out area.	UG stoped out area has been utilized for waste dumping/backfilling purpose.	NIL.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal as it is UG mine.	Not applicable.	NIL.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Plantation of 3500 nos. of plants over 3.5 Ha. area.	Plantation of 2500 nos. of plants over 2.5 ha area.	NIL.

8g	Survival rate	No proposal on survival rate.	Survival rate was 85%.	As per AR 2021-22.
8h	on roads to	air born dust	Water sprinkling has been observed on roads to control airborne dust due to mining activity.	NIL.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			The aesthetic beauty in and around the mine is satisfactory.

# Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	As per rule 45 of MCDR 2017, monthly and annual returns to be submitted.	Monthly and annual returns have been submitted for reporting year.	Timely submission of returns.
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Information on Mining Engineer, Manager and geologist was furnished.	Mine Manger, Mining engineer and Geologist are appointed & detail found correct.	Nil
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	been furnished under different	Seems corrected.	Nil
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	2500 no. of plants with 85% survival rate	Information furnished seems corrected.	Nil
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	897311 MT Pb - 0.20% Zn - 0.64%	The quantity of Mineral Reject furnished was actually the quantity of mill tailing.	Nil
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore		The information furnished found in order.	NIL.

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	& Cos of production per ton: Rs. 5937	Seems corrected	Nil
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	asset is	Found in order.	Nil
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	_	Details appears to be correct.	NIL.

PAGE: 16

# Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violation	n observed	Show couse position	
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on
MCDR17 Rule 11(1)	17-JAN-23		
MCDR17 Rule 31(4)	17-JAN-23		

Date : (DATA RAM GURJAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines