INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No : ORI/CR/JJP/MCDR-4/BBS14/03/2K Mine code : 110RI19005

(i) Name of the Inspecting: SRM) SHRI SUDIP RANJAN MAZUMDAR

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Senior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. A.K.Patra, Mines Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 25/02/2020
(v) Prev.inspection date : 25/01/2020

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : OSTAPAL

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/173/2011

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ORISSA
District : JAJPUR
Village : GURUJANG
Taluka : SUKINDA
Post office : KALIAPANI

Pin Code :

FAX No. : 06784 - 251782 E-mail : facormines@facor.in

Phone : 06726- 268755

(f) Police Station : Kaliapani
(g) First opening date : 01/12/1985

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : OATAPAL CHROMITE MINE, M/S FACOR LTD

correspondance P.O.KALIAPANI, DIST: JAJPUR

ORISSA-755047

3. (a) Lease Number : ORIO233
(b) Lease area : 72.84
(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 12/08/2035

4. Mineral worked : CHROMITE Main

5. Name and Address of the

M/s.Ferro Alloys Corporation Limited Lessee

> Laxmi Bhawan, Kuans PO & Dist: Bhadrak Odisha

BHADRAK ORISSA

Phone:06784 - 250598 FAX :06784 - 251782

Owner SHRI ROHIT SARAF

> M/s Ferro Alloys Corporation Ltd Laxmi Bhawan, Kuans, Bhadrak Odisha-756100 BHADRAK

ORISSA

Phone: 06784 - 250598 FAX : 06784 - 251782

: RAJESH KUMAR SINGH Agent

> Gurujang, Kaliapani Sukinda, Jajpur Odisha

BHADRAK ORISSA Phone: 06784 -251312

FAX : 06726-201009

Mining Engineer

Name SARASWATA NANDA, Full Time Qualification : B.E Mining Engineering

Appointment/ 01/07/2016

Termination date

Geologist

Name I. RAMA RAO, Full Time

MSC Geology Qualification : Appointment/ : 14/12/2009

Termination date

Manager

Name AJAYA KUMAR PATRA

AMIE Mining Engineering, FCC Qualification :

21/08/2017 Appointment/

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 17/01/2001 Plan/Scheme of Mining Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 03/01/2005 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988

25/04/2011 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 30/03/2016 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 11/09/2018

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	Nil. There is no backlog for exploration.	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has already been explored under Gl level of exploration.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	Nil	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has already been explored under G1 level of exploration.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	Nil	Nil
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not Proposed	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has already been explored under Gl level of exploration.	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has already been explored under G1 level of exploration.

1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	As per the modification of review mining plan approved dated 11.09.2018, the reserve and resource as on 31.08.2018 is as follows. Reserve is 7.135 lakh tones and Remaining resources is 7.698 lakh tones. Total Reserve and Resource is 14.833 lakh tones.	Reserve as on 01.04.2019 is 6.77 lakh tones and remaining resources at 7.69 lakh tones. Total reserve and resource is 14.46 lakh tones. Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19.	Reserve as on 01.04.2019 is 6.77 lakh tones and remaining resources at 7.69 lakh tones. Total reserve and resource is 14.46 lakh tones. Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19.
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology,	In 2018-19, there was no exploration proposal.	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has already been explored under G1	The lateral extent of the mining lease area of 72.843 ha has

Development :

exploration etc

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	development	Location of development w.r.t. lease area: E-3426,W-3200, N-3350,S-2950	Location of development w.r.t. lease area: E-3426,W-3200, N-3350,S-2950
2b	overburden and	bench in top	There is no bench in top soil. Separate benches in overburden and mineral maintained.	There is no bench in top soil. Separate benches in overburden and mineral maintained.

level of exploration.

already been explored under G1

level of exploration.

2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:9.08 t/t	1:8.19 t/t	1:8.19 t/t
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	There is no bench in top soil and there was no proposal for generation of top soil.	There was no generation of top soil.	There was no generation of top soil.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Proposed quantity of overburden generation was 4.82 lakh cum	Quantity of overburden generated was 4.34 lakh cum	Quantity of overburden generated was 4.34 lakh cum
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc		The development work carried out within the proposed area for development.	The development work carried out within the proposed area for development.

Exploitation:

Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
Number of pit proposed for production	•	·	In 2018-19, one number of quarry was put for production
Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	-	-	ROM production was 1.059 lakh tones
Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	56627 tones	51417.62 tones	Nil
Quantity of mineral reject generation	49373 tones	54543.91 tones	Nil
	Number of pit proposed for production Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production Quantity of mineral reject	Number of pit proposed for one number of production quarry was proposed for production Quantity of ROM ROM production mineral proposal was proposed tones Recovery of 56627 tones sailable/usable mineral from ROM production Quantity of 49373 tones mineral reject	Number of pit In 2018-19, In 2018-19, one number proposed for one number of of quarry was put for production quarry was production proposed for production Quantity of ROM ROM production ROM production was mineral proposal was 1.059 lakh tones production 1.06 lakh proposed tones Recovery of 56627 tones 51417.62 tones sailable/usable mineral from ROM production Quantity of 49373 tones 54543.91 tones mineral reject

3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	mineral reject generation	Grade of mineral reject generation (10-40% Cr203) and threshold value declared at 10% Cr203%	Grade of mineral reject generation (10-40% Cr203) and threshold value declared at 10% Cr203%
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	49373 tones	54543.91 tones	Nil
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Grade of subgrade mineral generation (10% Cr2O3 to 40% Cr2O3)	Grade of subgrade mineral generation (10% Cr203 to 40% Cr203)	Grade of subgrade mineral generation (10% Cr2O3 to 40% Cr2O3)
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized and Manual Method	Mechanized and Manual Method	Mechanized and Manual Method
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Low grade chrome is beneficiated at the COB plant situated within mining lease area. Feed grade (10-40% Cr2O3, avg 31% Cr2O3), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), Tailings grade: 9.4 % Cr2O3; Recovery: 53.2%	COB planted operated. Feed grade (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), Tailings grade: 9.0 % Cr2O3; Recovery: 54.25%	COB planted operated. Feed grade (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), Tailings grade: 9.0 % Cr2O3; Recovery: 54.25%

3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Deep hole of 110mm dia with wagon drill. Dia of DTH: 110mm, Spacing: 2.5 - 3.0 m, Burden: 2.5-3.0 m. Nonel are used for initiating the charges, delay detonators are used for different row of blasting.		Drilling & blasting carried out as proposed. Dia of DTH: 10mm, Spacing: 2.5 -3.0 m, Burden: 2.5-3.0 m
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	excavated by mechanical method with	98% of ore is excavated by mechanical method with shovel, dumper & dozer combination and 2% by manual workers.	98% of ore is excavated by mechanical method with shovel, dumper & dozer combination and 2% by manual workers.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM		Height of benches in overburden and mineral is suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan.	Height of benches in overburden and mineral is suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Area under mining at the end of plan period will be 28.038 Ha	Area under mining at the end of 2018-19 is 28.038 Ha as reported in annual return for 2018-19	Area under mining at the end of 2018-19 is 28.038 Ha as reported in annual return for 2018-19
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine	1:9.08 t/t	1:8.19 t/t	Nil

during the year.

Total area put Total area put in use 30 Total area put Total area put in in use under in use under under different heads at use under different heads different the end of 2018-19 is different heads at at the end of heads at the 68.668 Ha the end of 2018-19 is 68.668 Ha end of plan year period will be 72.843 Ha Production of Year wise Year wise ROM production Year wise ROM 3р ROM mineral 2017-18: 1.020 LT Production production during the last proposal 2017-18: 1.062 LT 2017-18: 1.020 LT five year period 2016-17: 1.042 2018-19: 1.059 LT 2017-18: 1.062 LT 2018-19: 1.059 LT as applicable 2017-18: 1.071 LT 2018-19: 1.06 In 2018-19, one number 3q General remarks In 2018-19, In 2018-19, one of inspecting number of quarry one number of of quarry was put for quarry was officers on production. COB planted was put for method of mining proposed for operated. Feed grade production. COB production . (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc planted operated. Low grade Grade (avg 50% Cr203), Feed grade (avg: chrome is Tailings grade: 9.0 % 32% Cr2O3), Conc beneficiated Cr203; Recovery: 54.25% Grade (avg 50% at the COB Cr203), Tailings plant situated grade: 9.0 % within mining Cr203; Recovery: 54.25% lease area. Feed grade (10-40% Cr203, avg 31% Cr203) , Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr203), Tailings grade: 9.4 % Cr203; Recovery: 53.2%

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks	

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	No top soil & Mineral reject. OB Dump is proposed in the extended part of North dump	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	No top soil & Mineral reject. OB Dump is proposed in the extended part of North dump	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	Within Lease area: 02 nos	Within Lease area: 02 nos	Within Lease area: 02 nos
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Beyond ultimate pit limit	Beyond ultimate pit limit	Beyond ultimate pit limit
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	One no of dump	One no of dump	One no of dump
4f	Number of dead dumps.	One no of dump	One no of dump	One no of dump
4 g	Number of dumps established.	One no of dump	One no of dump	One no of dump
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.		The existing retaining wall and garland drain have been maintained.	Length of existing retaining wall and garland drain is 3020 m and 3386m respectively at the toe of North and South dump
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps		The existing retaining wall and garland drain have been maintained	Length of existing retaining wall and garland drain is 3020 m and 3386m respectively at the toe of North and South dump
4j	Number of settling ponds	There is no fresh proposal	Maintained the existing settling ponds.	Maintained the existing settling ponds.

4k Specific No top soil & No top soil generated. No top soil comments of Mineral Mineral reject: No generated. Mineral inspecting reject. OB dumping. OB has been reject: No officer on waste Dump is dumped in the extended dumping. OB has dump management part of north dump. The been dumped in the proposed in the extended existing retaining wall extended part of part of North and garland drain have north dump. The dump. There is been maintained. Length existing retaining wall and garland no fresh of existing retaining drain have been proposal for wall and garland drain is 3020 m and 3386mmaintained. Length construction of retaining respectively at the toe of existing wall and of North and South dump. retaining wall and garland drain is garland drain during the 3020 m and 3386myear 2018-19. respectively at the toe of North and South dump.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Annual report on PMCP to be submitted as per Rule 26 (2) of MCDR, 2017	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted
бb	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
бс	afforestation done (ha).	2.385 На	3.07 На	3.07 На
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	5960Nos	7673Nos	7673Nos
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	147517Nos	149230Nos	149230Nos
бf	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs 17.47 Lakh	Rs 17.65 Lakh	Rs 17.65 Lakh
5h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
5i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation

6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	Nil
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Regular monitoring has been proposed.	Regular monitoring is being done.	Regular monitoring is being done.
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	Annual report on PMCP to be submitted as per Rule 26 (2) of MCDR, 2017	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted. PMCP proposal have been complied satisfactorily.	Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 have been submitted. PMCP proposal have been complied satisfactorily.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise mineral sorting within lease area	Grade wise mineral sorting within lease area	Grade wise mineral sorting within lease area
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual and Mechanical	Manual and Mechanical	Manual and Mechanical
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	10% to -40% Cr203 and above +40% Cr203	10% to -40% Cr2O3 and above +40% Cr2O3	10% to -40% Cr2O3 and above +40% Cr2O3
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	at the COB	COB planted operated. Feed grade (avg: 32% Cr203), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr203), Tailings grade: 9.0 % Cr203; Recovery: 54.25%	COB planted operated. Feed grade (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), Tailings grade: 9.0 % Cr2O3; Recovery: 54.25%

7e General remarks Grade wise of inspecting mineral officer on sorting wit Mineral lease area. conservation and Low grade beneficiation chrome is issues beneficiate

mineral chrome is at the COB plant situated within mining lease area. Feed grade (10-40% Cr203, avg 31% Cr203) , Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr203), Tailings grade: 9.4 % Cr203; Recovery:

53.2%

Grade wise Grade wise mineral mineral sorting with in lease sorting within area. COB planted lease area. operated. Feed grade Low grade (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc chrome is Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), beneficiated Tailings grade: 9.0 % at the COB Cr2O3; Recovery: 54.25%

Grade wise mineral sorting with in lease area. COB planted operated. Feed grade (avg: 32% Cr2O3), Conc Grade (avg 50% Cr2O3), Tailings grade: 9.0 % Cr2O3; Recovery: 54.25%

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	proposal for	There was no generation of top soil.	There was no generation of top soil.
d8	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	There is no proposal for top soil generation.	There was no generation of top soil.	There was no generation of top soil.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Mineral reject. OB Dump is	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump	No top soil generated. Mineral reject: No dumping. OB has been dumped in the extended part of north dump

Remarks

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not Proposed	Nil	Mine is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Not Specified	7673 nos of sapling planted as furnished in annual return of 2018-19.	7673 nos of sapling planted as furnished in annual return of 2018-19.
8g	Survival rate	Not Specified	85%	Data as furnished in annual return for 2018-19
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling on	Water sprinkling on haul roads have is being done.	Water sprinkling on haul roads have is being done.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	There is no proposal for top soil generation. Water sprinkling on haul roads have been proposed.	There was no generation of top soil. Water sprinkling on haul roads have is being done. Aesthetic beauty in and around mines have been maintained.	There was no generation of top soil. Water sprinkling on haul roads have is being done. Aesthetic beauty in and around mines have been maintained.

Propasals Actual work

Compliance of Rule 45:

Item

Sl.No.

9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.	Monthly returns and annual return for 2018-19 have been submitted.
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Information on Manager, Mining Engineer and Geologist furnished in annual return for 2018-19	Manager, Mining Engineer and Geologist have been appointed in mines.	Manager, Mining Engineer and Geologist have been appointed in mines.
9c		utilization at the end of the year as furnished in annual return for 2018-19. Covered under current working: 28.038 ha,	Lease area (surface area) utilization at the end of the year as furnished in annual return for 2018-19. Covered under current working: 28.038 ha, Used for waste disposal: 24.458 Ha, Occupied by plant buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads: 5.739 Ha, other purposes: 10.433 Ha	Lease area (surface area) utilization at the end of the year as furnished in annual return for 2018-19. Covered under current working: 28.038 ha, Used for waste disposal: 24.458 Ha, Occupied by plant buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads: 5.739 Ha, other purposes: 10.433 Ha
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	7673 nos of sapling planted as furnished in annual return of 2018-19.	7673 nos of sapling planted as furnished in annual return of 2018-19.	7673 nos of sapling planted as furnished in annual return of 2018-19.

9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Quantity of mineral reject generation proposal was 54543.091 tones; Grade Below 40% Cr203%	Quantity of mineral reject generation proposal was 54543.091 tones; Grade Below 40% Cr203%	Quantity of mineral reject generation proposal was 54543.091 tones; Grade Below 40% Cr203%
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	of processed ore at mine head (in tonne)	Closing stock of processed ore at mine head (in tonne) 1. Fines below 40% Cr203%: 869.125 tones 2. Fines 40% to below 52% Cr203: 3574.33 tones	Closing stock of processed ore at mine head (in tonne) 1. Fines below 40% Cr203%: 869.125 tones 2. Fines 40% to below 52% Cr203: 3574.33 tones
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	tonne	Ex-mine rice in Rs per tonne Fines: Grades (% of Cr203 content) 1. Below 40% Cr203: Rs 2375.66 2. 40% to below 52% Cr203: Rs 10396.77 Production cost: Rs 5489.29 per tonne.	Ex-mine rice in Rs per tonne Fines: Grades (% of Cr203 content) 1. Below 40% Cr203: Rs 2375.66 2. 40% to below 52% Cr203: Rs 10396.77 Production cost: Rs 5489.29 per tonne.
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Rs 1779948000 as furnished in annual return of 2018-19	Rs 1779948000 as furnished in annual return of 2018-19	Rs 1779948000 as furnished in annual return of 2018-19

9k	4	<pre>cum capacity): 3 nos; Front end loader (1.7 cum): 1 no; Dozer (200 HP capacity): 2 nos; Rock drill (non- electric): 2</pre>	no; Dozer (200 HP capacity): 2 nos; Rock drill (non-electric): 2	Back hoe (1.5 cum capacity): 3 nos; Front end loader (1.7 cum): 1 no; Dozer (200 HP capacity): 2 nos; Rock drill (non-electric): 2 nos etc
		nos etc		

PAGE: 19

Details of violations observed during curre violation pointed out	ent inspection and compliance position of
Violation observed	Show couse position

Rule NO. Issued on Compliance on Rule NO. Issued on Compliance on

Date :	(SHRI	SUDIP RANJAN MAZUMDAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines