INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Check up inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/JABALPUR/IRON/23 Mine code : 30MPR18026

(i) Name of the Inspecting : NQO7) PUKHRAJ NENIVAL

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Nitin Sharma, Partner

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 15-JAN-22

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : DHAMDHA IRON & MN. 22.00

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/43984/2021

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : JABALPUR
Village : DHAMDHA
Taluka : SIHORA
Post office : GOSALPUR
Pin Code : 483222

FAX No.

E-mail : sharma.nitin074@gmail.com

Phone : 09425150894

(f) Police Station : DHAMDHA
(g) First opening date : 01-AUG-20

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : Shop No 3, Bengali Club Market

correspondance Jabalpur

M.P. 482002

3. (a) Lease Number :

(b) Lease area
(c) Period of lease
:

(d) Date of Expiry

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

MANGANESE ORE Associated

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : SHRI SAI INDUSTRIES

SHOP NO.-3, BENGALI CLUB MARKET JABALPUR JABALPUR

MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: FAX:

6. Date of approval of Mining : MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 11-DEC-20

Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------|--|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Not proposed. | Not applicable. | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | | Exploration proposed in 2021-22 & 22-23. | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Not proposed . | About 15ha. area under G2 category & balance 7ha.area under G3 and unexplored category. The whole 22ha. area to be explored under G1 category after exploration proposed in 21-22 & 22-23. | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Not proposed . | Reserves as on 01/04/2021 Iron ore622million tonnes & Manganese ore365 million tonnes. Resources as on 01/04/2021 Iron ore082million tonnes & Manganese ore048 million tonnes. | |

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

This is newly opened mine . About 15ha. area under G2 category & balance 7ha.area under G3 and unexplored category. The whole 22ha. area to be explored under G1 category after exploration proposed in 21-22 & 22-23. ML area belongs to Mahakaushal range of rocks, iron ore found with phyilites and Mn bands.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 2a | development | pit A near Northern lease boundary betwwen pillar | In actual the working done to some extent in proposed site & mainly the developement & production done in south-west of proposed site by developing a new pit of size 90-100x40-50x20-24m where working proposals approved for 2022-23 & onwards year of current RMP period. | The violation of rule 11(1) pointed out for deviation of working in proposed site. |

Remarks

| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Proposals of 03 benches in mineral in pit A. | Actually the mine working was done in pit A by developing 2 benches of 3m. hight from this pit manganese ore recovered mostly & main working done in new pit where 5 benches of 5-6m. hight maintatined, 03 benches in waste and two benches in mineral ironore the deepest mineral ironore bench observed waterlogged as sump. | |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.15 | 1:0.75 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 5366 cubic meter | About 25000 cubic meter. | The waste generation is more than proposals for which violation of rule 11(1) pointed out. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | The mine working & waste disposal were done systematically but not as per approved proposals for which violations of rule 11(1) pointed out. |

Propasals Actual work

Exploitation:

Sl.No. Item

The violation of rule 11(1) pointed out for deviation of working in proposed site.

| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | In actual two ,actually the mine working was done in pit A by developing 2 benches of 3m. hight from this pit manganese ore recovered mostly & main working done in new pit where working proposals approved for 2022-23 & onwards year of current RMP period where 5 benches of 5-6m. hight maintatined, 03 benches in waste and two benches in mineral ironore the deepest mineral ironore bench observed waterlogged as sump. |
|----|---|----------------------------|--|
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | tonnes & Manganese ore- | In actual during the year 2020-21, Iron ore-22200 tonnes & Manganese ore-10700 tonnes. |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 40%, Manganese- | About Iron-40-50%, Manganese-20-30% |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not proposed | Not applicable |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not proposed | Not applicable |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not proposed | Not applicable |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not proposed | Not applicable |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Presently manually | Manually |

| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Not proposed | Drilling & blasting not proposed & also not carried out. | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Excavator & dumper combination proposed. | 02 Excavator backhoe of 1.1cu.m. capacity & 4 dumpers of 24 tonnes capacity deployed. | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Hight of mineral benches proposed from 2 to 3.5. | In actual the bench hight in ob & mineral benches varies from 3m. to 6m. | Violation pointed out for bench parameter. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | .57 ha. | During the year 2020-21 about .75ha. area occupied by pits & cumulative about 4.5ha. as already 15 old pits exists in the lease area . | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.15 | 1:0.75 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Pits57ha. & dumps8ha. | Actual by Pits75ha. & dumps9ha. during the year 2020-21 & cumulative about 4.5ha. by pits & 3.2ha. by dumps. | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2020-21 Iron ore-37590 tonnes & Manganese ore- 21928 tonnes. | In actual during the year 2020-21, Iron ore-22200 tonnes & Manganese ore-10700 tonnes.The production started & reported from 2020-21. | |

3q General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

The mine working & waste disposal were done systematically by deploying excavator & dumpers as proposed but not as per approved proposals site for which violations of rule 11(1) pointed out.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | No topsoil & no mineral reject generation proposed only o/b as waste generation proposed to dump. | Waste dumped separately but not in proposed site. | Violation of rule 11(1) pointed out for deviation of dumping site. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | waste dump towards southern lease boundary. | In actual the waste dumping done in south east side of lease area. | |
| 4 c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | One dump within lease area. | During the year 2020-21 & upto the date of inspection two waste dumps within lease area. There are 07 old waste dumps of diffrent sizes within lease area occuping about 2.28ha. area. The total area occupied by dumps about 3.18ha. | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not proposed within Ultimate pit limit. | Waste dumped beyond UPL but not in proposed site.Old dumps situated within UPL. | |

| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | Two adjoining waste dumps. Two waste dumps of sizes about 60-70mx40-60mx9-12m & 50-60mx40mx 8-10m . | |
|-----|--|--------------|--|--|
| 4 f | Number of dead dumps. | Not proposed | 07 old dumps as dead dumps. | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | Not proposed | 07 old dumps as dead dumps naturally estabilished one dump was partially rehandled and shiftedas proposed. | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 4 ј | Number of settling ponds | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Waste dumped separately but not in proposed site in non mineralised area. Hence violation of rule 11(1) pointed out for deviation of dumping site. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|---|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Not proposed | Not applicable.However backfilling proposed in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone | |

| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Backfilling proposed in 7.5 m barrier zone in two places of about .26ha. | Proposed backfilling done in .15ha. in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone upto 2m. hight. | |
|----|---|--|--|---|
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Not proposed | Not applicable. However proposed backfilling done partially in .15ha. in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone & utilised about 2000 cu.m. waste. | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | This is newly opened mine. However proposed backfilling done partially in .15ha. in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone & utilised about 2000 cu.m. waste. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | of PMCP for the year 2020- 21 to be | Not submitted. | Violation of rule 26(2) pointed out. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | .002ha. | .005ha. | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 10 trees | About 50 survived trees observed. | |

| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not proposed | Cumulative about 50 survived trees observed. |
|----|--|--|---|
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Not proposed | Not applicable. |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not proposed | About Rs. 50000/- during the year. |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Backfilling proposed in 7.5 m barrier zone in two places of about .26ha. | Proposed backfilling done in .15ha. in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone upto 2m. hight. |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Backfilling proposed by waste. | About 2000 cu.m. waste utilised for backfilling. |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | Not proposed | Not applicable. |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not proposed | Not applicable. |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | Not proposed | Not applicable. |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Not proposed | Not applicable. |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
|----|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Envirnomental monitoring proposed. | Envirnomental monitoring carried out for ground water & ambient air quality and found within permissible limit. | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | This is newly opened mine, lessee has done backfilling and plantation as proposed but annual PMCP report not submitted for which violation of rule 26(2) pointed out. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|-------------------|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM mineral dispatch after sorting grade wise. | Done as proposed. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Manual | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Sorting proposed at mine site. | Done as proposed. | |

| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
|----|--|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | ı | | The ROM mineral Iron ore & Manganese ore sorted and dispatched after grading. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | towards | In actual the waste dumping done in south east side of lease area. | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Backfilling proposed in 7.5 m barrier zone in two places of about .26ha. | Proposed backfilling done partially in .15ha. in 7.5m. earlier excavated barrier zone & utilised about 2000 cu.m. waste. | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |

| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 10 treess. | No big trees exists in the lease area small bushes & trees present .Lessee planted about 60 saplings and 50 survived saplings observed during inspection. | |
|----|--|------------|---|---|
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 80% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | Water sprinkling observed on haul roads. | |
| 81 | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | The mine working started in december 2020 ,plantation & proposed backfilling done.Due to mining i.e. pitting & waste dumping will naturally affect upt some extent to the aesthetic beauty of the around mine area. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | submitted | Lessee has submitted AR for the year 2020-21 & MR upto october 2021. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer appointed & geologist not appointed. | Appointement of mining engineer mentioned in AR & Geologist appointed recently. | |
| 9c | Annual return on land use pattern for area under | 4.48ha., dumps5ha., reclaime d area-0, building & | The details given in AR found correct ,except dumps area i.e. about 3.18ha. for which discussed during inspection . | |

| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 10 no. of trees plantation | Cumulative upto inspection about 50 survived trees observed. | |
|----|---|---|--|---|
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Not given | Not applicable. | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | The stock given in AR & MR of october 2021 is found correct. | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | of iron ore fines-Rs. 400/ | The details given in AR i.e. cost of mining in which royalty & taxes not included for which discussed and suggested to rectify . | Violation of rule 45(5)(c) pointed out. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | In AR fixed assets given 0. | The details given in AR about fixed assets i.e. 0 is not correct for which discussed and suggested to rectify . | Violation of rule 45(5)(c) pointed out. |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Excavator 1.1c.m02 numbers & dumpers 24tonnes-04 numbers. | The details given in AR found correct. | |

PAGE : 16

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Rule NO. | n observed Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. Issued on Compliance or | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 1 | | | |

Date : (PUKHRAJ NENIVAL)

Indian Bureau of Mines