



भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

खान मंत्रालय / MINISTRY OF MINES

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

गुवाहाटी क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय / GUWAHATI REGIONAL OFFICE

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

E-mail

दूरभाष सं. : / फॅक्स सं.: 0361 2656184,

Tel/Fax – 0361-2656184

ईमेल: ro.guwahati@ibm.gov.in

E-mail – ro.guwahati@ibm.gov.in

House No. 216, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Above  
IDBI Bank Chandmari, Post –  
Bamunimaidan, Dist – Kamrup  
(M), Guwahati, Assam-781021

No.IBM/GHY/EKH//LST/MS-66

Date: 23/05/2017

To,

Shri. Shivesh Kumar Sinha, (Nominated Owner)

Nongtraï Limestone Mine,

M/s Lafarge Umiam Mining Pvt. Ltd.

Hotel Polo Tower, Polo Ground

Oakland Road, Shillong-793001

Meghalaya.

Sub: Scrutiny Comments on Draft Modification of Approved Scheme of Mining along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Nongtraï Limestone Mines over an area of 100.00 hectares in village Nongtraï, under Shora Tehsil of East Khasi Hills District of Meghalaya State, submitted under Rule 17 (3) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

Ref: i) Your letter No- Nil, Dated. 05/05/2017.

(ii) This office letter no. IBM/GHY/EKH//LST/MS-66, Dated: 16/05/2016

Sir,

Please refer to your letter on the subject cited above, the Draft Modification of Approved Scheme of Mining along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Nongtraï Limestone Mines over an area of 100.00 hectares in village Nongtraï, under Shora Tehsil of East Khasi Hills District of Meghalaya State, submitted under Rule 17 (3) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017 has been examined in this office on the basis of the site inspection on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2017 By Sri S. K. Mohapatra, Senior Mining Geologist, Regional Office, Guwahati. The scrutiny comments made on the Draft Modification of Approved Scheme of Mining along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan, submitted by you, are enclosed herewith as **Annexure-I**.

In this regard you are advised to rectify the deficiencies and submit **final three bound copies** and two soft copies in DVD, texts in form of MS word and plans in Auto CAD and JPG format of the Modification of Approved Scheme of Mining along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan and **Bank Guarantee of Rs. 15,494,400/- (Rupees One Crore Fifty four Lac Ninety four Thousand Four Hundred only)** as financial assurance for the broken area of **51.648 hectares @ Rs. 300,000/-** per hectare for the plan period as per Rule 27 of MCDR 2017 to this office within **15 (Fifteen)** days from the date of issue of this letter for further necessary action. The para wise clarification and the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be invariably being given while submitting the Review of Mining Plan. It may be noted that no further extension of time in this regard will be entertained and the document will considered for rejection if not submitted within above due date and the deficiencies are not attended properly.

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully,

  
(G.C.Meena)

Regional Controller of Mines

Copy to:

- 1) The Controller of Mines (EZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Kolkata.
- 2) Shri S. S. Bist, Quallified Person, 206, Apeksha Complex, Sector-11, Hiran Magri, Udaipur-313002, Rajsthan.

  
(G.C.Meena)

Regional Controller of Mines

## Annexure-I

### Scrutiny Comments on Draft Modification of Approved Scheme of Mining with Progressive Mine Closure Plan of Nongtraï Limestone Mine over an area of 100.00 Ha in village Nongtraï, Taluka-Shora, Dist- East Khasi Hills, Meghalaya of M/s. Lafarge Umiam Mining Pvt. Ltd., Submitted by Shailendra Singh Bist (Qualified Person) under Rule 17 (3) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

#### TEXT

1. The statutory rules and provisions under which the modification of approved scheme of mining along with progressive mine closure plan has been prepared and submitted (i.e. under Rule 17(3) of MCR 2016 and Rule 23 of MCDR 2017) are incorrectly quoted on the cover page, certificate and other places of the plan. This defect should be rectified.
2. List of plates and list of annexure needs to attach next to contents page.
3. In introduction chapter, period of modification has been mentioned for one year i.e. from 01.04.2013 needs to be rectified.
4. Documentary evidence of approved mining plan/ scheme of mining/review of mining plan along with PMCP need to be annexed.
5. Documentary evidence of violations pointed out and compliance need to be annexed.
6. Qualified person is advised not to provide any scan or xerox copy of calculation table resources and reserves. Always provide the fair data sheet of resource and reserve calculation.
7. Details year-wise proposed v/s achieved production during the present approved scheme period needs to be furnished in a separate table.
8. Production table is not matching with the proposed plans and sections and the production table should contain a column of section line
9. Conceptual mining Plan: dimension of ultimate pit boundary, capacity of ultimate waste dump if any should be furnished.
10. Ground water level of the locality is required to be furnished under mine drainage chapter.
11. Employment Potential; List of proposed manpower should be appropriate as per the list of machineries.
12. Calculation of financial assurance should be rectified as per Rule 27 of MCDR 2017.

13. Annexure submitted with the mining plan are obscure. Legible copy of annexure to be submitted.
14. Certificate of competent Surveyor who conducted the field survey of the area should be annexed.
15. Qualified person is advised to signature with name mentioned below in each and every page of the document along with the annexure.

**PLATES**

1. Non mining zone of the lease area i.e. Block-A & Block-B areas should be distinguishable in each plans and sections.
2. Index for Bore hole and DHTs are similar in geological plan and respective plans needs to be provided with different color code.
3. Geological sections are not matching with geological plan and present field position needs to be rectified. (Ex- Section along N2787200, N2787100 etc.)
4. Development plan is not matching with sections. Further proposed sections, location, RL are not matching. Therefore proposed development plan and sections needs to be recast.
5. Reclamation plan should be prepared as per the revised development plan and section.

\*\*\*\*\*