# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

#### Nagpur regional office

Mine file No : MAH/YTL/LST-18/NGP Mine code : 38MSH26011

(i) Name of the Inspecting: 204 ) SNEHANKIT H. CHATTE

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Engineer

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. N.N. Warwatkar, Owner & Shri. M.D. Khuspure, Ma

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 30-Aug-21
(v) Prev.inspection date : 29-Jan-20

#### PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : WANJRI-134

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA
District : YEOTMAL
Village : WANJRI
Taluka : WANI
Post office : RAJUR
Pin Code : 445309

FAX No. :
E-mail :
Phone :

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 17-Oct-66

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for :

correspondance

3. (a) Lease Number : MSH0021 (b) Lease area : 3.33 (c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 16-Oct-26

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Shri J.M.D' Costa

PO- Rajur (C.Rly), Tah. Wani Distt. Yavatmal (MS)

YEOTMAL MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Owner : SHRI NARENDRA N. WARWATKAR

AT AND PO RAJUR-445 309
TAHSIL WANI, DISTT.
YAVATMAL YEOTMAL

MAHARASHTRA

Phone: 07239-23 5952

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : KUMAR RAJAN, Part Time

Qualification : B TECH(MINING) Appointment/ : 20-Sep-99

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 04-Oct-04 Plan/Scheme of Mining Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 08-Jun-07

Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 11-Jul-13 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 15-Nov-17

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

### Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	No proposal of exploration during the year 2020-21	Nil	Nil
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	no proposals	Total area is explored upto G2 level as expos by 3 nos pit as inform in approved mining pla	ed ned
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	No such proposal	nil	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2		whole area is to be brought upto G1 level	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	as on 01.04.2021 reserves = 2317517 T	found correct	

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

The limestone occur in the form of narrow belt measuring 5.5 km in length to n200 metre in width. The limestone is grey in colour, bedded and associated with intercalated beds of dolomitic limestone to dolomite which is white grey to brownish in nature. Limestone exposures are visible in existing pits.Limestone occurs in massive bed and thickness is about 10-20metre. it maintains strike line of ENE-WSW with 10-20 degree dip towards North West.

#### Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area		work was carried out as per proposed location	
2b	in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule	metre thickness. No	As per the proposal	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	NIL	NIL	No waste is there only some mineral reject generation proposal was there but as per actual all the ROM produced was saleable.

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	840 M3	Nil	As Mining was very less against proposals so work was carried out existing pits so no Top soiul generated
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	nil	nil	nil
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			The orebody is massive thick and no waste ins there . all the ROM produced was saleable.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	01	01	total 3 pits are available in the lease area
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	9450 T	1403.590 T	Violation was issued for deviation in approved mining plan
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%	100%	All the ROM produced was saleable so no mineral reject generated.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	1050 T	NIL	All the ROM produced was saleable so no mineral reject generated.
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	mm size and hihg	No generation of mineral reject	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No proposal	Nil	

3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not applicable	nil
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Nil	Nil
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	<pre>spacing 1m X 1mburden and 3 metre depth</pre>	as per the proposals
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	4 meter	working benches 4 meter
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	4 Meters	4 Meters as manual mining
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Area under mining-4.50 Ha, Waste dumping 0.70Ha, Infrastructure -0.02 Ha, Roads-0.2 Ha, Others-0.20 Ha	Area under mining-4.50 Ha, Waste dumping 0.70Ha, Infrastructure -0.02 Ha, Roads-0.2 Ha, Others-0.20 Ha
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	Nil	nil
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year		Area under mining-4.50 Ha, Waste dumping 0.70Ha, Infrastructure -0.02 Ha, Roads-0.2 Ha, Others-0.20 Ha

3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	during the year 2017-18= 5400T	Achievement 2017-18= 1028.055 T 2018-19 = 3228.135 T 2019-20 = 1129.515 T 2020-21 = 1403.59 T	violation issued for deviation in approved mining plan
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			Production was done at proposed location but quantity handled is very less due to less market demand

## Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping of Top Soil and reject is proposed	No top soil and reject generated	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	100S-200S	No waste is being generated and dumped	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area		No major dump is seen only some small heap is there	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Outside UPL	along the lease boundary some old heaps seen	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	01	01	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	nil	nil	
4g	Number of dumps established.	nil	nil	

4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	not proposed	d nil	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	not applical	ole nil	
4 ј	Number of settling ponds	not proposed	d nil	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			As per approved doccuments no waste material is there only some mineral reject of less than 10 mm size and high sillicious material will be treated as waste. but all the ROM generated was saleable so no waste or mineral reject generated.

## Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No proposal	nil	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	nil	nil	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	for plantation	for plantation	

5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	nil	nil	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			No area matured for backfilling hence no backfilling is done

## Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	The annual report on PMCP should be submitted on or before 1st of July for preceding year.	report submitted	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Nil	Nil	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	0.25 m2	0.25 m2	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	50 nos	seems correct	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	170	seems correct	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	NIL	NIL	
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	2000	2000	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	NIL	NIL	

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	NIL	NIL
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	NIL	NIL
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	NIL	NIL
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	NIL	NIL
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	NIL	NIL
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	NIL	NIL
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	NIL	NIL

6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	No proposal	not carried	out	As the production is very less which is depend on market demands hence less impact on mining was observed. However the lessee was advised to monitor the same on regular basis.
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.				As the production is very less which is depend on market demands hence less impact on mining was observed. However the lessee was advised to monitor the same on regular basis. lessee carried out plantation & for dust suppression water tanker on hire basis was kept.

#### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	9450 T	dispatch of 1457.010 T	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Not proposed	nil	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	nil	nil as whole limestone produced sold to near by lime klinker	

7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	no proposal	nil	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			All the ROM produced in the mines is saleable and all grade of stone is mixed and blended while loading and making it saleable

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Yes	nil	no top soil is handle as work is being done in existing broken pit area.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Plantation	plantation	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	yes	small heaps of dump found in the lease area	no waste rock & mineral reject were generated as whole produced ore was sold.
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	nil	nil	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	plantation	no major dump was found	

8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	nil	nil	
8g	Survival rate	no proposal	Very low about 40%	lessee was advised to take care of plantation & increase its survival percentatge
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust		water tanker on hire basis for dust suppression	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			There is no any visible sign of pollution seen in mines as mining was not going on. In nearby fileds also cultivation was going on.

## Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	should be submitted by	Monthly return upto July 2021 and Annual return for year 2020-21 submitted at the time of this report preparation	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Manager & Mining Engineer M.D.Khuspure Geologist-Nil	nopt found	Violation for the same was issued on 14.09.2021

9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Ha, Waste dumping 0.70Ha,	Area under mining-4.50 Ha, Waste dumping 0.70Ha, Infrastructure -0.02 Ha, Roads-0.2 Ha, Others (PMCP) -0.20 Ha	seems correct
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	50 nos of plantation with 40% survival	information seems correct	Lessee was advised to take care of plantation & increase the survival rate
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	nil	information seem correct	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	-	seem correct	total produced ore was sold.
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost		information seems correct	
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	nil	information seems correct	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Nil	u	

# Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Violation	n observed		Show couse position			
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		
MCDR17 Rule 11(1)	14-Sep-21	20-Oct-21				
MCDR17 Rule 55(1)(3	) 14-Sep-21	20-Oct-21				

Date :

(SNEHANKIT H. CHATTE)

Indian Bureau of Mines