INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No : ORI/IRON-BXT/SNG/MCDR-2/BBS Mine code : 300RI13023

(i) Name of the Inspecting : U11) DAYANAND UPADHYAY

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri A. k. Choudhary, Mines Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 29/08/2021
(v) Prev.inspection date : 19/10/2019

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : SAN INDPUR

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/4585/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ORISSA

District : SUNDARGARH
Village : SAN INDUPUR

Taluka : BONAI
Post office : PATAMUNDA

Pin Code

FAX No. : 06767-276161

E-mail : rungtas@rungtamines.com

Phone : 09437077202

(f) Police Station : Koira

(g) First opening date : 01/08/1989

(h) Weekly day of rest : SAT

2. Address for : SANINDPUR IRON &BUXITE MINES

correspondance VILL-SAINDPUR.PO-PATMUNDA

DIST-SUNDERGARH

3. (a) Lease Number : ORIO147 (b) Lease area : 147.1 (c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 05/09/2035

4. Mineral worked : BAUXITE Associated

IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/s Rungta Sons (P) Ltd

Rungta House P.O. Chaisabasa SINGHBHUM

(WEST) JHARKHAND

Phone: (06582) 56861/56761

FAX :91 6582 56442

Owner : M D RUSTAGI, NOMINATED OWNER

RUNGTA OFFICE, MAIN ROAD, BARBIL AT/PO- BARBIL, DIST-KEONJHAR SUNDARGARH ORISSA

Phone: FAX:

Agent : A. S. Mahapatra

Rungta office, Main Road Barbil Disr-Keonjhar KEONJHAR ORISSA

Phone: 06767-276441 FAX: 06767-275481

Mining Engineer

Name : Laxkhan Saw, Full Time

Qualification : AMIE (Mining)
Appointment/ : 14/04/2014

Termination date

Geologist

Name : D.K.Naik, Full Time

Qualification : MSc (Geology)
Appointment/ : 01/11/2015

Termination date

Manager

Name : S K GARNAIK

Qualification : AMIE MINING, 1ST CLASS CERTIFICATE OF CC

Appointment/ : 17/11/2008

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

: Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 09/06/2003 Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 14/03/2006 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 25/02/2010 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 28/05/2010 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 31/07/2013 FMCP under 23C(1) 20/01/2015 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 26/02/2015 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 27/12/2016 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 18/07/2018 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 14/11/2019 MS modif under MCR 1960 01/10/2020 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 09/04/2021

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 46 number of bore holes in 2020-21 | In 2020-21, total 19 numbers of bore holes have been drilled by lessee. | The proposed bore holes falls within forest land and due to delay in obtaining clearance proposed bore holes not compplted. Deviation is pointed out through violation letter. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G1 Level of exploration. | In 2020-21, total 19 numbers of bore holes have been drilled by lessee under G1 Level of exploration. | The proposed bore holes falls within forest land and due to delay in obtaining clearance proposed bore holes not compplted. Deviation is pointed out through violation letter. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Exploration agency not specified prior to execute of proposed drilling. | Exploration agency involved in drilling was Geotech India (P) Ltd. A sum of amount Rs. 3517566 is spent towards the exploration | drilling was Geotech India (P) |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | During plan period 52.354 ha area proposed under G1 level. | In 2020-21, total 19 numbers of bore holes have been drilled by lessee under G1 Level of exploration within proposed area. | The proposed bore holes falls within forest land and due to delay in obtaining clearance proposed bore holes not compplted. Deviation is pointed out through violation letter. |

| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Balance reserve as per Modification of review of mining plan approved on 09/04/2021 was: Iron ore- 72.554 MillionTonnes under proved (111) category and and resources was 14.72 Million Tonnes. | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2021 under 111 category was 72.23Million Tonnes of Iron ore and resources was 14.72 Million Tonnes. | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2021 under 111 category was 72.23Million Tonnes of Iron ore and resources was 14.72 Million Tonnes. |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | 46 number of bore holes in 2020-21 | In 2020-21, total 19 numbers of bore holes have been drilled by lessee under G1 Level of exploration. | The proposed bore holes falls within forest land and due to delay in obtaining clearance proposed bore holes not compplted. Deviation is pointed out through violation letter. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | • | C-Top Quarry (N 208- N1117 and E 604-W270) | Development is within the approved proposal. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate benches in OB and ore, top soil bench-nil. | Separate benches in OB and ore have been maintained. | There are 3no. of OB bench & 10 no. of ore benches are developed. No Top soil benches proposed. |

| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.33cu.m/cu. m as per modification of RMP approved on 01/10/2020. | 1:0.115 T/cu.m | Average stripping ratio varies due to irregular nature of ore deposit & less ROM production. |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | Not proposed. | No top soil generation as the ROM proposed form broken up area. | There is no top soil generation. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 898442.4 Cum | 670843.10 Cum | OB generation is less as the ROM production is less. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | _ | The development is carried out in C-Top Quarry as per proposal. | The development is carried out in C-Top Quarry as per proposal. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Single pit. | Working is concentrated in single pit C-Top Quarry as proposed. | Working is concentrated in single pit C-Top Quarry as proposed. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | Tonnes (Insitu ROM 7 Million Tonnes & 1.06 | ROM production reported in 20120-21 is 5.784 Million Tonnes (Insitu ROM 5.751 Million Tonnes & 0.033 Million Tonnes from Dump re-handling). | ROM production is within approved proposal. |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | As per modification of RMP approved on 01/10/2020, Recovery of saleable insitu Iron ore-6466292T and mineral reject-533710T | Recovery of saleable insitu Iron ore-5.751MillionT. | The mineral reject generated during mining is simultaneously blended with high grade to to make it saleable. |

| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | As per modification of RMP approved on 01/10/2020, mineral reject proposed in 2020-21-533710T | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | 45%-58% Fe | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | As per modification of RMP approved on 01/10/2020, mineral reject proposed in 2020-21-533710T | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | 45%-58% Fe | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. | Total ROM is saleable after blending of Mineral reject at site. |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanised | Mechanised | Mechanised |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. |

| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | y exlposive as | Drilling and blasting is carried out with DTH drilling of 110mm dia. Blast hole with emulsion/slurry exlposive as coloumn charge and booster alongwith delay detonator. | Drilling and blasting is carried out with DTH drilling of 110mm dia. Blast hole with emulsion/slurry exlposive as coloumn charge and booster alongwith delay detonator. |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Shovel dumper combination. | Shovel dumper combination are practiced to excavation ore and OB. machaneries available at site are: SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 0.6/0.9/1.4/2.9 cu.m-21, FRONT END LOADER 2.0 cu.m-19, DUMPER 10/25/35T-63, DOZER-2, GRADER-1, CRUSHER 100/150.000 T/H-3. | Shovel dumper combination are practiced to excavation ore and OB. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Bench height- 9m | Bench height is suitably matched with the excavator. | Bench height is suitably matched with the excavator. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 46.672 ha during plan period approved on 01/10/2020 | About 36.96 ha area has been covered under excavation pit at the end of 2020-21. | About 36.96 ha area has been covered under excavation pit at the end of 2020-21. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | m as per | 1:0.115 T/cu.m | Average stripping ratio varies due to irregular nature of ore deposit & less ROM production. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Total area proposed under different heads during plan period is 87.650 ha. | Total area covered under different heads at the end of the year is 76.088 ha | Total area covered under different heads at the end of the year is 76.088 ha |

| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | | 2015-16 :2600040.550 MT 2016-17 :2565081.880 MT 2017-18 :2932846.060 MT 2018-19 :3465386.070 MT 2019-20: 4909224.26MT 2020-21: 5784910.912MT | ROM production is within approved proposal. |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | Excavation of ROM has been proposed in single pit, C-Top Quarry. | The ROM production has been carried out in single pit, C-Top Quarry. DTH drilling of 110mm dia. Blast hole with emulsion/ slurry explosive alongwith delay detonator is being practiced for drilling and blasting. | The ROM production has been carried out in single pit, C-Top Quarry as per proposal. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|---|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping for overburden and mineral reject, top soil not proposed. | reject | There is no top soil generation. Mineral reject generation not reported as it is blended with high grade ore. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | W511, No top | Dumping has been done on Dump-B as per proposal. Mineral reject and top soil generation not reported. | Dumping has been done on Dump-B as per proposal. Mineral reject and top soil generation not reported. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 3 nos. of dump. | One waste dumps and two no. of mineral reject dumpds | Two no. of mineral reject dumpds has been proposed for re-handling. |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Outside UPL | Waste dump Outside UPL. | Two no. of mineral reject dumpds has been proposed for re-handling. |

| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | One waste dump. | One waste dump.i.e Dump-B is active. |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 01(Dump-C) | Dump -C is mineral reject dump which isproposed for rehandling. | Dump -C is mineral reject dump which is proposed for re-handling. |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | Not proposed. | Nil. | Dead Dump -C is mineral reject dump which is proposed for rehandling. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | wall and 350m | 490m Retaining wall and 350m garland drain. | 490m Retaining wall and 350m garland drain. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | wall and 350m | 490m Retaining wall and 350m garland drain. | 490m Retaining wall and 350m garland drain. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | One settling pond | One settling pond | One settling pond |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | Waste Dump-B, N452-842 and W121-W511, No top soil proposed. | Dumping has been done on Dump-B as per proposal. Mineral reject and top soil generation not reported. | Dumping has been done on Dump-B as per proposal. Mineral reject and top soil generation not reported. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Not proposed. | Nil | No generation of top soil is reported. |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | As per provision of MCDR 2017. | Annual return on PMCP has been submitted. | Annual return on PMCP has been submitted. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 3.0 ha, Dump plantation. | In 2020-21, total area cover under afforestation is about 3.0 ha. | In 2020-21, total area cover under afforestation is about 3.0 ha. | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 10000 nos of saplings. | In 2020-21, 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. | In 2020-21, 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Not specified. | Cumulative nos. of saplings planted in the lease area is about 238222 nos. | Cumulative nos. of saplings planted in the lease area is about 238222 nos. | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | |

| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | 5.0 lakh | Around Rs 5.0 lakh cost incurred on watch and care. | Around Rs 5.0 lakh cost incurred on watch and care. |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for backfilling. | Pit is not matured for backfilling. |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Not proposed. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Not proposed. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Not proposed. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. | There is no proposal of rehabilitation of waste land. |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Quarterly monitoring. | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level are being carried out. | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level are being carried out. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | Backfilling not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for backfilling. Slope of the dumps are rehabilitated by plantation. | Pit is not matured for backfilling. Slope of the dumps are rehabilitated by plantation. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Gradewise sorting. | Gradewise sorting is being practiced. | Gradewise sorting is being practiced. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanised. | Mechanised. | Mechanised. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | 45% to 58% Fe and +58% Fe. | Different grades of minerals sorted out at mines are 45% to 58% Fe and +58% Fe. | Mineral reject generated has simultaneously blended with high grade ore. |

| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | Gradewise sorting | Gradewise sorting. Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. | Wet beneficiation plants of 250tph is installed in lease area to upgrade the low grade ore of 45% to 58% Fe. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | soil | Nil. | No top top soil generation is proposed. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No top top soil generation is proposed. | Nil. | No top top soil generation is proposed. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping for overburden and mineral reject proposed , top soil not proposed. | Separate dumping for overburden. No mineral reject and top soil reported. | Separate dumping for overburden. No mineral reject and top soil reported. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not proposed as pit is not exhausted. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. | Pit is not matured for reclamation and re-habilitation. |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 10000 nos of saplings on waste dump. | In 2020-21, 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. | In 2020-21, 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified. | As reported survival rate is 85%. | As reported survival rate is 85%. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | are proposed for dust | Fixed water sprinklers and Six nos of water tanker of 12 KL has been deployed to control dust on haul road. | Fixed water sprinklers and Six nos of water tanker of 12 KL has been deployed to control dust on haul road. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | 10000 nos. of plantation on dump-B. | Aesthic beauty in and aroun mines area is maintained by plantation. In 2020-21, total 10000 nos of saplings have been planted by lessee. | Aesthic beauty in and aroun mines area is maintained by plantation. In 2020-21, total 10000 nos of saplings have been planted by lessee. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|---|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted by lessee. | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted by lessee. |

| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | The employment status of mining engineer, geologist & mine manager have been furnished in the annual return. | The mining engineer, geologist & mine manager have been employed at mines. | The mining engineer, geologist & mine manager have been employed at mines. |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 9c | Annual return on mining: land use pattern Ha.Waste for area under site: pits, reclaimed 16.16Ha area, dumps etc. Tailing | | Area under mining: 36.90 Ha.Waste dump site: 16.16Ha., Tailing pond & beneficiation plant: 5.92Ha.: 2.84 Ha. ,Buildings,office &roads :6.518, etc | Area under mining: 36.90 Ha.Waste dump site: 16.16Ha., Tailing pond & beneficiation plant: 5.92Ha.: 2.84 Ha. ,Buildings,office &roads:6.518, etc |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | | 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. | 15000 nos. of plantation has been done. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | 4751.277T(62-65%) 38213.355T(-62% to 60%Fe) Fines:- | Closing stocks of differed grade as furnished in return are not matched with depletion of dispatch quantity. Deviation has been pointed out through violation letter. | Closing stocks of differed grade as furnished in return are not matched with depletion of dispatch quantity. Deviation has been pointed out through violation letter. |

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | price:(Lump) 60% to below 62%: Rs | Deviation of ex mine price has been pointed out through violation letter. | Deviation of ex mine price has been pointed out through violation letter. |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Value of Fixed Assets (in Rs) 1209845/- | Value of Fixed Assets (in Rs) 1209845/- |

PAGE: 17

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| | Violation | n observed | | | Show o | couse position |
|--------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------|---------|-------------------------|
| Rule 1 | 10. | Issued on | Compliance of | n Rı | ule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 10/09/2021 | | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 45(5)(| c 10/09/2021 | | | | |

Date : (DAYANAND UPADHYAY)

Indian Bureau of Mines