

Scrutiny Comments on Review of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Digrana Limestone Mine over an area of 100 hectares (MI. No 377/90) near village-Digrana, Tehsil- Jaitaran, District- Pali of M/S Nirma Ltd., Submitted under rule 17(3) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017 for existing mining lease.

1. On Cover page, the contact Mobile No of responsible person & correct Email address of party should be given.
2. The mining lease had been wrongly stated as expiring on 19/4/2021 on cover page & in introduction chapter; the correct status of lease should be furnished along with suitable documentary evidences.
3. The text part should be given in legible form.
4. The photo ID of nominated owner with address proof has not been given. The resolution passed by Board of Directors in favor of Sh. Shailesh V. Sonara as nominated owner should also be submitted. The list of members of Board of Directors with address & contact number should also be furnished.
5. In Introduction chapter & as per annexure – I & II the lease has been stated in the name of M/S Sidhi Vinayak Cement Ltd., whereas on cover page the lease is stated in the name of M/S Nirma Ltd. It needs to be clarified along with the copies of order issued by the state Govt.
6. The status of transfer of mining lease in favour of applicant company should be given, along with documentary evidences. An order from the state government indicating transfer of mining lease is in process with the state Govt. should be submitted.
7. The details of other lease hold areas presently held by the applicant in the country should be furnished.
8. In Para 2 b) The list of khasra stated as annexure XIV & XV are not in legible form.
9. In Para 2 b), the Co Ordinates i.e. Latitude & Longitude of all the pillars should be duly supported by online demarcation sheet of the lease area.
10. The future program of exploration page 22 The statement made as “The exploration is divided in three years i.e. 2017-18” does not convey any meaning. It should be corrected.
11. The recovery factor, bulk density and analysis reports of different grades of mineral, reject etc. should be submitted from NABL recognized Lab.
12. In Para 2.0 f) the conceptual Mine planning taking into consideration exploration, backfilling of voids, reclamation and rehabilitation etc. needs to be discussed on techno economic feasible Parameters, so as to hand over the area in useful way to the society.
13. The plantation proposals/ green belt development had not been discussed in the Conceptual plan in Para 2 F), Page 40.
14. In Para 3.0, the proposal of suitable no of check dams over seasonal nalas connected with suitable drains should be proposed for rain water harvesting & ground water recharge.
15. The generation of Sub grade mineral had not been discussed on yearly basis with its preservation for future use in Para 4.0, page 44.
16. The table furnished under Para 8.3.5 should be modified in reference of the above discrepancies on each year basis.
17. All the plans should be modified in reference to the modifications suggested in the Text.
18. The longitudinal Geological Sections should also be submitted.
19. The Part of Waste dumped out of Lease area near Pillar ‘D’ should be brought within lease area & proposal to this effect should be incorporated.
20. The financial assurance plan does not depict the proposed land use as depicted in table under Para 8.6, page 71.
21. The feasibility report as per format prescribed in Mineral Evidence Rules should be prepared & submitted. The feasibility report should incorporate all envisaged modifications suggested for mining plan & PMCP.

22. The affidavit for No dues of DMG or any other deptt & No court case/ litigation in connection with the area applied under ML should be furnished.
23. The mining engineer should not change the serial no. of items as given in the guidelines for preparations of the mining plan.
24. An undertaking should be furnished by the applicant that text & Plates are same as is submitted in the Email.
25. All pages of the text should be signed by the Mining Engineer/ Recognized person.
26. The financial assurance should be calculated as per provisions of MCDR 2017 & Submitted. The copy of Financial assurance should be annexed.
27. There are good number of typographic errors, omissions and spelling mistakes, which should be attended properly.

③

2/5/2017

(H. C. Sharma)  
Sr ACOM, IBM, Ajmer.