INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Inspection under SDF for star rating of mines REPORT

Bangalore regional office

| | Mine : | file No : KNT/BLR/FE/5 | 52/1 | BNG | Mine | e code | : | 30KAR03035 | , |
|----|--|--|------|--|----------|--------|---|------------|---|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | A101) ARUN KUMAR | | | | | |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Deputy Controller Mines | | | | | |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Y.V.RamaKrishna Reddy- Min | es Mai | nager | | | |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 09/12/2020 | | | | | |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 24/10/2019 | | | | | |
| | | PA | RT- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION | | | | | |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | KARADIKOLLA SURESH | | | | | |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/243/2011 | | | | | |
| | (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest | | A Fully Mechanised Opencast KARNATAKA BELLARY KARADIKOLLA SURESH HOSPET KARIGANUR 08394-226853 (F),pbsandson pbsandson@gmail.com. 08394-228332 (O),897120039 HOSPET 05/09/1953 SUN | n(): | | | | |
| 2. | Addre | ess for espondance | : | <pre>M/s P. BALASUBBA SETTY & P.B.No. 3, Hampi Road, HOSPET, Bellary -583201</pre> | SON | | | | |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | :: | KAR0323 44.6 20 22/01/2006 | | | | | |
| 4. | Mineı | ral worked | : | IRON ORE Main | 1 | | | | |

| 5. | Name and Address of t | he | | |
|----|--|-----------|--|----------------|
| | Lessee | : | P.B.SETTY & SONS | |
| | | | D.No-2078, P.B.No-03 22nd Ward, J.P.Nagar Bellary Road, Hospet BELLARY | |
| | | | KARNATAKA Phone:08304_265605_265366 | |
| | | | FAX : 08394 - 265355 | |
| | | | TAK .00591 205555 | |
| | Owner | : | P.V.Prakash, M.Partner of M/s P.B. Setty & So | n |
| | | | D.No-2078, P.B.No-03, 22nd ward, J.P.Nagar, Bellary Road Hospet BELLARY KARNATAKA | |
| | | | Phone: 08394-265595, 2 | |
| | | | FAX : 08394-265355 | |
| | Mining Engineer | | | |
| | Name | : | J.Vijay Narasihulu,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | BE, Mining | |
| | Appointment/ Termination dat | : ce | 01/08/2017 | |
| | Geologist | | | |
| | Name | : | N. Shiva Prasad.,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | M.sc Geology | |
| | Appointment/ Termination dat | : e | | |
| | Manager | | | |
| | Name | : | Y.V.Ramakrishna Reddy | |
| | Qualification | : | Dip. in Mining | |
| | Appointment/ Termination dat | : ce | 01/12/2011 | |
| 6. | Date of approval of M Plan/Scheme of Mining | lini 9 | ng : Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 12/04 07/02 |

| б. | Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining | : | Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 Modif.of approved Mining Plan Modif.of approved Mining Plan Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.approved Mining Scheme MS modif under MCR 1960 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 | 12/04/1991 07/02/1996 26/06/2001 20/12/2002 23/03/2007 27/06/2008 11/01/2013 27/08/2015 27/11/2017 |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | | | MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 | 13/07/2018 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|--|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No proposal | Nil | 10 cores bore holes drilled to a total meterage of 523 and 10 No. of pits by 100 X 100 grid intervals in the FY 2018-19. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G1 & G2 | G1:7.19 ha G2:3.62ha. | Mineralized area : 13.87 ha, Non mineralized area: 28.23 ha |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Nil | Not applicable | Geo Tech exploration, Nagpur and expenditure in 20 lakhs rupees approximately in the year 2018-19. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No proposal | Nil | Non mineralized area: 28.23 ha |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Nil | 14.60 Million Tones with average grade of 63& Fe & 5% (SiO2+Al2O3) | As per annual returns for the FY - 2019-20 |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | 10 cores bore holes drilled to a total meterage of 523 and 10 No. of pits by 100 X 100 grid intervals in the FY 2018-19. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | Proposed development : N1683675 to N 1684030, E653480 to E653900 | Actual Development : N1683720 to N 1684030, E653500 to E653887 in the Southern Side w.r.t Lease area. | ROM stacked over the proposed production & development area,causing hindrance for the movement of benches & production.Clarifi cation sought |

| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | There is no separate benches for OB & mineral | Combined benches for OB & mineral (6 benches) | No generation of topsoil, working in broken up area |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.80 | 1:0.80 | No change |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | Nil | No generation of top soil |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 660818 tones | 661000 tones | BD : 2.5 |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Development of the benches was done in the direction as per proposal. There is no generation of top soil as the workings are in broken up area. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Single pit , divided into 2 section as eastern and western sections proposed | Working in Single pit , divided into 2 section as eastern and western sections | Approximate dimension : L: 450 m , W: 140 m, D : 48 m |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 0.825MMT | 0.825MMT | Nil |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | Nil |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposal | 72460 Tones below 45% Fe | Low grade blended with high grade ore to meet the market demand |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | + 45% Fe for hematite Iron Ore & +35% Fe for Heamatatic siliceous ore | Nil | Nil |

| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanized Method proposed for segregation from ROM | Mechanized Method adopted for segregating from ROM | Nil |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No Proposal | No Such Beneficiation study carried out | Only dry crushing and screening. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches is made . | Drilling and blasting adopted in mineral benches with 2 no. of 115 mm wagon drilling and slurry Explosives. | Slurry explosive booster in conjunction with ANFO |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Excavator tipper combination proposed | Mining carried out with the help of Excavator tipper combination | One drill, 5 excavators and 11 tippers in operation on the date of inspection. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Benches of 8 m. height & 10m width proposed | Benches height of 8 m. & bench width of 8 m. is maintained in most of the places in mine and suitable for mining. | Nil |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 14.82 ha proposed under mining pit at the end of the plan period. | 14.064ha at the end of reporting year | Nil |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.80 | 1:0.80 | Nil |

| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Mining Pit- 14.82ha, Waste dumps- 13.96 ha, mineral storage - 3.25ha, Infrastructure - 0.20 ha, mineral separation - 0.02ha, Roads - 0.75ha, others (specify) - 8.85ha. | Mining Pit-14.064ha, Waste dumps-14.716ha. Infrastructure, road and plant0.970 ha. Recl / Rehab:-5.360 ha. Virgin area 1.300 Ha. Others- 5.690Ha. | Nil |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2015-16: 480000 2016-17: 480000 2017-18: 480000 2018-19: 825000 2019-20: 825000 2020-21: 825000 | 2015-16: 4,78,850 2016-17: 4,80,000 2017-18: 4,80,000 2018-19: 8,25,000 2019-20: 8,25,000 2020-21: 5,72,000 (upto 30th Nov-2020) | All unit in Tons. Actual production within the propose limit |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Opencast methods of mining were carried out in single pit with stripping ratio of 1: 0.8 with drilling & blasting & use of excavator tipper combination. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping of OB proposed | OB is dumped separately | Nil |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Location of OB: Northing - 1684450 to 1684020, Easting - 653680 to 654000 | Actual Location of OB : Northing - 1684450 to 1684010, Easting - 653736 to 653994 | Nil |

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | With in mining lease -2 no. of dumps, outside mining lease nil. | One number of active dump within the lease,OML-Nil | Nil |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dump proposed within lease area and outside of the ultimate pit limit. | Maintaining waste dump within lease area and outside of the ultimate pit limit. | Nil |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | One number of active dump within the lease proposed | One number of active dump within the lease maintained | Nil |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | lno. dead dump | one dead dump | Nil |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | Inactive portion of dump concurrently afforested | Partially Stabilized at Active dump | Stabilized by coir matting |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Retaining wall and garland drain proposed all along dumps | Retaining wall and garland drain constructed along the dumps | Constructed as per approved R&R plan. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | 1000 m. of Retaining wall 1000 m of garland drain. | 960 m. of Retaining wall 960 m garland drain. | Clarification sought for not constructing 40 mts of RW & GD |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Waste dump management was satisfactory.Waste dumping carried as per the proposal |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal | Nil | Mineral is not exhausted in the working pit and back filling is not necessary as of now |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|--|-------------|-----|--|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Mineral is not exhausted in the working pit and back filling is not necessary as of now |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted before 1st july of every year. | AR on PMCP submitted. | Submitted on date 29/07/2019. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 0.85 ha | 0.85 ha | Nil |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | 0.85 ha | 0.90 ha | Nil |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 1360 saplings proposed | 1450 saplings planted | Nil |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | 6,06,405 saplings | 6,06,500 saplings | Nil |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| бg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Rs. 10,00,000/- proposed | Rs.15,28,809/- incurred | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Environmental monitoring proposed in core and buffer zone. | Monitoring of ambient air quality, water quality, noise and soil testing done on monthly basis. Environmental parameters observed with in the permissible limits. | Environmental monitoring is carried out by mineral engineering services, Ballari. |

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. PMCP activities were carried out as per the proposal

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade wise sorting of ROM proposed by dry crushing and screening. | Found to be as per proposal | Fines : 0 to 10mm, Lumps : +10 to 40mm. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanical method proposed for grade wise sorting | Mechanical method adopted for grade wise sorting. | Nil |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Lumps: 58% to 60% Fe,60 to 62% fe, 62% to 65% Fe. Fines: below 55%fe, 60 to 62% fe, 62 to 65% fe and 65% fe above. | Lumps: 58% to 60% Fe, 60 to 62% fe, 62% to 65% Fe. Fines: below 55%fe, 60 to 62% fe, 62 to 65% fe and 65% fe above. | Nil |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | Dry crushing & screening plant for sizing & blending of ore. | Dry crushing and screening and blending. |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | Mineral conservation were taken care. Low grade ore below 45% Fe were blended with highgrade to meetthe market requirement |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | No generation of top soil, working in broken up area. |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dump for OB proposed. | Maintained separate dumps for OB at earmarked location. | OB dumped separately at earmarked location. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | OB as waste proposed to be used for back filling in the conceptual stage of mining. | Nil | Mineral not exhausted |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Will be complied during FMCP | Nil | Nil |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Proposed -1360 Nos. of saplings | Actual planted: :2380 nos. saplings(including dump, gap plantation and avenue plantation) 3700nos. of agave are additionally planted and 120no. outside lease area | Nil |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified | 81% | Nil |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water sprinkling on haul roads proposed to control the air bone dust. | Regular water sprinkling is done on haul roads by water tankers with the help of mist spray. | Total 5no. of water takers deployed. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Aesthetic beauty in & around the mine area was good as the lessee had carried out afforestation & environmental protection measures as per the proposal |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | M.R. Submitted up to 11-11- 2020 upto Oct- 2020 A.R. Submitted up to 2019-20 | AR submitted on 28-07- 2020 | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mines Manage: Y.V.R.K.Reddy Mining Engineer : J. VijayaNarasihu lu Geologist : N.Siva Prasad, | Same mines officials were present during the course of inspection | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Current working: 14.064 ha. Waste dump: 14.716 ha. Infrastructure : 0.970 ha. Reclm/Rehab: 5.36 ha. Others: 6.99 ha. | Appears to be correct | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | With in Mining Lease : 2380 saplings OML-120 saplings | Appears to be correct | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | 72460 tones | Low grade generated below 45% Fe was blended with high grade to meet the market requirement | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | ROM : Opening and Closing Stock - Nil, Production : 825000 tones, Dump working : opening stock -354442 tonnes and closing stock 298401.520 tonnes(old sub grade stock) | Clarification sought for not showing the production from dump working | |

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Sale value: 2096.00 Rs. / tone. Ex. Mine price : 2096.00 Rs./tone , production cost : 1198.60/ tone | Appears | to | be | correct |
|----|---|--|---------|----|----|---------|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Value of fixed assets: 38,92,64,066/- Including land industrial plant and machinery | Appears | to | be | correct |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Back HOE - 8nos, Front end louders - 9nos, Dumpers - 18 Nos, water tankers - 6nos, drill machine - 2nos, crushers - 2nos. | Appears | to | be | correct |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation | observed | | Show c | ouse position | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance or | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 02/02/2021 | | | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a | 02/02/2021 | | | | |

Date :

(ARUN KUMAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines