



Government of India
Ministry of Mines
Indian Bureau of Mines
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines, Jabalpur

SHOW CAUSE LETTER
Email/Registered AD/Speed post

Date: 29/04/2020

File No. MP/SATNA/LST.-331/

To,

Sh. K. C. Jhanwar,
Nominated Owner and Dy. MD & CMO,
M/s Ultratech Cement Limited
Ahire Centre, "A" wing, 1st Floor,
Mahakali Caves Road, Andheri (E)
Mumbai (Maharashtra)- 400093

To,

Hinauti Limestone Mine (378.261 Ha),
M/s Ultratech Cement Limited
Jaypee Vihar, P.O. - Bharatpur,
District- Sidhi (M.P.) - 486776

Subject: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017, in respect to
Inspection of Hinauti Limestone Mine (378.261 Ha), M/s Ultratech Cement Ltd, Mine code at
Jaypee Vihar , P.O.- Bharatpur, District- Sidhi (M.P.)- 486776

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017 were found violated in your above mine during site inspection on 22/11/2019. The Violations were communicated to you vide this office registered AD letter of even no. dated 07/01/2020 giving you a time period of 45 (forty five) days for rectification of the same

Rule No	Nature of violation observed in detail
Rule 11(1)	No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operations in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Government pursuant to the proviso to clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 5 or approved by the competent authority of the Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research, in respect of minerals specified in Part B of the First Schedule to the Act where the grade of such atomic minerals is equal to or above the threshold value limits declared under Schedule-A of the Atomic Minerals Concession Rules, 2016. During inspection it has been observed that the following provisions of the rule have been violated:- a) It was proposed to develop one pit no.-01 between the grid coordinates 528260 E to 528920E & 2687800 N to 2688110 N and up to depth of 295 RL. But, it was observed during inspection that development was done between the grid coordinates 529700 E to 529900E & 2688250 N to 2688330 N and up to depth of 295 RL in the year 2018-19. The development was deviated from the approved proposal for the year 2018-19. b) It was proposed to remove 1500 m3 top soil and 351364 m3 quantity of overburden for development of mine in the year 2018-19. But, it was observed during inspection that only 390 m3 top soil and 226656 m3 overburden was removed for development of mine in the year 2018-19. The mine- development was lagging from the proposal and not as per the approved proposals of approved document for the year 2018-19.. c) Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps was proposed between the grid coordinates E 528502 to 528611 & N 2687883 to 268800. But, it was observed during inspection that dumps location was between the grid coordinates E 530450 to 530525 & N 2688350 to 2688400, which is deviated from the proposed location for the year 2018-19.

Rule 26 (1) The holder of a mining lease shall have the responsibility to ensure that the protective measures including reclamation and rehabilitation works have been carried out in accordance with the approved mine closure plan or with such modifications as approved by the competent authority.

Backfilling of mined out area, reclamation and rehabilitation works have not been carried out as per approved mining plan for the year 2018-19.

Rule 26(2) The holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1st day of July every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, and if there is any deviation, reasons thereof:

During scrutiny of the office records, it has been observed that the yearly report in respect of rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017 has not been submitted for the year 2018-19

02. In response of violation letter dated 07/01/2020 your reply dated 20/01/2020 has been received this office. The reply has been duly considered and reply of violation of rule 26(1) & 26(2) have been found satisfactory. But compliance of rule 11(1) is not found satisfactory. Therefore violation of Rule 11(1) is still exist for above mentioned mine.

03. In this connection it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an Offence punishable under Rule 62 of MCDR-2017.

04. The Mining Operations can be suspended under rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017 if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 is not found satisfactory.

05. You are, therefore, directed to show cause within a period of 30 (thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offence.

06. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard

भवदीय

SL

(नरेश कुमार कटरिया)

उप खान नियंत्रक

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

NOO:

प्रतिलिपि प्रेषित :

01. The Director of Geology & Mining, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Khanij Bhavan, 29-A, Arera Hills, Bhopal (M.P.) for information and further necessary action.

02- The District Collector, Satna (M.P.) for information.

SL

उप खान नियंत्रक

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि प्रेषित :

खान नियंत्रक (मध्य), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, नागपुर को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

SL
21/01/2020

उप खान नियंत्रक

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो