### INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

### Ajmer regional office

	Mine :	file No : RAJ/KOTA-1/L	ST	-1 <b>M</b> :	ine code : 38RAJ19001
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	GQ21 ) D R GURJAR	
	(ii)	Designation	:	Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines	
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Sh. Vivek Rawat, DGM(Geology	& Mining)
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	16-SEP-21	
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	29-OCT-20	
		PAI	<b>ч</b> т-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION	
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	MCL	
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/7378/2011	
	(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone	: : : :	A Mechanised Opencast RAJASTHAN KOTA MORAK RAMGANJ MANDI ADITYA NAGAR 326520 07459232231 mines@mangalamcement.com 07459232146	
	(f)	Police Station	:	Morak	
	(g)	First opening date	:	21-DEC-77	
	(h)	Weekly day of rest	:	SUN	
2.		ess for espondance	:	MANGALAM CEMENT LTD, ADITYA N PO MORAK,TEHSIL RAMGANJMANDI DISTT- KOTA 326520	AGAR ,
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	RAJ0013 895 20 21-FEB-97	
4.	Miner	cal worked	:	LIMESTONE Main	

5.	Name	and Address of Lessee	the :	MANGLAM CEMENTS LIMITED ADITYA NAGER -326517 PO- MORAK DISTT-KOTA KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone:)7459)44237,44262 FAX :	
		Owner	:	K. C. Jain Mangalam Cement Ltd. P. O. Adityanagar, Morak, Distt. Kota KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone: FAX : 07459232156	
		Agent	:	S.B.Sharma Mangalam cement Ltd Aditya Nagar Morak KOTA RAJASTHAN Phone: 07459232262 FAX : 07459232231	
		Mining Enginee	r		
		Name	:	S. B. Sharma, Full Time	
		Qualification			
		~	:	08-MAR-91	
		Geologist			
		Name	:	Vivek Rawat,Full Time	
		Qualification	:	M Tech(Applied Geology), FCC(R)	
		Appointment/ Termination da	: te	13-JAN-95	
		Manager			
		Name	:	Ramesh Chaudhry	
		Qualification	:	B. E. (Mining), FCC (R)	
		Appointment/ Termination da	: te	31-MAR-15	
6.		of approval of Scheme of Minin		ng : Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.of approved Mining Plan Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.approved Mining Scheme MP modif under MCR 1960 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016	<pre>3 25-SEP-03 18-AUG-06 3 04-NOV-11 13-FEB-13 10-JUN-16</pre>

#### PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

# Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	No proposal during the previous year.	Not applicable as no proposal.	Nil
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	No proposal during the year 2020-21.	Not applicable as no proposal.	Entire mineralised area has already been explored under Gl level.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	No proposal during the year 2020-21.	Not applicable.	Nil
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	No proposal during the year 2020-21.	Not applicable as no proposal.	Entire mineralised area has already been explored under G1 level.
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	No proposal specified in thsi regard.	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2021 88.7796 million Tonnes	Nil
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			Entire mineralised area has been explored up to G1 level. No issue observed related to geology & exploration.

# Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area		Block-I, Block-II &Block-III	Nil
2b		benches in top	As per proposal at most of places, however not maintained at Blcok III.	Mine Benches have not been maintained as per approved proposal in Pit No.2 of Block III.Hence Violation under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:1.003	1: 0.69	Nil

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	1,65,147m3	15,955m3	Nil
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	50,29,200m3	11,92,976m3	Nil
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			Mine development has been carried out out as per proposal however mine benches have not been maintained as per approved proposal in Pit No.2 of Block III.Hence Violation under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 has been issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production		Block-I, Block-II & Bloock- III	Nil
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	80,15,265 Ton.	27,59,894 Ton.	Nil
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	90% Recovery	87.64% Recovery	Nil
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	8,01,526Ton	2,36,062Ton	Crusher Reject.
3e	-		CaO =33% MgCO3 = 1.6 - 2.1%	Nil
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	20,11,680 Ton.	1,87,788 Ton.	Nil
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	CaO = 34 - 41.5%	CaO = 34 - 41.5%	Nil

3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Dry beneficiation by two stage double deck vibrating screen.	As per proposal.	Nil
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposal	None	Nil
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Deep hole drilling & blasting with deck charging was proposed.	Deep hole drilling & blasting with deck charging was carried out as per proposal.	Nil
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	HEMM shovel & dumpers combination proposed	HEMM shovel & dumpers combination deployed.	Nil
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Yes	Height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in Mining plan.	However, mine benches have not been maintained as per approved proposal in Pit No.2 of Block III.Hence Violation under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 has been issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	320.51 hect	303.09 hect	Nil
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:1.003	1:0.69	Nil
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Working Pit= 320.51 hect O/B Dump=30.15hect Plant, Building, roads etc 20.10 hect.	Working Pit=303.09 hect O/B Dump =22.79 hect. Plant, Building, roads etc20.10 hect.	Area excluding plantation.

2016-17 = 25, 15, 416 T3p Production of 2016-17 = Nil ROM mineral 45,00,895 T 2017-18 = 28,57,453 T during the last 2017 - 18 =2018-19 = 25,60,228 Tfive year period 44,57,370 T 2019-20 = 25,62,308 Tas applicable 2018 - 19 =2020-21 = 27,59,894 T60,09,005 T 2019 - 20 =81,22,430 T 2020 - 21 =80,15,265 T General remarks Mechanised mining method Method of Mining 3q Opencast of inspecting Mining Method is being used along with is suitable to the HEMM as per proposal. officers on type of deposit. was proposed method of mining using HEMM. etc.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping of OB/IB & mineral reject were proposed.	Separate dumping of OB/IB & mineral reject were carried out as per proposal.	Nil
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	Block-I Grid - 800/800 & 600/990 Block - II Grid- 5200/800 & 5100/990	Block-I Grid - 800/800 & 600/990 Block - II Grid- 5200/800 & 5100/990	OB & Mineral reject dumps.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	Three - within lease area.	Three - within lease area.	Nil
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Out of ultimate pit limit.	Out of ultimate pit limit.	Nil
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Two	Тwo	Nil
4f	Number of dead dumps.	One	One	Nil
4g	Number of dumps established.	One	One	Nil
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	No proposal during the year	Garland drain made.	Nil

4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	-	90m during the year.	Nil
4j	Number of settling ponds	No proposal	None	Nil
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			Waste dump management is saitsfactory.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	After full extraction of mineral backfilling proposed.	As per proposal.	Nil
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	15.95 Ha.	б.40 На.	During the year 2020-21 total backfilling was proposed over an area 15.95 hect. Whereas actual backfilling has been carried out over an area 6.4 hect.Hence, violation under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 has been issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021.
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	For Plantation	For Plantation	Nil
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Reclaimed = 157.67 hect.	Reclaimed = 126.88 hect.	By Backfilling & Plantation.

5e General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. During the year 2020-21 total backfilling was proposed over an area 15.95 hect. Whereas actual backfilling has been carried out over an area 6.4 hect.Hence, violation under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 has been issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	As per rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 holder of mining lease shall submit PMCP before 1st day of July every year.	PMCP report for the year 2020-21 has been submitted timely.	Nil
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	15.28 Ha.	9.69 Ha.	Nil
бс	afforestation done (ha).	7.42 Ha.	б.64 На.	Afforestation done on Back filled area, Over waste dump & Non Mineralize area.
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	5500 Nos	6075 Nos	As reported in AR 2020-21.
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	159317 Nos	160823 Nos	Up to year 2020- 21.
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No specific proposal	None	Nil
бд	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs 11,00,000	Rs 12,15,000	Nil

6h Compliance on 63,31,565 m3 38,37,500 M3 Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx ВхD 6i Compliance on 41,90,045 m3 Nil 10,92,413 m3 reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on 4000 Nos. 4885 Nos. (5.34hect) Nil reclamation and (5.59Hect) rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on 46.33 Hect. 44.39 Hect. Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on No proposal Nil None reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. Compliance of бm 1000 nos of 980 nos of saplings Nil rehabilitation saplings of waste land within lease (i)afforestation бn Compliance of 1.28 Hect. 1.25 Hect. Nil rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) 60 Compliance of By By Afforestation Nil rehabilitation Afforestation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation

бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	monitoring	As per E.C. & Consent conditions, monitoring is being carried out.	Nil
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			PMCP compliance of the mine is satisfactory.

## Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	After grade wise sorting usable limestone feed to captive cement plant.	As per proposal	No
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanical	Mechanical	Nil
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Cement grade & Sub Grade	Cement grade & Sub Grade	Nil
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines		Dry Beneficiation through vibrating screens	Nil
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			No issue were observed related to mineral conservation.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Yes	Yes, as per proposal	Nil

8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Yes	Top soil removed seperately and simultaneously used for plantation.	Nil
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Yes, as per proposal	Nil
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Use of OB & crusher reject for back filling was proposed	Use of OB & crusher reject for back filling has been carried out.	Nil
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Reclamation=15 .95Hect Rehabilitation =5.59Hect	Reclamation=6.40Hect Rehabilitation=5.34Hect	Nil
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)		6075 nos. of sapling planted over an area of 6.64 Ha.	Nil
8g	Survival rate	Not specified	82.40%	As reported in AR 2020-21.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling on	Water sprinkling was observed.	Nil
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			The general aesthetic beauty in and around mine area was satisfacotry.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

9a Status of As per rule 45 Monthly returns upto Timely submission. submission of August, 2021 and Annual of Monthly and MCDR, monthly returns for the year Annual returns 2020-21 have been and annual returns to be submitted timely. submitted. 9b Scrutiny of Information of Details found to be Nil Annual return Mining correct for information Engineer, Geolo on Mining gist and Engineer, Manager have Geologist and been furnished. Manager 9c Area under Pit The area mentioned under Scrutiny of Nil Annual return on = 303.09 hect. different heads found to land use pattern Reclaimed Area be in order. =126.88 hect. for area under pits, reclaimed Dump Area area, dumps etc. =22.79 hect. Occupied by Plant, Buildings, resi dential & Roads etc.-20.10 hect. 9d Scrutiny of No. of Plants Found to be in order. Nil Annual return on =6075 within afforestation lease area Survival = 82.40% 9e Mineral Reject Details seems not to be Scrutiny of Deviation in Annual return on =1908762 correct. reported quantity mineral reject of minerals reject Tonnes. generation having 59 to was observed (Grade and 70% CaCo3,18 during inspection. quantity) to 27% SiO2 Hence, Violation under rule 45(7)of MCDR 2017 was issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021. 9f Scrutiny of ROM & Cement Details found not to be Reported ROM Annual return on grade correct stock and grade ROM stock and/or limestone wise stock same graded ore Stock reported hence Violation 275357 tonnes. under rule 45(7)of MCDR 2017 was issued vide letter dated 23.09.2021. 9q Scrutiny of Ex- Mine price Some discrepnecies Violation under Annual return on / production observed in cost of rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 was issued sale value, Ex. cost =Rs production reported in 249.44 vide letter dated Mine price and annual return. 23.09.2021. production cost

9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		Details seems to be correct	Nil
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	5	Found to be correct.	Nil

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Violatio	n observed		Show couse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on Compl	iance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	
MCDR17 Rule 11(1)	23-SEP-21				
MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a	23-SEP-21				

Date :

(D R GURJAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines