INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

	Mine	file No : MP/SATNA/OCH	RE	-17 Mine code : 32MPR35037
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	GQ05) SANJAY M. GIRHE
	(ii)	Designation	:	Regional Mining Geologist
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	15-JAN-20
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	07-FEB-18
		PAI	۲T–	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	PAMARIYA (KH.NO. 80)
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/6953/2011
	(c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest		N. A. N. A. MAJHAGAWAN
2.		ess for espondance		M/ S HIRALAL RAMESHWAR PRASAD P.O. JAITWARA, DIST. SATNA (M.P.) 485221
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Date of Expiry	::	
4.	Mineı	ral worked	:	BAUXITEAssociatedOCHREMainCLAY (OTHERS)AssociatedLATERITEAssociated

5.	Name and Address of the		
	Lessee :	HIRALAL RAMESHWAR PRASAD	
		P.O. JAITWARA SATNA MADHYA PRADESH Phone:N. A. FAX :N. A.	
	Owner :	HIRALAL RAMESHWAR PRASAD	
		P.O. JAITWARA SATNA MADHYA PRADESH Phone: N. A.	
		FAX : N. A.	
6.	Date of approval of Mir Plan/Scheme of Mining	ing : Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016	29-JUL-04 08-NOV-12 24-OCT-14 07-MAR-19

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	No exploration was proposed during the year 2018-19	Not Applicable	Area mostly explored by working pits only
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	No exploration was proposed during the year 2018-19	Entire lease area has already been considered geologically explored at G1 level at the time of approval of Review of Mining plan under review.	very well observed
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not Proposed	Lessee theirself carried out the all the proposed 4 pits .	
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	No exploration proposed to bring in Gl axis	Nil	About 8.30 Ha explored by way of working pits & about 5.14 Ha yet to be converted in G1 axis
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Reserves in Tonnes Probable (121) - 551199MT Resources in tonnes Pre- Feasibility(2 21): 57636 MT As per approved SOM dtd 24.10.2014	Reserves in Tonnes Probable (121) - 502899 MT Resources in tonnes Pre-Feasibility(221): 57636 MT Updated reserves after depletion of production of 2018-19	Actual Production against 2018-19 was 48300 and considered for depletion.

1f General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 geology,
 exploration etc

NA

NA

ML area not explored in detailed manner by conventional exploration. Deposit of the lease area belongs to Upper Rewa Sand Stone group of Vindhyan Supper Group. lease area is hilly having slope towards South.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	Working was proposed single pit between N2751550 to 2751760 and E489650 to 489820 at western side and N2751650 to 2751730 and E 489680 to 489700	Working carried out as per the proposed locations	Excavation proposals marked on Development/Peoduc tion Plan (Plate- 7)
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Working was proposed by single bench in laterite/Bauxi te & in fire clay	Benches worked out as per the proposals	Area mostly hilly terrain & working were proposed accordingly
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Proposed as 1:0.15 tonne/CuM	Acheived as 1:0.09 tonne/CuM	Deviation is stripping ratio due to fluctuation in OB generation during the year.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Not Proposed	Nil	Area is mostly devoid of top soil over the bauxite ore mineralisation

2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	4411 CuM	Acheived 4385 CuM	
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	NA	NA	Depsoit is of complex in nature as bauxite ore mineralization overlayined by laterite capping. Due to this, quality of bauxite get lower down for formation of intermix bench pattern.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Working proposed in single pit No- 1	Working carried out at proposed location.	Working being restricted in single pit no-1 located at central part of ML
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	48300 tonne bauxite ore	Acheived as 48300 tonne during the year 2018-19	Cement grade bauxite mined out during the year
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	=	Acheived as 75%	Recovery of bauxite is almost 75% as envisaged. Laterite is also recovered from the bauxite / latrite zone. Thus mine waste is nominal in Bauxite / laterite zone which is appears to be 10-12% of ROM.

3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil/ Not proposed	NA	There is no mineral reject generation as certain part of mine waste of bauxite also have a market as laterite.
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Not Proposed	NA	There is no mineral reject of bauxite mineral.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not Proposed	Nil	There is no generation of sub grade mineral of bauxite.
Зg	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not Proposed	Nil	There is no generation of sub grade mineral of bauxite.
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mannual as well as Mechanised	By Mannual as well as Mechanised	Working carried out as per the proposals
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Not Proposed	Nil	Not required, purpose served by manual means
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Not Proposed	Nil	No need of drilling and blasting for extraction of mineral.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Hydraulic Excavetor and dumper combination	As per proposal extraction of mineral carried out with the use of Excavetor and dumper cobination.	No deviation

31 Whether height Bench height As per proposal there is There is no one bench of 2.0m height of benches in of 2.5m deviation with overburden and proposed in in mineral respect to bench Bauxite/laterite , There mineral suitable bauxite height in Bauxite/ laterite , for method of extraction & is no overburden.benches mining proposed 1.8mts in weatherd sand stone weathered sand in MP/SOM fireclay as well as in ochre were stone as well as also seen as per inochre. proposal. Зm Total area 6.35 Ha 6.7 Ha cumelative area Out of 6.35 Ha covered under cumulative broken as on 31.03.2019 total 2.90 Ha area excavation/pits area proposed as per annual return already exploited for excavation 2018-19 & abondeoned. as per approved SOM dtd 24.10.14 3n Ore to OB ratio Proposed as Acheived as 1:0.09 for the pit/mine 1:0.15 tonne/CuM during the year. tonne/CuM 30 Total area put As per the As per the previous As per previous in use under previous approved SOM approved SOM total different heads approved SOM Already excavated & 7.12 Ha area was Pits-6.35Ha abondoned-2.90Ha considered under at the end of year OB dumps-Pits-5.85 Ha financial 0.14Ha OB dumps-0.14Ha assirance Road-0.10 Ha Reclaimed & Green belt-Rehabilitated-1.10 Ha 0.52 Ha Infrastructure-0.35Ha As per annual return 2018-19 2015-16 Зp Production of 2015-16 24975 Acheived proposed ROM mineral 48321 2016-17 17180 within the during the last 2016-17 2017-18 48290 proposed five year period 48516 2018-19 48300 production limit as applicable 2017-18 of approved SOM 48321 2018-19 48300 3q General remarks NA NA Opencast other of inspecting than fully mechanised method officers on method of mining of mining adopted without drilling & etc. blasting with hydraulic excavator and dumper combination.

Solid	Waste	Management	—	Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Not proposed as there is no top soil.	NA	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	generation	OB generated during the course of mining concurrently being utilized for backfilling purpose.	No top soil, OB dumps existing in the mining lease area
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	Not Proposed	No OB dumps existing in the lease area	OB generated being used for backfilling purpose
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Not Proposed	Not applicable as simultaneous backfilling is in practice.	No deviations
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Not Proposed	Nil	Not applicable as simultaneous backfilling is in practice.
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Not Proposed	There is no dead dump	
4g	Number of dumps established.	Not Proposed	NA	No existing OB dumps in the lease area presently
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Not Proposed	NA	No existing OB dumps in the lease area presently
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not Proposed	NA	NA
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not Proposed	NA	There was no settling ponds in the area.

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

NA

NA

OB generated during the couse of mining concurrently proposed being utilized for backfilling purpose in Quarry-1. Hence, scope of management of dumps doesnot exists.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	About 2.90 Ha is fully mineral exhausted & proposed for backfilling	Total 2.90 Ha excavated & abondoned out of which 1.10 Ha reclaimed & rehabilitated as reported in annual return	Total 5.85 Ha area worked out so far out of which some matured area may be backfilled & rehabilitated in future
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	2.90 Ha area matured for backfilled till the end of year 2018- 19	About 1.10 hect backfilled & rehabilitated as per annual return	Details as annual return 2018–19
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	There is no top soil in the area. hence not proposed	NA	There is no top soil generation during the year 2018-19
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	aready excvated &		Details as per annula return 2018-19
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	NA	NA	OB generated year wise being proposed for concurrent backfilling & rehabilitation purpose.

PAGE : 9

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	To be submitted before 1st July of every year	Not Submitted	Violation of Rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out & issued accordingly.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	About 2.90 Ha	About 1.10 Ha	Rehabilitation work was in progress.
6c	afforestation done (ha).	1.3hect	0.84hect	Rehabilitation work is in progress.
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	About 810 saplings proposed for plantation during the year 2018-19	About 850 saplings planted during the year in ML area	Plantation done as proposed in approved document
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	NA	NA	Details on cumulative plantation is not available
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	Rehabilation generally being carried out by plantation only
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Not Proposed	Not Given	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	About 2.90 Ha area vailable	About 1.10 Ha reclaimed & rehabilitated	Rate of reclaimation & rehabilitation on lower side due less generation OB
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	About 1.53 Ha proposed	About 1.10 Ha reclaimed & rehabiliated	

About 1.53 Ha About 1.10 Ha reclaimed бj Compliance on work is in reclamation and proposed & rehabiliated progress. rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on Not Proposed NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on Not Proposed NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. Compliance of Not Proposed 6m NA rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation Compliance of Not Proposed 6n NA rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) 60 Compliance of Not Proposed NA rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation 6p Compliance of Periodical Carried out as per the Analysis reports environmental Air, Water, proposals were provided monitoring (core Noise zone and buffer monitoring zone) Proposed

6q General remarks NA of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. NA

Concurrent use of OB proposed for backfilling/reclam ation & rehabilitation. Other PMCP works proposed as per approved SOM was being carried out.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	48300 MT proposed as total ROM production for despatch.	38553.15 tonnes of cement grade bauxite & 19625.91 tonne chemical grade dispatchedas & rest 545.21MT as cement grade & 455.47 tonne as chemical grade closing stock at mine head	Mostly cement grade bauxite is being generated from the mine.
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	-	As proposed sorting of gerade wise mineral carried out by the lessee.	As per proposal sorting of mineral carried out by the lessee.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Not Proposed	During the year 2018-19, mostly cement grade and chemical grade bauxite sorted	Manual and screenig sorting done in lease area
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines •	Not Proposed	Only screening practice is adopted by the lessee.	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	NA	NA	Mineral conservation aspect is completly covered by the lessee. Mannual / screening practice is adopted by the lessee for grade wise sorting.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	There is no top soil hhh	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	NA	NA
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)		As per proposal whatever mine waste is generated utilised for leveling of pit as well as leveling of scarp of the hill.	Find ok although level of exploitation of mineral is proportionatly about 60% than the proposed one.
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	NA	NA	Considering actual production with respect to proposed one it appears that restoration of land by backfilling is satisfatory.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not Proposed	Nil	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Not Proposed	NA	
8g	Survival rate	Not Proposed	75%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed	Reportedly sprinkling practice in lese area is ther.	Water sprinkling was proposed as pproved SOM

8i General remarks NA
of inspecting
officer on
aesthetic beauty
in and around
mines area

NA

Aesthetic beauty in and around mine area is satisfactory as the naturaly grown and some plantation done by the lessee were very seen during the inspection.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	M.R. not submitted upto- January- 2020 A.R. submitted upto- 2018-19	Monthly & annual returns were submitted within stipulated time	Montly & annual returns copies were produced during the inspection
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Given	Shri R.C. Bansal appointed as Mining Eng. & Shri Puneet Shukla as Geologist	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Given	Pits-5.85 Ha OB dumps-0.14Ha Reclaimed & Rehabilitated-1.10 Ha Infrastructure-0.35Ha	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Given	Total 850 saplings planted in mining lease area	Survial rate of saplings was about 75%
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Not Given	No mineral rejects generated during the year	No mineral rejects generation proposals was given in approved document

9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Given	Cement Grade: O/S-798.87MT Despatch-38553.15 MT C/S-545.72 MT Chemical Grade: O/S-10082.38 MT Despatch-19626.91MT C/S- 455.47 MT C/S-1874.33MT	Stocks position as given in annual return
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost		Ex-mine price: Cement Grade Bauxite -Rs 751/MT Chemical grade Bauxite- Rs 824/MT	During the year 2018-19 production of cement & chemcial grade bauxite occured
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		Fixed asset value given as Rs 4738500 in respect Industrial, plants & machineries, etc	Nil
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Given	Nil	

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Violation observed			Show couse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO	. Issued on Compliance on	

Date :

(SANJAY M. GIRHE)

Indian Bureau of Mines