INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

	Mine	file No : MAH/NAG/MN-3	316	NGP Mine code : 40MSH14043
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	KQ2) Kalmata.M.K.
	(ii)	Designation	:	Regional Mining Geologist
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Shri. Paramhans Singh, Lessee.
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	05/03/2020
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	01/03/2017
		PA	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	TEKADI (3.47 HECT)
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/5059/2011
	(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone		B Manual Opencast MAHARASHTRA NAGPUR TEKADI SAONER TEKADI 9371140177
	(f) (g)	Police Station First opening date	:	01/01/2011
	(g) (h)	Weekly day of rest	:	
2.	Addre	ess for espondance		WCL Chankpur Colony Saoner Nagpur
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	MSH0387 3.47 50 15/11/2060
4.	Mine	ral worked	:	MANGANESE ORE Main

	Lessee	:	SHRI PARAMHANS SINGH QUATER NO. 7/2, B TYPE, NEW CHANKAPUR COLONY TAH. SAONER, DISTT. NAGPUR NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA Phone: FAX :	
	Owner	:	SHRI PARAMHANS SINGH QTR. NO. 7/2. B TYPE CHANKAPUR COLONY, TAH SAONER DISTT. NAGPUR NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA Phone: FAX :	
	Agent	:	SHRI PARAMHANS SINGH NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA Phone: FAX :	
6.	Date of approval o Plan/Scheme of Mir		ng : Modif.of approved Mining Plan	10/08/2016

5. Name and Address of the

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	There was a proposal to drill t,wo exploratory bore holes in the year 2017-18, and two in the year 2018-19.	The exploration was not carried out as per the proposal.	Violation is pointed out for the same, under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017. In the last plan period lessee has drilled one core borehole and two DTH holes to prove the thickness of the ore body. Additionally two trenches were made along the strike direction to expose the ore body.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2		No exploration has been carried out in the present plan period.	Violation is pointed out for the same, under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not proposed.	No exploration has been carried out in the present plan period.	
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	0.5На	Nil	Violation is pointed out for the same, under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Reserves as on 01.04.2015 (as per approved document) Probable Mineral Reserves (121) - 3500 tonnes.	Reserves as on 01.04.2019 Probable Mineral Reserves (121) -2260 tonnes.	Actual position of the reserves/resources may be came to know after proper exploration only. So, lessee has advised to explore entire mineralized area in the grid pattern suitable to nature of the deposit.

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

In the last plan period lessee has drilled one core borehole and two DTH holes to prove the thickness of the ore body. Additionally two trenches were made along the strike direction to expose the ore body. Actual position of the reserves/resources may be came to know after proper exploration only. So, lessee has advised to explore entire mineralized area in the grid pattern suitable to nature of the deposit.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	In the year 2018-19, development was proposed between the co-ordinates N-2386355 to N-2386380 and E-287235 TO E- 287300.	The mine was not working in the year 2018-19. In the present plan period working has been carried out between the co-ordinates N-2386316 to N-2386340 and E- 287250 to E-287314.	In the present plan period, working has been carried out within the proposed co- ordinates.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Yes, separate benches were proposed in Ore and Overburden.	Separate benches were made in ore and OB.	Two benches in Ore and one bench in OB, Height of the benches is maintained at 2.5 to 3.00 mtr.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:4.34 in the year 2018-19.	Nil	The mine is not working.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	5 CUM	Nil	In the present plan period woking has been carried out in the already broken up area only. So no topsoil is generated.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	2160 CUM	Nil in the year 2018-19.	Mine is not working.

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc The mine is not working from 2018-19 onwards due to lack of demand.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One	One	Existing old pit is extended laterally.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	500 tonnes, in the year 2018- 19	Nil	The mine is not working due to lack of demand.
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%	Nil	The mine is not working due to lack of demand.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	50 tonnes	Nil	Mine is not working.
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Mn% below 15.	Nil	The mine is not working.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not proposed.	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not Applicable.	Not applicable.	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Nil	Nil	

Provision of Yes proposed, Drilling and blasting Зi Wagon drill in has been carried out as drilling and blasting in OB and per the proposal. mineral benches jackhammer in Ore is proposed. Spacing and burden was proposed 1.0m x 0.75m in OB and 3m x 2.5 m in ore. 3k Provision of Not proposed. Mine is working, mining manually. machineries in mineral benches 31 Whether height 3.00 mtr bench Bench height is Height of the bench, maintained of benches in height was maintained at 2.5 to overburden and 3.00 mtrs, for both Ore for OB and Ore is proposed. mineral suitable and OB. suitable for for method of manual method of mining proposed mining. in MP/SOM Total area 0.03 Ha in the Nil. The mine was not Total area coverd 3m covered under year 2018-19. working in the year under pit is 2018-19 0.158Ha, In the excavation/pits present plan period only lateral extension of the existing pit was proposed. Ore to OB ratio 1:4.34 Nil The mine is not 3n for the pit/mine working. during the year. Total area put Total area Area under Mining-30 The proposed in use under proposed in 0.158Ha, Waste dumpproduction and different heads 0.30Ha, Infrastructure the present development was at the end of plan period and green belt -0.10Ha, not achieved in year under the present plan different period, due to heads is as lack of demand. So total area put in follows. Area under use under different heads is excavation-0.248, Area less, compared to under OB dumpthat projected in 0.137Ha, the plan. Mineral storage-0.106Ha, Roads-0.066Ha, Others (Green belt. Infrastructure , etc.)-0.300Ha.

3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2017-18 550 tonnes	In the year 2016- 17 and 2017-18, ROM production, is more than the proposal,so violation is pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	The working has been carried out in the existing pit only and bench height was maintained as per the proposal. In the year 2016-17 and 2017-18, lessee has made the production (ROM) more than the proposal and violation is pointed out for the same.	

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)		5	No topsoil was proposed and handled in the year 2018-19.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	location of OB dump- N-		Overburden dump was not stacked at the site as per the proposal, and violation is pointed out for the same, under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.

4c Two dumps were One OB dump was made in Overburden dump Number of dumps within lease proposed in the present plan period. was not stacked at area and outside the present Additional to new dump the site as per of lease area plan period. one old dumps is present the proposal, and One for Ore within the lease area, violation is and other for pointed out for mineral the same, under reject. Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017. 4d Location of Outside the Ouside the UPL. dumps w.r.t. UPL. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) 4e Number of active Two (One OB Two OB dumps are active and alive dumps. dump and one (one new dump and one Mineral reject old dump) dump) Number of dead 4f Not proposed. Nil No dump is dumps. matured. Number of dumps 4g Two Two established. 4h Whether Retaining wall The said retaining wall Violation is Retaining wall and Garland and garland drain was pointed out for not made in the present the same under or garland drain drain was all along dumps proposed along plan period. Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017. are there. the periphery of dump toe in the present plan period. 4i Length of 50M No retaining wall and Violation is Retaining wall garland drain was made pointed out for the same under or garland drain in the present plan all along dumps period. Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017. 4j Number of Not proposed. Nil. settling ponds 4k Specific In the present plan comments of period, waste dump was inspecting proposed in the Northern officer on waste part of the lease area, dump management near BP-6, however, dumping was made near the working pit in the South-western part of the lease area near, BP-11. Further, lessee has not made reating wall and garland drain as per the proposal and violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not proposed.	Nil	No backfilling is proposed in the present plan period.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not proposed.	Nil	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not proposed.	Nil.	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not proposed.	Nil.	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		Present working is not reached upto the proved depth, and proposals are not given, for reclamation and rehabilitation in the present plan period.	

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Before 1st july of every year.	Lessee has not submitted a yearly report on protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, for the year 2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 26(2) of MCDR-2017.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Nil	Nil	

бc afforestation 0.024 Ha in 0.15Ha in the present Plantaion was done (ha). the ppresent plan period. proposed on waste plan period. dumps only. However, since the dump was not matured, lessee has made plantation in the waste land within the lease area. 6d No. of saplings 100 in the 500 in the present plan planted during plan period. period. the year бe Cumulative no 100 in the 500 in the present plan .of plants plan period. period. бf Any other method Nil Nil of rehabilitation 6g Cost incurred on Not proposed. Nil watch and care during the year Compliance on 6h Nil Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx ВхD бi Compliance on Nil Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings Compliance on Nil Nil бj reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area бk Compliance on Nil Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir

61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Nil	Nil	
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Not proposed.	Lessee has made plantation in the waste land area with in the mining lease.	
бn	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Not proposed.	0.15Ha	
бо	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Not proposed.	Lessee has made plantation in the 0.15Ha waste land within the lease area.	
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Not proposed environmental monitoring.	The mine is not doing production from 2018-19 onwards due to lack of demand. Since the mine is not working lessee has not carried out environment monitoring ln the year 2018-19.	Lessee has suggested to carry out environmental monitoring quarterly, after resumption of work.
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.		Lessee has not made the plantation on dump as per the proposal given in the PMCP, but plantation was made in the waste land within the lease area. The proposed reataing wall and garland drains were not made and violation is pointed for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017.	

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Gradewise sorting with in the lease area.	Lessee is doing gradewise sorting within the lease area.	

7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual	Manual	
7c	of mineral		As per the proposal.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Nil	Nil	Lessee is doing only manual sorting.
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues		Lessee is blending lowgrade ore with high grade ore as per the rquirement of the buyer.	

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)		Working has been carried out in the already broken up area only, so no top soil was removed in the year 2018-19.	Topsoil removed earlier was utilized for plantation within the lease area.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Proposed for utilization for plantation.	No topsoil was removed in the year 2018-19.	Working has been carried out in the broken up area only.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes proposed.	Separate dumping was made for OB, Rejects and ore.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not proposed.	Nil	

8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Plantation was proposed on waste dump.	The dump was not matured and no plantation was done.	The plantation was made in the waste land within the leases area.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Baseline information was furnished in the mining plan. Additional plantation proposals are being given in the Mining Plan documents.	Lessee has done 500 plantation in the present plan period.	
8g	Survival rate	Not proposed.	50 to 60%.	Lessee has advised to take care of the plantation by regular monitoring.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes, proposed.	The mine is not working and no water sprinkling is doing at present.	Water sprinkling ,is being done when mine starts working.
8i 	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area		In the present plan period, lessee has done 500 plantaion in the waste land and developed green belt. The mine is not working at present and no imapct was observed on environment.	

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	A monthly return, shall be submitted before the tenth day of every month , in respect of the preceding month, and an annual return shall be submitted, before the 1st day of July each year, for the preceding financial year.	Lessee has submitted monthly returns, upto Feb-2020, and annual returns for the year 2018-19	Lessee has not submitted the Annual returns for the year 2016-17 and violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 45(5)(C) of MCDR-2017.
Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining engineer-MD Abbu Wassay and Geologist- Hemant S. Hinge	Lessee has not appointed Mining Engineer and Geologist.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 55(C)(ii) of MCDR-2017.
Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	0.158Ha, Waste dump-0.580Ha, Infrastructure	The land use details, given in the annual returns, are almost found correct, except dump area. In the annual returns it is mentioned that area covered under waste dump is 0.58Ha, but as per the field observation area covered under waste dump may be around 0.3Ha.	Little variation was observed in the waste dump area. Lessee has advised to re- check and correct the same based on latest survey.
Scrutiny of Annual return on	Nil	Nil	No plantation was made in the year

Scrutiny of Nil 9e Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)

afforestation

9a

9b

9c

9d

Nil

made in the year 2018-19. However in the present plan period 500 saplings were planted within the lease area. The mine is not

working and no mineral reject is generated in the year 2018-19.

9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	submitted for	About 50 to 60 tonnes of graded ore was observed in the field. The details given in the annual returns are found correct.	Lessee has dispatched about 149 tonnes of below 25%Mn ore in the year 2019- 20(July-2019).
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost		Details of sale value and ex-mine price were found correct. The cost of production which is given as Rs-1900/tonne logically not correct. as no production was carried out in the year 2018-19 and only 71.490 tonnes despatch was done. Lessee has not carried out any exploration in the year 2018-19. However cost of exploration is shown as Rs-358/tonne.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 45(7) of MCDR-2017.
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Assets is shown as zero in the annual returns submitted for	The land granted for Mining Lease is Government land and lessee is not having any Machinery and plant. So value of fixed asset is shown as zero.	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Nil	Nil	Lessee has proposed manual method of mining and present mine is not working.

Violation observed				Show c	couse position	
Rule M	NO.		Issued on	Compliance or	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance or
MCDR17	Rule	11(1)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule	11(4)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule	26(2)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule	28(1)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule	35(2)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule	45(5)(c	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule 4	5(7)(a)	05/06/2020			
MCDR17	Rule 5	5(1)(3)	05/06/2020			

Date :

(Kalmata.M.K.)

Indian Bureau of Mines