

भारत सरकार / Government of India खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES 100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादृन (उत्तराखंड) 248001 / 100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun (U.K.)248001

TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail - ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in

फाईल संख्या File No: 614(2)/MP-B-241/2006-DDN

दिनाक 13.09.2019

e-mail - sahahsahyig990@gmail.com

सेवा में/ To: श्री पंकज पाण्डे, कन्सल्टैंट (परामर्शदाता), सहज सहयोग कन्सल्टैंट्स प्रा0 लि0, बी-1/21, सेक्टर-बी, अलीगंज,

लखनऊ-226 024 (उ0 प्र0)।

विषय/ Sub: Draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect Chowgund Limestone Mine over an area of 4.378 Hectares at Village- Chowgund, Tehsil-Doru, District-Anantnag, State-Jammu & Kashmir of Mr. Fayaz Ahmad Raina S/o Mr. Gh. Hassan Raina, submitted under Rule 17 (1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 & 23 of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules-2017

महोदय/ Sir,

This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Review and Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 05.07.2019. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit 3 fair copies of the Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page numbers.

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

भवदीय/ Yours faithfully,

(दामोदर प्रसाद शर्मा Damodar Prasad Sharma)

सहायक खान नियंत्रक ACOM

कृते क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक For Regional Controller of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

प्रतिलिपि सचनार्थं प्रेषित :-

- खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
- 2. Mr.Fayaz Ahmad Raina, S/o Mr. Gh. Hassan Raina, R/o -Ganie Gund Verinag, Tehsil -Dorum District Anantnag
- क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय कैम्प कार्यालय, एफ विंग, कमरा नं0 115, शास्त्री भवन, नई दिल्ली ।

सहायक खान नियंत्रक ACOM

कृते क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक For Regional Controller of Mines भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

Scrutiny comments indicating deficiencies in respect of Review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Chowgund limestone mine of Mr. F.A.Raina(4.378 hect.) in Anantnag district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

- 1. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.
- 2. More coloured representative photographs are not enclosed. Actual operation photo to be given. Photographs enclosed are not correctly appended.
- 3. On page no 3 name of lessee name is not correct.
- 4. On perusal of surface plan it is observed that a road is passing through the lease area. Indicate the type of road whether it is NH or state highway. Give the details and distance of road passing nearby the lease area. That means how far the public road is, give the name of NH etc. Details also be given whether it is on lower altitude or higher altitude wrt ML area/ proposed excavation.
- 5. Table-1- Lease coordinates- This type of authentication can not be considered proper. It is to be given in letter form and over on plan.
- 6. Page 8- Saplings planted- This can not be considered as compliance.
- 7. Copy of BG against FA is not enclosed.
- 8. Page 9- Violations were pointed out by IBM. The reason for non compliance has not been indicated.
- Quantity of excavated material without a valid mining plan during 2018-19 should be indicated.
- Production proposals for the year 2019-20 should be reduced proportionately considering that nearly four months will be over.
- 11. Exploration shall be carried out and proposals shall be as per rule 12 of MCDR 2017.
- Page 18- Extent of area- please refer MEMC Rules 2015. Review R&R as per UNFC code.
- 13. Page 21- review the assignment of code w.r.t. I table at page 18.
- 14. Page 24- What will be the overall depth of mine.
- 15. Page 18- Previous scheme of mining was approved on 19.02.2014 but on this page date is mentioned as 19.03.2012...
- 16. Page 24- Existing method of mining- Give all the proposed parameters in tabulated form. Wrong statement and unscientific approach can not be considered for approval. Redesign the pit parameters. This can not be agreed upon with this design parameters wrt jack hammer, drilling and blasting.
- 17. Page 25- Give a note why production is increased with respect to previous maximum quantity. Also record to obtain statutory clearances prior to achieving such enhanced quantity. No specific reason for enhancement of production is indicated.

Das

- 18. Page 35- Production proposals are not matching with the use of mineral.
- 19. Page 31- How reclamation shall be carried out when no waste exist. Clarify this.
- 20. Page 44- table- are these benches reached at ultimate limit.
- 21. No proposals should be given outside the ML.
- 22. Certificate- Write MCDR 2017.
- 23. The design of the parameter of pit in the text is not in conjunction with that given in different relevant plates. For example the pit slope in text is 70 degree whereas the same is not matching in the relevant plans and sections and thus effect of slope of benches is not considered while designing the pit and calculation of mineable reserves. By virtue of which the conceptual plan is to be redrawn. Overall pit slope proposals shall be re checked.
- 24. Initial Green belt should be developed towards prominent wind direction.
- 25. Proposals are incorporated for 'A' category (OTFM) mine. Thus financial assurance shall be submitted as per category of mine and shall be co terminus with the proposal period of mining plan.
- 26. Page 48- Review the area put to use and accordingly the sum of financial assurance shall be revised.

Plates

- 27. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan. Certificate to this effect should be given on each plate.
- 28. Precise superimposition of authentic lease plan is to be carried out before preparation of all the plans and sections.
- 29. Surface plan- Bending is not evident.
- 30. Geological sections are not matching with the plan- UNFC code assigned are to be reviewed again. It is not matching with the text. How dip of lithounits is given without bore holes, logs etc.
- 31. Reclamation plan- Retaining walls are proposed for what purpose, please specify. When no waste is generated how the retaining walls shall be erected.
- 32. Environment plan- It is written as financial assurance plan. Further national highway is shown on the plan passing through the lease area. On perusal of google image NH is not evident. This is to be clarified categorically. Details are not given outside the lease area.
- 33. Financial assurance plan- Re calculate FA/area put to use.
- 34. UPL should be marked on reclamation plan. Preferably it should be marked on each plate and section.
- 35. Conceptual plan-Adequate sections are not drawn. It has impact on calculation of R&R and thus it is to be drawn carefully and should be implementable.
- 36. More sections on geological plan showing UPL shall be given.

Desp

- 37. Features to be shown as per rule 32(a) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface plan.
- 38. Features to be shown as per rule 32(b) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface geological plan.
- 39. Environment plan is not as per rule 32(5)(b).
- 40. **General-** The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years. Proposals for ground vibration and AOP be given.
- 41. There are several typographical mistakes which require to be corrected.
- 42. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
- 43. A CD / pen drive covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.
- 44. Additional comments shall also be communicated to you in case of receipt of comments from State government if any.

Note; All the corrections mentioned in the text and plates shall also be attended invariably.

C:\Users\Acer\Desktop\Scrutiny letter FA Raina.doc

Day