



भारत सरकार / Government of India

खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES
 100. ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड) 248001 / 100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (U.K.) 248001

TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail - ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in

फाईल संख्या File No: 614(2)/MS-B-288/08-DDN

दिनांक 29.01.2019



सेवा में To	श्री राकेश पुरोहित, खनन अभियन्ता, 17E/403, C.H.B. जोधपुर-342 008 (राजस्थान) rkconsultantsjodhpur@gmail.com	श्री एस0 के0 सोनी, भूवैज्ञानिक, J3C-1, सुभाष कालोनी, गली नं. 4 Defence Lab Road, जोधपुर-342 011 (राजस्थान) Soni.sarnar.shailendra@gmail.com
-------------	---	---

विषय/ Sub : Submission of Modified Mining Plan along-with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Bhimgoda Limestone mine of M/s Sohan Singh Meet Singh over an area of 3.32 hectare in Village-Bhimgoda, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, District -Sirmour of HP State, submitted under Rule 17(3) of Minerals (Other than Atomic & Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rules, 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

संदर्भ/Ref. : Your letter No-Nil dated Nil received on dated 19.12.2018

महोदय/ Sir,

This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 19.12.2018. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit 3 fair copies of the Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page numbers.

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

भवदीय Yours faithfully,

(एस. सकलानी S Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist
 कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी For DCOM & Officer In Charge
 भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :-

- 1- खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
- 2- मै. सोहन सिंह मीत सिंह, गांव व पोस्ट- कमरऊ, सब - तहसील- कमरऊ, जिला-सिरमौर - 173 029 (हि0 प्र0)
- 3- उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय कैंप कार्यालय, एनसीआर, सीजीओ कॉम्प्लेक्स नई दिल्ली।

29/1/18

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist
कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी For DCOM & Officer In Charge
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

तिथारी c:\7 C:\Users\libm\Desktop\Scrutiny forwarding comments\Far_Scr_Bhimgoda Sohan Singh Meet Singh SS.doc

Inspection Report/Scrutiny Comments of modified mining plan with progressive mine closure plan of Bhimgoda Limestone mines (over an area of 3.32 ha) in village –Kamroo , Tehsil- Paonta Sahib , District- Sirmour state HP submitted on behalf of M/s Sohansingh Meetsingh & Sons under rules 17(3) of MCR ,2016 & & 23 of MCDR 2017.

1. Page-1-The period of modified mining plan is not discussed under the para which has been approved on 2/6/2016 .
2. Form K, principal deed is not enclosed.
3. Renewal deed is not legible.
4. Most of the annexures are not legible. This is to be noted seriously by QP. All the annexures to be serially numbered and attested by QP for its authenticity.
5. Geo coordinates of all the corner points of ML should be authenticated by State government.
6. Scrutiny comments no. 15, 21, 30, 41 and 42 pointed out earlier (for which mining plan was not approved) should also be attended.
7. Proposal for green belt to be ensured in prominent wind direction in order to protect the environment of the area.
8. Define the term mineral reject @ 10% at page 20.
9. Adequacy of drilling equipment is not given with reference to proposal of mining(drilling) at page 21.
10. Proposal to address the violation dated 31.10.18 for rule 11(1) is not evident. It should be categorically depicted over separate sections, which will be verified in subsequent inspection. Vertical benches of 10 mtrs is to be reduced to proposed height. Proposal for mining should be considered for systematic, scientific mining for mineral conservation and adequate plantation for protection of environment.
11. The expiry of lease period may be corrected as per amended MMDR 2015.
12. The name of the signatory authority has not been mentioned below the signature in consent letter.
13. Page-10- Para (a) – Topography of the area should be properly described.
14. Page-13-Location of proposed borehole is not mentioned.
15. Page-14-The production achieved since mining operation is not matching as given on page no. 8
16. Page-16-The threshold value of MgO is to be checked and corrected. The reserve assessed after introduction of MEMC rule 2015 should be elaborated.
17. Page 19- Width parameter of bench is not incorporated in designed parameter table.
18. Page 19- Specific pit number may be given in table on page 19 and 20 instead mentioned as existing pit.
19. Page 38- Air quality standards may be given under PM 2.5 and PM 10 .
20. Page 43- Para D- Proposal for the garland drain is not given.
21. Page 45- Construction of retaining wall is not proposed. Table no. not given and page number also not assigned in the annexures.
22. Date not mentioned under certificate of Qualified person.
23. Environment plan (plate no. 6) –Index is not matching with feature under the plan.
- 24..Surface plan (Plate no. 3)
 - a.) Ground control point and there coordinates are not indicated.
 - b.) Grid line symbol is not indexed.
 - c.) 7.5m barrier indication is not indexed.
- 25.Surface geological plan (plate 4)-
 - a.)UNFC code is not mentioned in plan.
 - b.) Axis G-1 line not shown in plan.
 - c.) Lithological contact line not shown.
26. Geological section – (Plate 4A)

a.) All symbols indicating in index are not matching with the plan.

b.) RL is not indicating in index.

27. Proposed working plan (plate 5A to 5E) - fencing proposals are not given .

28. Conceptual plan (Plate 7)

a.) Reclamation and rehabilitation proposal for every 5 year period is not shown.

29. There are certain omissions, deficiencies in the text and plates. QP should ensure thorough editing before preparing the final copies.