INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Bangalore regional office

Mine file No: KNT/BLR/FE/490/BNG Mine code: 30KAR03181

(i) Name of the Inspecting : S19) SANDEEP KUMAR SINGH

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. Awadhesh Kumar Rai-AGM(Mines), Shri Aman Kumar-

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 16/02/2021

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

.. (a) Mine Name : TUNGA IRON ORE MINES

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/432/2011

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : KARNATAKA
District : BELLARY

Village : HITTANAHALLI

Taluka : SANDUR
Post office : TARANAGAR
Pin Code : 583275

FAX No. :

E-mail : PANDEY.BINAY@JSW.IN

Phone : 9448286155

(f) Police Station : BANNIHATTI
(g) First opening date : 25/01/2018

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : ITTANAHALLI VILLAGE, TARANAGAR

correspondance SANDUR TALUK, BALLARI DISTRICT- 583119

3. (a) Lease Number :

(b) Lease area
(c) Period of lease:

(d) Date of Expiry

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : JSW STEEL LIMITED

JSW Mining office, Near Talur Cross Vidyanagar Post, Toranagallu Sandur, Bellary BELLARY KARNATAKA

Phone:9448286155

FAX :

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 21 pits & 11 trenches proposed during the year 2017-18 & 2018-19 | 8 boreholes drilled during the year 2018-19 with total meterage of 399 m. Also 20 trial pits dug during the year 2018-19. | No Exploration backlog. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | No proposal | 12 bore holes with total meterage of 582 m drilled during the year 2019-20 | Nil |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not mentioned | Rs 28.22 lakhs expenditure incurred for exploration activities during the year 2019-20 | As reported by the Lessee.(Drilling cost 4850/m- Total drilling for the year 2019-20- 582 m) |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Not mentioned | 3.68 ha under G-3 area | G-1-7.07 ha, G-2- 0.6 ha. As reported by the Lesssee. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | 6,026,605 million tonnes of iron ore with grade 59.52 % as on 25.01.2018 | 5,152,629 tonnes of iror ore as on 1.04.2020. | n As per AR 2019-20. |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | _ | _ | The exploratory drilling has been carried out as per the proposals. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------|--|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | proposed in | Actual development carried out within the proposed extent of S4-4' to S7-7 | Nil |

| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate benches proposed in OB & mineral. | Separate benches maintained in OB & mineral | Overburden-1 bench, Mineral-1 bench. |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:3.11(in tons) | 1:0.99(in tons) | Ore- 299997.36 tons Waste- 296697 tons. Violation issued for less OB handling and development |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 466497 Cum of Overburden proposed | 148348 Cum of overburden handled. | As per AR, 2019- 20. Violation issued. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | _ | Against the proposed overburden quantity of 4,66,497 cu.m, only 1,48,348 cu.m of overburden has been handled for the year 2019-20, thereby leading to 68% less OB handling and development than the approved proposal. Violation issued |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One pit proposed. | Actual working carried out in One pit. | Nil |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 3,00,000 tons proposed | 2,99,997.36 tons achieved | As reported in AR 2019-20 |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100 % | 100 % | Nil |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|-----|--|---|---|---|
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanized Crushing & Screening proposed. | Mechanized crushing and screening carried out. | Size- 0- 10mm(fines) & 10- 40 mm(C-ore) |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3 j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Deep hole Drilling and blasting proposed. | Drilling & blasting carried out . DTH drilling of 100 mm dia & slurry explosives in conjuction with Detonators used for blasting. | Nil |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Nos & 0.9-1.1 Cum- 1 no. ii)Tippers-16- 18 Cum-11 Nos. | i)Excavator- 1.6 Cum - 4 Nos & 0.6 Cum- 1 no ii)Wheel Loader- 1 No. iii)Tipper-14 Cum-7 nos. iv)Water tanker-9000 litre-2 nos. v)Drill- 102 mm-1 no | Nil |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | both | 8 m height maintained for both Overburden and Mineral bench. | Nil |

| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 8.16 ha at the end of plan period (2021-22) | 6.69 ha covered under excavation/pits | As reported in AR 2019-20. |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:3.11(in tons) | 1:0.99(in tons) | Ore- 299997.36 tons Waste- 296697 tons. Violation issued for less OB handling and development. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | & roads- 0.94 ha. v)Green belt& | i)Covered under current(O/c) workings-6.69 ha ii)Waste disposal- 9.4 ha iii) Occupied by Plants, buildings, residential, welfare& roads-1.880 ha. iv)Other purpose(Green belt safety zone, R&R and Future Mining area)-14.710 ha | The land use (area in ha) as given in Part-I (12) under 12(v) are not reported correctly as per the financial assurance table (Para-8.6) of the last approved document. Violation issued under 45(7). |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2017-18: 3,00,000 tons 2018-19: 3,00,000 tons 2019-20: 3,00,000 tons (in tonnes) | 2017-18: 2,73,983 tons. 2018-19: 2,99,993 tons 2019-20: 2,99,997.36 tons (in tonnes) | Mine operations commenced on 25.01.2018 (Auctioned block) |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | i) The Mining activities were carried out as per the proposal. ii) The land use (area in ha) as given in Part-I (12) under 12(v) are not reported correctly as per the financial assurance table (Para-8.6) of the last approved document. Violation issued under Rule 45(7). |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------------|--|--|--|---|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping proposed for OB. | Separate dumping maintained for OB. | Nil |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Proposed OB dump Location-Between Sec-(S1-1', to S5-5'') | Actual OB dump location- Sec(S2-2' to S5-5') | Due to steep slope there was no approach for dumping towards sec S1-1', accordingly dumping carried out between Sec 2-2' to 5-5'.Dumping will be carried in Sec 1-1' once the approach is made. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 2 waste dumps within the Lease area(1 Proposed dump(PD) + 1 dead dump) | 2 waste dumps within the Lease area(1 Proposed dump + 1 dead dump) | _ |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not mentioned | Outside UPL | Nil |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | 1 proposed waste dump (PD) is used for waste dumping. | Nil |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 1 dead dump inside the Lease area | 1 dead dump inside the Lease area. | Nil |
| 4 g | Number of dumps established. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | & Garland | Retaining wall and garland drain construction carried out as per the proposal | Nil |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | | 305 m Retaining wall & 285 m Garland drain along the proposed waste dump constructed | (As reported by the Lessee). The current extent of the waste dump is protected by toe wall and garland drain. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | GCD, SMCD, RWHP & SST proposed as per the R& R plan | Construction of GCD,SMCD, RWHP are being carried out as per the R&R proposal. | Nil |

4k Specific _ comments of inspecting officer on waste

dump management

The waste dumping has been carried out as per the proposal. The retaining wall, garland drain, etc. construction along the proposed waste dump have been carried out as per the proposal.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | _ | _ | No backfilling proposals. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|------------|---|---------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | July every | Annual PMCP report for the year 2019-20 submitted on 10.09.2020 | Nil |

| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 0.58 ha within Lease.(Green belt development) | 0.5 ha | As reported by the Lessee during inspection. |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 0.58 ha within Lease.(Green belt development) | .5 ha within the lease | As reported by the Lessee during inspection. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 20,000 nos. of plants proposed(Gap filling & Green belt & old dumps) | 350 nos. of plants within ML area with 99 % survival rate and 500 nos. of plants outside ML area with 99 % survival rate. | As reported in AR 2019-20. Violation issued. |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not mentioned | 350 nos. of plants within ML area with 99 % survival rate and 500 nos. of plants outside ML area with 99 % survival rate.(For the year 2019-20). | Nil |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | 2.57 lakh/hectare proposed | As per the proposal | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|---|---|--|---|
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Environmental monitoring proposed for Air, water, noise& Soil for Core and buffer zone. | Environmental monitoring carried out for Air, Water Noise& Soil for both core and buffer zone. | Environmental monitoring report for Dec-2019 available. All environmental parameters within the permissible limit |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | _ | | Total 20,000 nos. of saplings to be planted in Greenbelt area & old dumps against which only 850 nos. of total saplings are planted for the year 2019-20. Violation issued. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Mechanised Crushing & screening proposed for iron ore | Mechanised Crushing & Screening carried out for iron ore. | Crusher-250 tph Size- 0-10 mm(fines) & 10-40 mm(C-ore) |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | No grade wise sorting proposed | No grade wise sorting carried out. | Nil |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | _ | _ | The mineral conservation activities carried out inside mine as per the proposal |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | generation | Nil | Nil |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping proposed for OB. | Separate dumping maintained for OB. | Dumping of waste carried out in proposed waste dump (PD). No mineral reject generation |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|---|----------------|--|--|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Not mentioned. | 350 nos. of plants within ML area with 99 % survival rate and 500 nos. of plants outside ML area with 99 % survival rate.(Plantation for the year 2019-20) | Nil |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not mentioned | 99% achieved within ML area and outside ML area | As reported in AR 2019-20. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling on | Water sprinkling carried out as per the proposal | Two Water sprinkler of capacity 9 KL used in the mines for water sprinkling. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | _ | _ | The aesthetic beauty in and around the mine looks good. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|------------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | M.R. Submitted up to Jan- 2021. ***** A.R. of the year 2019-20 submitted on 30.06.2020 | M.R. Submitted up to Jan-2021. **** A.R. of the year 2019-20 submitted on 30.06.2020 | Submitted. |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | i)Mining Engineer- Shri Aman Kumar ii) Geologist- Shri Raghavendra S. Desai iii) Manager- Shri Prakash M.S | The Mining Engineer & Geologist were present during inspection on 16/02/2021 | Nil |

| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | <pre>current(0/c) workings- 6.69 ha ii)Waste</pre> | The land use (area in ha) as given in Part-I (12) under 12(v) are not reported correctly as per the financial assurance table (Para-8.6) of the last approved document. | Violation issued. |
|----|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 350 nos. of plants within ML area with 99 % survival rate and 500 nos. of plants outside ML area with 99 % survival rate. | Appears to be correct | Nil |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Appears to be correct | Nil |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | _ | Appears to be correct | Nil |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | 667.95/ton Ex mine price- | Appears to be correct | Captive Mines |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Rs 272304069 including industrial, plant & machinery & capitalized expenditure | Appears to be correct | Nil |

9k Scrutiny of i)Excavator- Appears to be correct Nil Annual return on 1.6 Cum - 4 mining Nos & 0.6 Cummachineries 1 no. ii)Wheel Loader- 1 No. iii)Tipper-14 Cum-7 nos. iv)Water tanker-9000 litre-2 nos. v)Drill- 102 mm-1 no vi)Dozer- 1no vii)Motor Grader- 1no.

viii)Crusher-

250 TPH

PAGE: 15

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | | Show couse position | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|----|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance of | on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 01/03/2021 | | | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a | 01/03/2021 | | | | |

Date:

(SANDEEP KUMAR SINGH)

Indian Bureau of Mines