INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Ajmer regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/NGR-13/LST-1 Mine code : 38RAJ20001

(i) Name of the Inspecting : $D \psi 03$) DILIP JAIN

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Senior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri Gopal Prajapati, Mine Manager and Sri Vivek Rawat

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 09-NOV-21
(v) Prev.inspection date : 11-JAN-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

(a) Mine Name : GAGARANA

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/7378/2011

(e) Postal address

State : RAJASTHAN
District : NAGAUR
Village : GAGARANA
Taluka : MERTA CITY
Post office : GAGARANA
Pin Code : 341510

FAX No. : 0744-2500178

E-mail : mclmorak@kappa.net.in Phone : 07459-232237, 01590-230614

(f) Police Station : Gotan

(g) First opening date : 26-MAR-87

(h) Weekly day of rest : MON

2. Address for : Mangalam Cement Ltd.

correspondance SUB-POST PFFICE - GAGRANA (PO: MERTA CITY)

District- Nagaur.

3. (a) Lease Number : RAJ1487 (b) Lease area : 200 (c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 21-OCT-32

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : MANGLAM CEMENTS LIMITED

ADITYA NAGER -326517 PO-

MORAK DISTT-KOTA KOTA

RAJASTHAN

Phone:)7459)44237,44262

FAX :

Owner : K. C. Jain

Mangalam Cement Ltd. P. O. Adityanagar, Morak, Distt.

Kota KOTA RAJASTHAN

Phone:

FAX : 07459232156

Agent : Shri P.R. Chaudhary

Mangalam Cement Ltd. PO: Adityanagar-Morak Distt. Kota (Rajasthan) KOTA

RAJASTHAN

Phone: 07459-233146 FAX: 07459-232156

Mining Engineer

Name : Satish Ratod, Full Time

Qualification : B. E. Mining Appointment/ : 21-FEB-14

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Sudanshu Pandey, Full Time

Qualification : M Sc (geo)
Appointment/ : 20-MAR-17

Termination date

Manager

Name : Gopal Prajapati

Qualification : Foreman Certificate Holder

Appointment/ : 20-JAN-12

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 13-JAN-03
Modif.approved Mining Scheme 06-JUL-05
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 02-FEB-07
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 19-JUL-13
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 22-MAR-17

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | A total of 5 exploratory Bhs with 75 mts of drilling was proposed during previous year. 2019-20. | A total of 5 (DTH) exploratory BHs with 84 mtr have been drilled. | No backlog. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | A total of 05 Exploratory BHs with 75.0 mts of drilling has been proposed during reporting year (RY). | A total of 05 (DTH) Exploratory BHs with 84.0 mts of drilling has been done during RY. | NIL. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No proposal of Exploration Agency & expenditure. | Agency: Mines Dept.(Mangalam Cement Ltd), Expenditure=Rs.22041 | NIL. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No proposal on area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. | Around 2.25 Hect. area explored under G1 level during the RY. | NIL. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Reserve (2020- 21)=1.2755 million tonnes. | Reserve (2020-21)=1.398 Million tonnes. | Due to less production during plan period. |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | Exploration by 05 Bhs with 75 mtrs of drilling was proposed. | Exploration by 05 Bhs with 84 mtrs of drilling was carried out. | No issue related execution of proposed exploration and geology. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|--|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | has been | Development has been carried out in Block-A of lease area. | NIL. |

| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate removal of top soil, waste and mineral. | As per proposal. | No top soil has been generation during RY. |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.83 tone/tone | 1:0.82 tone/tone | NIL. |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 50 Ton | Nil. | No top soil patch encountered during RY. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 42182 Ton | 25184 T | Less waste generation due to less development and non- availability of surface right & Lockdown due to COVID-19. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | Development has been proposed through opencast method. | Development has been carried out as per proposed method. | No issue related to method of development and suitable for type of deposit. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Block-A,Pit-4 | Block-A,Pit-4 | NIL. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 54734 ton | 30629 ton | Less production due to less development and non-availability of surface right & Lockdown due to COVID-19. |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 50680 ton | 30629 ton | Less production due to less development and non-availability of surface right & Lockdown due to COVID-19. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 4054 Ton | NIL. | No mineral reject has been generation. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal. | Not applicable as no mineral reject generation. | NIL. |

| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal. | No sub-grade generation. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No proposal. | Not applicable as no sub-grade mineral generation. | NIL. |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual Hand sorting of Dolomitic and interstitial clay. | Manual Hand sorting of Dolomitic and interstitial clay. | NIL. |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal. | No beneficiation study carried out during the RY. | NIL. |
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | No proposal of drilling and blasting. | Mineral is being broken by Rock Breaker. | NIL. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Rock Breaker, Excavator, dumper etc. | Rock Breaker, Excavator, dumper etc. | NIL. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | 2 to 4 mts. | Height of the benches has been maintained as per proposal. | NIL. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 14.19 hectare at the end of the plan period i.e. 2021-22. | 11.47 hectare at the end of the 2020-21. | Data as per AR 2020-21. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | | 1:0.82 tone/tone | NIL. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 19.24 hectare at the end of 2021-22. | 15.35 hect. area at the end of 2020-21. | As per AR 2020-21. |

2016-17= 50059 2016-17= Nil. 3р Production of Less production ROM mineral 2017-18= 280 T due to nonduring the last 2017-18= 50698 2018-19=8140 T availability of five year period T 2019-20=36737 T surface right, as applicable 2018-19=50117 2020-21=30629 T Local villager problem and covid-2019-20=50573 19 pandemic. 2020-21=50680 3q General remarks Opencast method of The open cast Opencast of inspecting method of mining is being mechanized method officers on mining has practiced. has been adopted method of mining been proposed. which is best etc. suited to the type of deposit.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | | Separate dumping of OB & mineral. No soil generation during RY. | NIL. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | | Dumping of the waste observed near pit 3 (western side). No MR generation. | NIL. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | One dump in Block-A. No proposal of waste dumping during RY in Block B & C. However, five waste dumps exist in in Block B & C. | One dump in Block-A, Five dumps in Block-B (unauthorized working). | Lessee has already informed to officials of the State Govt. for unauthorized working. |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Outside UPL (Block-A) | Outside UPL (Block-A) | NIL. |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | One. | One. | NIL. |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 5 (Block B &C). | 5 (Block B &C). | NIL. |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | during the RY. | Garland drain observed at necessary places. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | <u></u> | No retaining wall construction during the RY. | NIL. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | waste has been proposed over and nearby | Dumping of waste carried out over and nearby existing dump. Garland drain observed at necessary places. | No issue observed related to waste dumping. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal of part or full extraction of mineral. | Not applicable as no proposal. | NIL. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No area matured for backfilling. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Top soil proposed to use for plantation purpose. | No top soil has been generated during the RY. | No top soil patch encountered during RY. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposal of full reclamation and rehabilitation . | Not applicable. | No area matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | no area matured for | No area matured for backfilling. | No area matured for reclamation and rehabilitation. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | As per rule 26 of MCDR, 2017 holder of mining lease shall submit PMCP report every year. | PMCP report for the year 2020-21 has been submitted. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Waste dump: 0.1 hect with 50 saplings and waste land: 0.2 hect with 200 saplings. | Waste dump: 0.045 hect with 30 saplings and waste land: 0.1 hect with 120 saplings. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Waste dump: 0.1 hect with 50 saplings and waste land: 0.2 hect with 200 saplings. | Waste dump: 0.045 hect with 30 saplings and waste land: 0.1 hect with 120 saplings. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Total 250 numbers of saplings during the RY. | Total 150 numbers during the RY. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | No specific proposal on cumulative number of plantation. | Cumulatively 3100 numbers of plants as 31.03.2021. | NIL. |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | | Plantation has been carried out as per proposal. | NIL. |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposal. | Around Rs. 6000/- | NIL. |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | backfilling. | Area not matured for backfilling. | NIL. |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |

| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 6k | area Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No any other specific proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | A 200 number of saplings have been proposed to plant. | A 120 number of saplings have been planted. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 0.2 Hect. | 0.10 Hect. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Plantation. | Plantation. | NIL. |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Provision for monitoring of environment parameter has been given. | Environment monitoring is being carried out in core and buffer zone. | NIL. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | A total of 0.3 Hect area (Waste dump and waste land) with 250 number of saplings heave been proposed plant. | | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | | Sorting/separation of waste and mineral. | NIL. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual Hand sorting of Dolomitic and interstitial clay. | Manual Hand sorting of Dolomitic and interstitial clay. | NIL. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal of grade wise sorting. | Limestone and waste has been sorted/separated. | NIL. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | No proposal of any beneficiation. | No beneficiation has been carried out. | No issue observed related to mineral conservation. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | 50 tons. | No top soil generated during RY. | No top soil patch encountered during RY. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Top soil proposed to use for plantation purpose. | No top soil generated during RY. | NIL. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping of waste and mineral. | Waste has been dumped at designated place. | NIL. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL. |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 250 nos. (0.30 Ha.) | 120 nos (0.145 ha) | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021 for shortfall in plantation. |
| 8g | Survival rate | No proposal. | 50% | Survival % is less due to water deficiency in the area. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling to | Water sprinkling observed. | NIL. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | utilization of top soil was | No top soil generation. Planation carried out on waste dump and waste land. | The general aesthetic beauty of the mine is satisfactory. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | of MCDR, 2017 | Monthly and annual returns have been submitted for the RY. | NIL. |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Manager, | Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager has been appointed in the mine. | NIL. |

| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Dumps=1.668 ha | Information furnished on land use appears to be in order. | NIL. |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Inside Lease: 150 and Outside Lease: 100 nos. | Inside Lease: 150 and Outside Lease: 100 nos. | NIL. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | 25184 tons. | 5184 tons. Quantity of waste V generation has been in furnished in mineral dareject para. | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Graded Stock: | Found in Order. | NIL. |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | and Cost of | Few errors have been observed in cost of production. | Violation of MCDR issued vide letter dated 30/11/2021. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Contractual work, hence, no fixed asset. | NIL. |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Back Hoe, Tipper, Water tanker etc. | Back Hoe, Tipper, Water tanker etc. | Contractual machineries. |

PAGE: 13

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance | on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 30-NOV-21 | | | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a | 30-NOV-21 | | | | |

Date: (DILIP JAIN)

Indian Bureau of Mines