



भारत सरकार / Government of India
खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines



क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES
100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादून (उत्तराखंड) 248001 / 100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (U.K.)248001
TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail – ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in

फाईल संख्या File No: 614(2)/MP-B-239/06-DDN

दिनांक 26/06/2019
e-mail - gnchowdry@yahoo.com

सेवा में/ To : जी. एन. चौधरी (M.Sc. Geology)
17-इकबाल कालोनी, चनापोरा
श्रीनगर- (जे एण्ड के)

G.N. Chowdry (M.Sc. Geology)
17-Iqbal Colony, Chanapora
Srinagar- (J&K)

विषय/ Sub : Draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect Chakdura Limestone Mine over an area of 21.106 Hectares at Village- Bathyn, Tehsil-Pantha-Pampore, District-Pulwama, State-Jammu & Kashmir of Mr. Mushtaq Muzaffar Anem S/o Haji Mohd. Muzaffar, C/o M/s Dawar Cements Pvt. Ltd submitted under Rule 17 (1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 & 23 of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules-2017

महोदय/ Sir,

This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Review and Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 14.05.2019. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit 3 fair copies of the Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page numbers.

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

भवदीय/ Yours faithfully,

(शैलेन्द्र सकलानी Shailendra Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूविज्ञानी AMG
कृते प्रभारी अधिकारी For Officer In Charge
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :-

1. खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
2. Mr. Manzoor Ahmad Gunna, S/o Late Saif-ud-din Gunna, M/s Saifco Cements Pvt. Ltd. , Opposite Post Office, Batwara, Distt.- Srinagar, J&K-190004 (saifco_786@yahoo.com)
3. उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय कैम्प कार्यालय, नई दिल्ली।

सहायक खनन भूविज्ञानी AMG
कृते प्रभारी अधिकारी For Officer In Charge
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

Scrutiny comments indicating deficiencies in respect of submitted Review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Chakdura limestone mine of Mr. M.M.Anem (21.106 hect.) in Pulwama district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

1. On cover page the lease period is extended upto 2059 as per amended MMDR. However, copy of the State government is not enclosed. Thus actual lease period is to be mentioned.
2. Lat- Long coordinates (geo-referenced) boundary pillars are not authenticated by the State government.
3. Lease boundary is overlapping with the adjacent lease as per KML file. Khasra map is enclosed along with annexure 3 but shape is not matching with the KML file.
4. Mine code is not mentioned on cover page.
5. Name of lessee and Address of lessee given on cover page is not matching with the address mentioned in lease deed.
6. Name of mine indicated on cover page is not matching with the name mentioned in the lease deed. Give form I submitted to IBM.
7. Annexure 3- English translation be enclosed also.
8. On cover page specify the month for proposal period 2019-20 as nearly three months of the first financial year is over, No approval from retrospective period be given.
9. More representative photographs to be enclosed.
10. Bore hole analysis has been carried out in the past. Name of the agency has not been indicated nor analysis report is enclosed. Form J is to be given.
11. On page 23 R&R as on 1.4.2019 are indicated. The data should be taken from approved MP/Scheme approved on the last occasion.
12. Similarly reserves and resources are given on page 18 & 19. Reserves indicated under 111 category are indicated as 2154500 tonnes. The sum is not correct. On similar page reserves under 111 category are indicated as 4852000 tonnes. Thus entire reserves are to be reassessed afresh with proper justification.
13. On page 19 bench wise reserves are given. The sum is wrong. Similarly resources are indicated on page 24. These are also not matching with sectional area calculated. Thus reserve and resources to be re estimated.
14. Life of the mine need to be re calculated.
15. Please give production details / exploration / planation etc from opening of mine till 2018-19.
16. The deposit seems to be homogenous. Thus intersection distance may be proposed to 50 mtr, also depict UP limits (estimated / projected).
17. Production proposals are given on page 33 to 36. These figures are not matching with the figures indicated on page 37.
18. On page 33 bench RL for first year (2140) is given which is not correct and not matching with development plan of first year. Similar mistake is done on page 34. Match these RLs of RLs given on page 37.
19. On page 53 area under green belt/ rehabilitated is mentioned. The location of rehabilitated area is to be given. (This shall not be the virgin area).
20. Page 53- Sustainable mining- Be specific in reply. Further on similar page it is mentioned about swachhta pakhwada. No such annexure is enclosed. Mine is suspended by the State government. How the pakhwada was celebrated. On similar page expenditure under CSR is indicated without any documentary evidence. Mine is not in operation since last five years. How it is possible.
21. On page 42 area has been calculated upto 2049. The lease period has yet not been extended. Thus it is to be calculated afresh.

22. The actual work done in the PMCP proposals should be clear cut and to be reflected in reclamation plan, environment plan and surface plan.
23. Orientation of pit wrt conceptual mining plan is not given
24. What precaution to be taken to keep the ground vibration and over pressure under control/ permissible limit.
25. Tentative azimuth and inclination of boreholes has not been indicated.
26. Page no. 53, water regime- Please give data in the tabulated form based on the latest environmental monitoring. Similarly for air quality give data of core zone. Noise data also be given.
27. Page 64- plantation shall be done along the lease boundary especially initially along the prominent wind direction.
28. Page 75- reassess the area put to use and accordingly financial assurance shall be recalculated and submitted accordingly.
29. As the mine is a running mine, PMCP proposals should cover green belt development along ML area / conceptual pit limits which are not indicated.
30. No proposals are given for phased restoration. Be specific what are the proposals for phased restoration once you conceptualize the future restoration. All such activities shall be outside UPL.
31. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
32. All the proposals should be made within the ML only.
33. Non mineralized zone should be given exclusively in the table.
34. There are several typographical mistakes which requires to be corrected.
35. On certificate RQP is repeatedly mentioned. QP is not taking note of it.
36. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
37. Two CDs covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.

Plates

38. In SGP non mineralized zone should only be marked after proving by negative BH.
39. Prominent wind direction given on Environmental Plan be checked.
40. Borehole proposals are given in non mineralized zone. proper justification be given for such proposal.
41. Sections are not scientifically drawn to assess / estimate reserve and resources.
42. Section lines / no. of sections near actual excavation zone are insufficient.
43. Reclamation plan is not in line with PMCP proposals. Lessee should take a note to that.
44. Conceptual plan to be redrawn in light of reassessed resources / mineable reserves.
45. Financial Area Assurance plan. Area put to use is not correct.
46. Geological sections. UPL not shown as per conceptual planning.
47. One composite section shall also be given for all five years for proposed excavated area.
48. Except Environmental Plan, all other plans & sections should be restricted to mine lease area only. No proposal should be made outside the ML area.
49. Sections depicting year wise excavation proposals shall be superimposed on geological sections only. No new arbitrary section to be given.
50. More sections on geological plan showing UPL shall be given.