

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

No. TN/VRD/LST-28.MDS

Mine Code: 38TMN30021

C-4-A, Rajaji Bhavan
CGO complex, Besant Nagar
Chennai – 600 090.

To:

Sri R.Palanisamy.
No.202,Sengodampalayam,
Tiruchengode taluk,
Namakkal District.

Dated: .12.2017

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules,2017, in respect of your Pannaimoonradaippu Limestone mine over 2.53.5hect in Pannaimoonradaippu village Tiruchuli taluk ,Virudhunagar district of Tamilnadu State.

Sir,

The following provisions of the MCDR, 2017 was found violated in your above mine during the MCDR inspection by Sri A.S.Sanjeeva Rao, Asst., Mining Geologist on Geologist on 13.9.2017 accompanied by Sri Bharath, your representative .

Rule No	Nature of Violation observed
11(2)	<p><u>Mining operations under mining lease</u> :If the mining operations are not carried out in accordance with the approved or certified mining plan or any information contained in the mining plan is found to be incorrect, misleading or non-compliant with applicable laws including these rules, the competent authority may by order, suspend all or any of the mining operations and permit continuance of only such operations as are required to restore the conditions in the mine as envisaged under the approved or certified mining plan or modified mining plan, for the purpose of restoration to the extent possible.</p> <p>i. The proposals made in the approved mining plan dated8.1.2014 under the para no.5.3, year wise proposed development and production as per table no.31, the production proposed for the year 2015-16 and 2016-17 was 1895tonnes &1775tonnesrespectively.Whereas the actual production reported was 31,363tonnes and 14,035tonnes which shows huge variation and deviation.</p> <p>ii. The plantation proposed as per the afforestation programme under para 44,60no of plantation per year has not been carriedout.</p>
11(4)	<p><u>Mining operations under mining lease</u>: The holder of a mining lease shall submit the mining plan to the competent authority for review at least one hundred and eighty days before the expiry of five years period for which it was approved on the last occasion, for mining operations for a period of five subsequent years.</p>
26(2)	<p><u>Submission of yearly report</u>: The holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent authority authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1 st day of July every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan,and if there is any deviation ,reasons thereof.</p> <p>But, the yearly report as required under rule has not been submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines.</p>
27(2)	<p><u>Financial assurance</u>: Where financial assurance is required to be furnished by the holder of the mining lease under sub-rule (1), such amount of financial assurance shall be submitted to the authorised officer, as the case may be, in the form of a bank guarantee in the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines:</p> <p>Provided that where financial assurance has already been furnished before the commencement of these rules, an amount equal to the difference between the financial assurance due as on the date of notification of these rules and the financial assurance already furnished, shall be furnished to the authorised officer, as the case may be, within a period of ninety days from the date of notification of these rules i.e. 27.2.2017.:</p> <p>The difference amount of Rs.4,00,000/- in the form of bank guarantee has not been submitted to this office till date.</p>

02. The reply sent vide your letter no. nil dated. 24.11.2017 is not satisfactory. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017.

03. You are, therefore, directed to show cause within a period of 30 days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offences.

04. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

(Salil Sandip Kujur)
Deputy Controller of Mines
for Regional Controller of Mines

Copy forwarded for information to :

1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore.
2. The Commissioner, Department of Geology & Mining, Chennai.

(Salil Sandip Kujur)
Deputy Controller of Mines
for Regional Controller of Mines