INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/KTN/LST-101 Mine code : 38MPR18133

(i) Name of the Inspecting : ${\tt CQ04}$) ROBERT SIMON C

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine :

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 14-SEP-21
(v) Prev.inspection date : 25-FEB-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : KACHGAWAN [7.42)]

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : KATNI
Village : KACHGAWA
Taluka : VIJRAOGARH
Post office : DIWAN SIDING

Pin Code :

FAX No. : N. A. E-mail : N. A. Phone : N. A.

(f) Police Station :
(g) First opening date :

(h) Weekly day of rest : THU

2. Address for : M/S N.M.DUBASH STONE & LIME CO.PVT.LTD.

correspondance STATION ROAD, P.O. MAIHAR,

DIST. SATNA (M.P.) 485771

3. (a) Lease Number : MPR1987(b) Lease area : 7.42(c) Period of lease : 40

(d) Date of Expiry : 05-SEP-20

4. Mineral worked : DOLOMITE Associated

LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : N.M.DUBASH STONE & LIME CO.PVT.LTD.

STATION ROAD P.O. MAIHAR SATNA MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: N. A. FAX : N. A.

Owner : N.M.DUBASH STONE & LIME CO.PVT.LTD.

STATION ROAD P.O. MAIHAR SATNA MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: N. A. FAX : N. A.

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 Plan/Scheme of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988

: Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 18-AUG-00 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 26-SEP-14 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 13-APR-15 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 15-OCT-20

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Nil | Nil | Mineralisation proved up to 12m by single working pit |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | NA | NA | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No exploration was proposed for G1/G2 axis | 3.22 Ha to be explored in G1level | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2021, 111 - 0.99 MT | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | No working carried out during the year. Based on the mineralisation established in working pits reserves/resources have been estimated. Only proved mineralized area by working pits to be considered. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasal | ls | Actual work | Remar | s. |
|--------|--|------------|-------|-------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | Pit no. 03 | 3& 04 | Nil | out un | ion pointed der rule of MCDR |

| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | proposed | Separate bench observed for top soil. Bench height of 6 to 7m previously maintained in limestone for systematic mining. | |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1: 0.25 | Nil | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | It was proposed to generate 850 m3 of topsoil. | Nil | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | It was proposed to generate 18488 m3 of OB. | Nil | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Development of the pit no. 03 and 04 were not carried out for production. Hence, violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|-------------|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Two | Nil | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 3b | mineral production | It was proposed to produce 119530 tonnes of ROM. | Nil | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | not proposed | Nil | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | NA | NA | |

| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not Proposed | Nil | |
|----|--|--------------|---|---|
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Not proposed | Not done | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | proposed | not carried out | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Not proposed | No machinery found during the date of inspection | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | | Bench height found to be varying between 6 to 7m. | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 5.4 ha | 4.910 ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1: 0.25 | NA | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |

3o Total area put 6.2 ha 5.78 ha No excavation, in use under production was different heads carried out during at the end of the year. year 3p Production of 2016-17 62415 2016-17 Nil ROM mineral Т 2017-18 28000 T during the last 2017-18 75660 2018-19 52430 T 2019-20 138746 T five year period T 2018-19 96347 2020-21 Nil as applicable 2019-20 142950 2020-21 119530 3q General remarks Open cast of inspecting mechanised working officers on proposed by using method of mining Excavator & dumper combination with etc. drilling & blasting using wagon drill. Three different working pits were already developed & mineralisation proved up to approximate depth of 28mts. No production/working carried out in the year. Hence, violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate top soil, mine waste for backfilling & dumping proposed | Separate top soil, mine waste for backfilling & dumping previously maintained | |

Remarks

| | topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | of ML | - | |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | two dump with in lease area | two dump with in lease area | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | within upl | within upl | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4 f | Number of dead dumps. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4 g | Number of dumps established. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 4 ј | Number of settling ponds | one | one | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | No production/working carried out in the year. Considerable amount of OB and top soil generated in the past were previously dumped at earmarked location. Some dumps have been merged. |
| Solic | d Waste Managem | ent - Backfil | lling: | |

Propasals Actual work

4b Location of eastern part eastern part of ML

Sl.No. Item

| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Full extraction of Mineral proposed before start backfilling | Nil | No excavation, production was carried out during the year. |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 0.5 ha | 0.25 ha. | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Restoration of mined out area | Not done. It was proposed to generate 850 m3 of topsoil but no topsoil is generated. | Hence, violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | 0.5 ha | 0.25 ha | No excavation, production was carried out during the year |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | No excavation, production and reclamation were carried out during the year. No topsoil is generated against the proposal of 850 m3 of topsoil. Hence, violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted before 1st July of every year | Not submitted within time limit as per the provisions of Rule 26 of MCDR, 2017 | Violation under rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 was issued. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Nil | Nil | |

| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Not | proposed | 160 saplings planted |
|----|--|-----|----------|-----------------------|
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Not | proposed | 160 saplings planted |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | not | proposed | details not available |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Nil | | Nil |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not | Proposed | NA |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Not | Proposed | Nil |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Not | Proposed | Nil |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not | Proposed | Nil |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | Not | Proposed | Nil |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Not | Proposed | Nil |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | Not Proposed | Nil | |
|----|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Periodical Air, Water, Noise monitoring Proposed | Carried out as per the proposals | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Scope of PMCP work are well exists in the lease area as the limestone have been exhausted in some working pits. Very limited PMCP proposals were given in approved document. Also, Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21. Hence, violation pointed out under rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM Mineral Dispatch proposed | ROM mineral dispatch adopted in the lease area. | |

| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Not proposed | Nil | |
|----|--|--------------|----------|--|
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | NA | NA | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | Not Proposed | Not done | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | No excavation, production was carried out during the year. Hence no specific comments. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|------------|--|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | stacking & | no topsoil is generated. | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| d8 | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | - | not done as no topsoil is generated. | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | proposed | previously maintained | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | proposed | No excavation, production was carried out during the year. | |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | proposed | No excavation, production was carried out during the year | |
|----|---|--------------|--|---|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Not proposed | Not available. Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21. | violation pointed out under rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not proposed | 50 % as per AR. | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | proposed | NA as no mining operations carried out. | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Aesthetic beauty in and around mine area is not satisfactory as plantation not done up to mark. Also, no mining operations carried out. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | proposed | Monthly and annual returns submitted upto date. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | proposed | Manager Shri Vinod Kumar Patel Mining Engineer in charge Shri Vinod Kumar Patel Geologist in charge Shri Ratnesh Kumar Pandey | |

| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | proposed | Covered under current (O/C) Workings 4.910 Reclaimed/Rehabilitated 0.250 Used for waste disposal 0.770 | |
|--------|---|----------|---|--|
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | proposed | Number of trees planted during the year 160 nos Survival rate in percentage 50.00 | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Nil | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Nil | Nil | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | proposed | Ex Mine Price - 407.81 per tonne. The details of taxes furnished in cost per tonne calculation in Part-VII are not matching with the amount submitted in the Part-III, Sl.No.5. In the cost per tonne calculation, the details of overhead cost is not matching with the amount submitted in the Part-III, Sl.No.6. | violation pointed out under rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Nil | The value of fixed assets is mentioned as nil. | violation pointed out under rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017. |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Nil | Nil | |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

| Violation | n observed | | Show couse position | |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 27-SEP-21 | 22-NOV-21 | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 26(2) | 27-SEP-21 | 22-NOV-21 | | |
| Rule 45(7) | 27-SEP-21 | 22-NOV-21 | | |

Date : (ROBERT SIMON C)

Indian Bureau of Mines