

भारत सरकार / Government of India खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES 100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादून (उत्तराखंड) 248001/100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (U.K.)248001

TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail - ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in

फाईल संख्या File No: : 614(2)/MS-B-225/2013-DDN

देहरादून, दिनाक 28.01.2019

सेवा में/ To :

श्री पंकज पाण्डे, कन्सल्टैंट (परामर्शदाता),

सहज सहयोग कन्सल्टैंट्स प्रा0 लि0, बी-1/21, सेक्टर-बी, अलीगंज, लखनऊ-226 024 (उ0 प्र0)।

sahahsahyig990@gmail.com

Submission of Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect Punzoo Limestone Mine over an mine of 0.8505 Hectares at Village- Qazigund,, Tehsil - Dooru District - Anantnag, State-Jammu & Kashmir of Mr. M.M.Khan, submitted under Rule 17 (1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 & 23 of Mineral Conservation and Develppment Rules-2017

संदर्भ/Ref.

Your letter No. Nil dated -Nil received on dated 10.12.2018

महोदय/ Sir,

This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 10.12.2018. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit 3 fair copies of the Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Modified Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority. Encl: as above.

भवदीय/ Yours faithfull

(पृष्पेन्द्र गौड़ /Pushpender Gaur) उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी

Deputy Controller of Mines & OIC भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थं प्रेषित :-

- 1- खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
- 2- श्री एम.एम. खान आर / ओ काजीगुंड, तहसील द्वारु जिला अनंतनाग (J & K) 192230 Mr.M.M.Khan, R/O - Qazigund, Tehsil - Dooru, District - Anantnag (J&K) 192230

the week the state of the state

उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी

Deputy Controller of Mines & OIC भारतीय खार्न ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

तिवारी cl.7 C:\Users\IBM\Desktop\Unicode\For.Scr. Bhimgoda KN Cement SS.doc

Scrutiny comments indicating deficiencies in respect of Review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Punjoo limestone mine of Mr. M. M. Khan(0.8505 hect.) in Anantnag district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

- 1. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.
- 2. Annexures are not as per IBM,s guide lines.
- 3. Copy of lease deed not legible.
- 4. More representative photographs are not enclosed showing actual mining operation.
- The validity of previous scheme of mining expired in the year 2017-18 and present submission is submitted in the month of December 2018. Justification has not been given in this regard.
- 6. Category of mine is not correct. Picture/ cover photo do not support this.
- 7. Quantity of excavated material without a valid mining plan should be indicated.
- 8. Production proposals for the year 2018-19 should be reduced proportionately considering that nearly three months are left.
- 9. Under chapter 3.0 in the review part, previous excavation proposals indicating mRL are different than indicated in the ensuing development proposals. Such drastic changes in the mRL need to be clarified.
- 10. On page 9 under item 3.4 it is mentioned that no violations were pointed out by IBM is not a true fact.
- 11. The exploration shall be carried out as per rule 12 of MCDR 2017.
- 12. Page 17- Extent of the area covered under G-1, G-2, G-3 and G-4 axis are not given. On similar page date of approval of previous scheme of mining is not given.
- 13. On page 13 under expenditure, 01 pit is indicated. It is not understood what this pit is. Whether trial pits have been dug. In case the trial pits have been dug in the past, the location of the same should have been marked on the relevant plates.
- 14. Insitu and recoverable reserves are required to be rechecked thoroughly.
- 15. The design of the parameter of pit in the text is not in conjunction with that given in different relevant plates. For example the pit slope in text is 70 degree whereas the same is not matching in the relevant plans and sections and thus effect of slope of benches is not considered while designing the pit. By virtue of which the conceptual plan is to be redrawn.
- 16. Page 18- Excess production has been reported in the past. Justification has not been given.
- 17. Page 19-What were the reserves and resources in previous MP/SoM. Depletion of reserves with reference to previous approved SoM not given..
- 18. Page 21-cut off grade- not as per threshold value notified by IBM.
- 19. Page 22- Mining- Proposals for manual mining is not tenable. Dimension of the pit is indicated. How this dimension is possible in triangular shaped pit for scientific mining.

Le

- 20. Page 22- No benching is evident in satellite image as well as plan. On similar page gradient of the road is given but no mRL is indicated. Recovery is too much and against conservation.
- Cover page- No actual mining is evident. With such high benches manual mining is not matching.
- 22. Page 23- There is increase in production whereas no proper reason with respect to R&R is given.
- Page 25- Equipment proposals are evident in plan thus adequacy of equipment to be given.
- 24. Retaining walls are proposed outside the lease which is not accepted for approval. All the proposals shall be within the ML only.
- 25. There is public road passing just below the mine. No proposals for safety precautions are given.
- 26. Page 8- Afforestation- Actual work done to be given.
- 27. Total quantity of production since inception to be given.
- 28. Yearwise proposals for rehabilitation and reclamation should be given in tabular form.
- 29. Proposed plantation for ensuing five years are not adequate. Atleast 50 saplings per year should be proposed that should be in form of development of green belt. KML file of lease area should be submitted alongwith fair copies.
- 30. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
- 31. Category of mine need to be changed. Accordingly FA amount should be recalculated and accordingly provision of part time geologist be changed.
- 32. There are several typographical mistakes which require to be corrected.
- 33. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
- 34. A CD / pen drive covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.

Plates

35. Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars should be enclosed in which original lease area, area surrendered and retained area are to be marked precisely. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.

La

- 36. Precise superimposition of authentic lease plan is to be carried out before preparation of all the plans and all the plans should be revised accordingly.
- 37. Geological plan- Technically wrong.
- 38. Development plan for the first year- Pit configuration is not correct.
- 39. Geological sections- More sections to be drawn.
- 40. Financial assurance plan is wrong.
- 41. Three ground control points are not given.
- 42. UPL should be marked on reclamation plan.
- 43. Conceptual plan-Adequate sections are not drawn. It has impact on calculation of R&R and thus it is to be drawn carefully and should be implementable.
- 44. More sections on geological plan showing UPL shall be given.
- 45. Proposed trial pits are not plotted on the relevant plates nor indicated in the index.
- 46. Existing mining pits are indicated on surface and geological plan but the same are not indicated in the index.
- 47. Proposed trial pits are not plotted on the relevant plates nor indicated in the index.
- 48. Features to be shown as per rule 32(a) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface plan.
- 49. Features to be shown as per rule 32(a) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in geological plan.
- 50. Slopes of 70 degree are not depicted in any of the section
- 51. Environment plan is not as per rule 32(5)(b).

Note- All the corrections marked on the text and plates should be attended.

तवारी C:\Users\Acer\Desktop\S Saklani\SL MM Khan.doc