INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMEMT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT
Bangalore regional office


1. (a) Mine Name
(b) Registration NO.
(c) Category
(d) Type of Working
(e) Postal address

State : KARNATAKA
District : BELLARY
Village : RAMGHAD
Taluka : SANDUR
Post office
Pin Code
FAX No.
E-mail
Phone
(f) Police Station
(g) First opening date
(h) Weekly day of rest
2. Address for correspondance

SANDUR TALUK
BALLARI DISTRICT, KARANATAKA
3. (a) Lease Number :
(b) Lease area :
(c) Period of lease :
(d) Date of Expiry :

```
5. Name and Address of the
Lessee : JSW STEEL LIMITED 
FAX :
Owner : DR. VINOD NOWAL
DY.MANAGING DIRECTOR, M/S
JSW STEEL LIMITED, NANDI
IRON ORE MINE, BELLARY
BELLARY KARNATAKA
Phone: 9448286155
FAX :
Agent : SUNIL KUMAR SINGH
JSW steel Ltd., Vijayanagar
works, Vidyanagar Po,
Bellari, Karnataka BELLARY
KARNATAKA
Phone: 9449598135
FAX : 08395250132/142
Mining Engineer
Name : Karthik Gujjal,Full Time
Qualification : B.E(Mining)
Appointment/ : 22/02/2021
Termination date
Geologist
Name : Karani Singh,Full Time
Qualification : M.Sc (Geology)
Appointment/ : 16/12/2019
Termination date
Manager
Name : Sundra Raja MV
Qualification : Diploma(Mining)
Appointment/ : 15/06/2020
Termination date
```

| 6. Date of approval of Mining | $: \quad$ MP under $16(1)$ of MCR 2016 | $31 / 05 / 2017$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan/Scheme of Mining |  | MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 | $18 / 02 / 2021$ |

```
PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS
```

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | - |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Nil. | Nil. | 14 RC Boreholes with total depth of 673 m were drilled in the year 19-20. <br> 8 RC Boreholes of depth 410 m are proposed in FY 2223. |
| 1 c | Exploration <br> Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Nil. | Nil. | 14 RC Boreholes were drilled by Rocktech Enterprises |
| 1 d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 7.55 Ha | 7.55 Ha | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} 1-24.70 \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \mathrm{G} 2-0.31 \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \mathrm{G} 3-0.36 \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \text { G4- } 7.19 \mathrm{Ha} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Geological Resources31.02 MMT Minable Reserves26.00 MMT as on 01.04 .22 | ```Geological Resources- 31.02 MMT Minable Reserves-26.00 MMT as on 01.04.22``` | Geological <br> Resources of 32.45 MMT and Minable Reserves of 27.43 MMT was reported as on 12.11 .20 as per the Modification to the Approved Mining Plan) |
| 1 f | ```General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc``` |  |  | 14 RC boreholes were drilled in the year 2019-20. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 a | Location of | E 657721 to | E 657735 to | For year 2021-22 |
|  | development | E 658127 | E 658098 |  |
|  | w.r.t.lease area | N 1671889 to | N 1671910 to |  |
|  |  | N 1672430 | N 1672420 |  |
|  |  | Section- | Section- |  |
|  |  | S1-S1' to S6- | S1-S1' to S6-S6' |  |

2b
Separate benches
Ore Benches-10 Ore Benches-10
Waste-7 7 Waste- 7 overburden and minerals (Rule 15)
Stripping ratio
or ore to OB
ratio
Quantity of
topsoil
generation in m3
Quantity
1: 0.05
1: 0.04
As per field
in Tonnes
in Tonnes
geology
$21,470 \mathrm{~m} 3$
$17,900 \mathrm{~m} 3$

No Topsoil
Generation

No Topsoil
Generation

As per field geology

Development of pit is observed as per the approved proposal.

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | Remarks


| 3h | Manual / <br> Mechanised <br> method adopted <br> for segregating <br> from ROM | Mechanized <br> Method <br> proposed for <br> segregating <br> from ROM | Mechanized Method adopted for segregating from ROM | Dry Crushing and screening 250 TPH. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 i$ | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | No such beneficiation study carried out. | - |
| 3 j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Provision of drilling \& blasting is made in mineral benches. | Drilling \& blasting carried out by combination of slurry and Nonel detonator. | 106 (2) (B) <br> Permission Obtained from DGMS as per MMR 1961. Around 40 \% of the total material handled requires blasting. |
| 3 k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | ```Provision of mining machineries made Excavator- 5 Rock Breaker-1 Tippers - 20, Hydraulic Drill - 1 wheel loader - 5``` | Machineries deployed <br> Excavator- 4 <br> Rock Breaker- 1 <br> Tippers - 14 <br> Hydraulic drill - 1 <br> wheel loader - 5 | - |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | ```Bench width- 8m Bench Height- 8m``` | Bench width- 8m Bench Height-8m | - |
| 3 m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 15.36 Ha (as per the approved mining Plan for Plan Period) | $\begin{aligned} & 15.30 \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \text { (as on } 01.04 .22 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $3 n$ | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 0.05 \\ & \text { in Tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 0.04 \\ & \text { in Tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | As per field geology |


| $30$ | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | ```Total area in the plan period: " Mining- 15.36 на Roads -0.91 Ha Infrastructure - 0.39 Ha " Waste Dumps- 0.70 Ha " Green Belt - 2.28 Ha " R & R structures - 0.11 Ha " Mineral Storage- 2.19 Ha " Others - 10.62 на``` | ```Total area as of 01.04.2022: " Mining- 15.30 Ha " Roads - 0.91 Ha " Infrastructure - 0.39 Ha " Waste Dumps-0.45 Ha " Green Belt - 2.28 Ha " R & R structures - 0.11 Ha " Mineral Storage- 2.17 Ha " Others - 10.95 Ha``` | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | $\begin{aligned} & " 2019-20: \\ & 940,000 \\ & " 2020-21: \\ & 940,000 \\ & " 2021-22: \\ & 940,000 \\ & (\text { in tonnes ) } \end{aligned}$ | " 2019-20: 595,094.6 <br> " 2020-21: 939,800.0 <br> " 2021-22: 940,000.0 <br> (in tonnes) | Mine operations started in 201920. |
| 3 q | ```General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.``` |  |  | Mining is proposed to be carried out and carrying by deploying tipper and excavator combination with dip holes blasting. |
| Solid Waste Management - Dumping: |  |  |  |  |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 4 a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping of overburden is proposed. | Separate dumping of overburden is being carried out. | - |
| 4 b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | ```OB Dump Proposal: E 657638 to E 657709 N 1672880 to N 1 6 7 2 9 7 3``` | OB Dump location: <br> E 657609 to <br> E 657693 <br> N 1672830 to N 1672960 | - |


| 4 c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 1 Active Dump | 1 Active Dump | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Parts of Active Dump are within UPL. | Parts of Active Dump are within UPL. | - |
| 4 e | Number of active and alive dumps. | Active Dump-1 | Active Dump-1 | - |
| 4 f | Number of dead dumps. | Nil | NA | - |
| 4 g | Number of dumps established. | Nil | NA | - |
| 4 h | Whether <br> Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Proposed in Approved R\&R Plan | Constructed as per field Conditions | - |
| $4 i$ | Length of <br> Retaining wall <br> or garland drain <br> all along dumps | Nil | Nil | List of R\& $R$ structures constructed before commencement: <br> Retaining wall: <br> TW1: 340 m <br> TW2: 100m <br> Garland Drain: <br> GD1:447m <br> GD2: 110m. |
| 4 j | Number of settling ponds | Nil | Nil | SST-1 has been constructed before commencement |
| 4 k | Specific <br> comments of <br> inspecting officer on waste dump management |  |  | The contents found to be satisfactory. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lllll}\hline \text { Sl.No. } & \text { Item } & \text { Propasals } & \text { Actual work } & \text { Remarks } \\
\hline \text { 5a } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Status of part } \\
\text { or full } \\
\text { extraction of } \\
\text { mineral from } \\
\text { mined out area } \\
\text { before starting } \\
\text { backfilling. }\end{array} & \text { NIL } & \text { NA } & \begin{array}{l}\text { No area is } \\
\text { completely mined } \\
\text { out during this }\end{array}
$$ \\

plan period.\end{array}\right]\)| 5bArea under <br> backfilling of <br> mined out area |
| :--- |


| 5 c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | NIL | NA | No Topsoil Generation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 d$ | ```Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated``` | NIL | NA | - |
| 5 e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. |  |  | No area has been demineralized therefore, backfilling is not envisaged. |
|  | Progressive Mine | ousre Plan: |  |  |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 6 a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Submission of Annual report on <br> PMCP under <br> rule 23 E (2). | Annual PMCP <br> Report for Year <br> 2021-22 is <br> submitted to <br> IBM Office on 28.06.22 | - |
| 6 b | ```Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .``` | NIL | NA | - |
| 6 c | afforestation done (ha). | Proposed in Safety Zone0.62 Ha | Achieved 0.406 Ha | Safety Zone is completely covered in vegetation; hence plantation along Haulage Road and Magazine area has been carried out. |
| $6 d$ | No. of saplings planted during the year | Proposed in Safety Zone100 | Achieved in <br> lease area - 816 |  |
| $6 e$ | Cumulative no . of plants | - | 981 | In year 2019-20, 500 saplings were planted outside lease area. |
| 6 f | ```Any other method of rehabilitation``` | Implementation of Engineering Measures for Surface Water and Dump <br> Management as per Approved R\&R Plan. | Engineering <br> Measures for Surface <br> Water and Dump <br> Management as per <br> Approved R\&R Plan have been implemented. | - |


| 6 g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not Specified | Cost of Plantation inside the lease area1.42 Lacs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D | Nil | NA |
| $6 i$ | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Nil | NA |
| 6 j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | Nil | NA |
| 6 k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) <br> Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | NA |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | Nil | NA |
| 6 m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation | Nil | NA |
| 6 n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | Nil | NA |


| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | Nil | NA | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Regular <br> Environmental <br> Monitoring of <br> Core and <br> Buffer zone is proposed. | Environmental <br> Monitoring of Core and Buffer zone is being carried out on regular basis. | Monitoring AgencyEcomen Laboratories <br> Parameters which are being <br> Monitored: <br> " Ambient Air <br> Quality <br> " Ambient Noise <br> " Surface Water <br> Quality <br> " Dust Fall <br> Measurement <br> " Noise Monitoring <br> (Personal <br> Exposure) <br> " Stack Monitoring <br> " Personal Dust <br> Monitoring <br> " Fugitive Dust <br> Monitoring <br> " Ground Water <br> Quality |
| 69 | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. |  |  | As pit is not matured for backfilling and $R \& R$. The PMCP and their operation are basically concentrated on green belt development and monitoring of environmental parameters |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade wise <br> sorting <br> proposed <br> within lease <br> area. | Grade wise sorting is done within lease area. | - |
| 7 b | Method of gradewise mineral sorting i.e. manual or | Mechanical | Mechanical | Dry Crushing and Screening Method Plant Capacity250 TPH |


| 7 c | Different grade <br> of mineral <br> sorted out at mines. | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \%>F e ? 55 \% \\ & 60 \%>F e ? 58 \% \\ & 62 \%>F e ? 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No Proposal | No beneficiation process in mines |
| 7 e | General remarks of inspecting <br> officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues |  |  |

Dry screening and crushing is being carried out to get the desired output grade/size of the minerals.

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No Topsoil Generation. | No Topsoil generated during operations. | Proposed Working Area is already broken. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Nil | NA | - |
| 8 c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dump for overburden is proposed. | Separate dumping of overburden is being carried out. | - |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Nil | NA | - |
| 8 e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Nil | NA | - |


| 8 f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Safety Zone is completely covered in Vegetation. <br> Proposed plantation in Safety Zone100 | Plantation along Haulage Road and Magazine area has been carried out. Achieved plantation in 2021-22- 816 Nos. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 g | Survival rate | Not Specified | 95\% | - |
| $8 \mathrm{~h}$ | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water <br> sprinkling on <br> road is <br> proposed to control <br> airborne dust. | Water tankers have been deployed for sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust. | No. of Water <br> Tankers:4 <br> Capacity: <br> 10000 Liter |
| $8 i$ | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area |  |  | Good aesthetic beauty observed in and around the mine office premises. |
| Comp | liance of Rule | $45:$ |  |  |
| Sl. No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 9 a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | M.R. Submitted up to September 2022: <br> Submitted on 08.10 .22 <br> A.R. Submitted up to FY 21-22 Submitted on 28.06.22 | Submitted as per the provision. |  |
| 96 | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining <br> Engineer- <br> Karthik Gujjal <br> Geologist- <br> Karani Singh <br> Shekhawat <br> Manager- <br> Sundra Raja M V | Appear to be correct. |  |


| 9 c | ```Scrutiny of Working: 15.30 Information as verified Annual return on Ha in field. land use pattern Waste Dump: for area under 0.45 Ha pits, reclaimed Infrastructure area, dumps etc. : 1.30 Ha Others: 15.51 Ha Total Area: 32.56 Ha``` |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Plantation $\quad$ Gap filling avenue <br> Annual return on Within Lease - plantation. <br> afforestation 816 nos. <br>   <br>  Survival Rate: <br>  $95 \%$ |
| 9 e | ```Scrutiny of No Mineral Appear to be correct. Annual return on Reject mineral reject Generation generation (Grade and quantity)``` |
| 9 f | Scrutiny of Opening Stock- <br> Annual return on 0.01 T <br> ROM stock and/or Production: <br> graded ore $9,40,000.00 \mathrm{~T}$ <br>  Closing Stock- <br>  0.05 T |
| 9 g | Scrutiny of Lumps Material is being use <br> Annual return on $58 \%>$ Fe? $55 \%-$ for captive consumption <br> sale value, Ex. $1008.0 ? / \mathrm{T}$ of plant. <br> Mine price and $60 \%>\mathrm{Fe} ? 58 \%-$  <br> production cost $1008.0 ? / \mathrm{T}$  <br>  $62 \%>\mathrm{Fe} ? 60 \%-$  <br>  $1008.0 ? / \mathrm{T}$  <br>    <br>  Fines  <br>  $58 \%>\mathrm{Fe} ? 55 \%-$  <br>  $1008.0 ? / \mathrm{T}$  <br>  $60 \%>\mathrm{Fe}$ ? $58 \%-$  <br>  $1008.0 ? / \mathrm{T}$  |
| 9 h | ```Scrutiny of Value of Fixed In order. Annual return on Assets: fixed assets ?. 489360995.0 Depreciation on Fixed Assets: ?. 40058873.0``` |

```
9k
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Scrutiny of & Air & Air Compressor-1 \\
\hline Annual return on & Compressor-1 & Back Hoe Loader- 1 \\
\hline mining & Back Hoe & Crusher-2 \\
\hline machineries & Loader- 1 & Dozer- 1 \\
\hline & Crusher-2 & Motor Grader-1 \\
\hline & Dozer-1 & Rock Drill- 1 \\
\hline & Motor Grader-1 & Tipper-14 \\
\hline & Rock Drill- 1 & Water Tanker (10 kl)-4 \\
\hline & Tipper-14 & Wheel Loader- 4 \\
\hline & Water Tanker & Shovel- 5 \\
\hline & (10 kl)-4 & Jeep/Tractor- 3 \\
\hline & Wheel Loader- & Generator -4 \\
\hline & 4 & Explosive Van- 1 \\
\hline & Shovel- 5 & Water Tanker (1.5 kl)-1 \\
\hline & Jeep/Tractor3 & \\
\hline & Generator -4 & \\
\hline & Explosive Van- & \\
\hline & 1 & \\
\hline & Water Tanker & \\
\hline & (1.5 kl)-1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of <br> violation pointed out |
| :--- |
| Violation observed |

Date :

## (SURESH PRASAD)

Indian Bureau of Mines

