INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Bangalore regional office

Mine file No: KNT/GLB/LST-47/BNG Mine code: 38KAR10013

(i) Name of the Inspecting : SQ11) K.SRINIVAS

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Junior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri Ashok Kumar Joshi, Mines Manager and others.

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 25/02/2022

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

(a) Mine Name : CHITTAPUR

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/378/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : KARNATAKA
District : GULBARGA
Village : CHITTAPUR
Taluka : CHITTAPUR

Post office : Pin Code :

FAX No. : 022-66306510

E-mail : amitkumar.roy@lafarge.com

Phone : 022-67692595

(f) Police Station : WADI

(g) First opening date : 03/10/2016

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : NUVOCO VISTAS CORPORATION LTD

correspondance RVR TOWERS, HNO 6-3-1089/F, LEVEL 4,

RAJBHAVAN RD, SOMAJIGUDA HYDERBAD - 500082

3. (a) Lease Number : KAR1615

(b) Lease area : 796.43

(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 17/11/2028

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee NUVOCO VISTAS CORPORATION LTD

RVR TOWERS, HNO 6-3-1089/F,

LEVEL 4, RAJBHAVAN RD, SOMAJIGUDA HYDERBAD HYDERABAD TELANGANA

Phone: FAX :

Geologist

Name ALOK DWIVEDI, Full Time Qualification : M.TECH (APPLIED GEOLOGY)

Appointment/ Termination date

Manager

Name G NARASIMHAM

Qualification : DIPLOMA IN MINING ENGINEERING

Appointment/ : 18/07/2016

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 23/03/2000 Plan/Scheme of Mining Modif.of approved Mining Plan 24/10/2000

19/04/2018 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | - |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis or 2 | 24 boreholes of total Meterage 960 Mts proposed for the year 2020-21. | No exploration has been carried out | The violation has been issued. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not specified | nil | - |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Not specified | G2- 610.22ha G3-186.18ha | Exploration not carried out due to Covid19. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | - | _ | Reserves 221 Million tonnes (122) as on 1.4.2021 as per the annual Return 2020-21.Grade- above 35%CaO |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | - | | The proposed exploration work has not been carried out for the year 2020-21. The violation has been issued. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | Western part of the ML area Developed | - |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Bench And Mineral | Top Soil one bench and Mineral one Bench maintained | - |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1 : 0.47 (in tones) | 1: 0.43 (in tones) | Ore :22000t Waste :9588t |

| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 1650 m3 | 1498 cu.m | 2397t top soil generation |
|----|---|---------|-----------|---|
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 3100 m3 | 2876 cu.m | 7191t of waste generation. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | - | _ | The development has been carried out almost as per the proposals. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | One | - |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 22000 MT | 22000 MT | - |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | - |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposal | nil | - |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal | nil | _ |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No proposal | nil | - |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | No proposal | nil | - |

| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | nil | - |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Deep hole Drilling and blasting proposed. | Deep hole drilling and blasting carried out. | Drills of 102mm dia. Blasting carried out using slurry explosive in conjuction with delay electric detonators. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Excavator and Tipper combination proposed | HydraulicExcavator -1 Drill-1 Tipper -1 Water Tanker-1 | - |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Height of benches in OB - 1 to 3m. Height of benches in Mineral - 1 to 6m | Height of bench in OB- 1.5m' Height of bench in Mineral-4.5m | Height of bench in OB and mineral maintained within the specified limits. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 9.88 ha at the end of the plan period(2022-23) | 4.599ha | As per the Annual Return 2020-21 |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1 : 0.47 (in tones) | 1: 0.43 (in tones) | Ore :22000t Waste :9588t |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Waste dump - 1.5ha Top soil - 1.69ha | Area under Mining -4.599ha Waste dump -0.764ha Infrastructure&Roads- 1.75ha Other(Mineral storage, Garland drain/Green belt, Top soil dump etc 3.447ha | |

Production of 2016-17 :Nil Date of opening of 3р 2016-17 :Nil 2017-18: 3600 mine - 3rd ROM mineral 2017-18: during the last 2,00,000 2018-19 :22002 October, 2016. five year period 2018-19: 2019-20 :22008 2020-21: 22000 as applicable 22000 2019-20: (in tonnes) 22000 2020-21: 22000 (in tonnes) General remarks The production has 3q of inspecting been carried out officers on as per the method of mining approved etc. proposals.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping of Overburden &Top Soil proposed. | Separate dumping of Overburden &Top Soil maitained. | - |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Top soil dump- E714908to 715049 N- 1889387to18894 40 OB dump- E714864to 714975 N- 1889173to18892 | | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | soil dumps and | 3dumps (2 top soil dumps and 1 OB dump) within the mining lease. | All the dumps within the lease area. |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dumps proposed within the UPI | l All the dumps within the UPL | - |

| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | 3dumps (2 top soil dumps and 1 OB dump) within the mining lease. | _ |
|----|--|-------------|--|--|
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No proposal | nil | _ |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | No proposal | nil | - |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | nil | - |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | - | - | The dumping of top soil and waste has been carried out as per the proposals. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposals | nil | - |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposals | nil | - |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposals | nil | - |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposals | nil | - |

5e General remarks of inspecting
officers on
backfilling and
reclamation etc.

No specific comments.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted the annual report on PMCP under Rule26(2) | Annual PMCP Report for the year 2020-21 submitted | - |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No proposal | nil | Mine is Opened on 3rd Oct 2016. No Area proposed. |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | 0.50ha | 0.08 | - |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 700 saplings proposed | 177 saplings within lease area and 200saplings planted outside Mine lease area. | As reported in AR 2020-21 Violation issued for less plantation |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | 3805 no. of plants(Safety zone & Area within ML area) | 3387 no. of plants(Safety zone & Area within ML area) | - |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | nil | - |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposal | nil | - |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal | nil | - |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal | nil | - |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal | nil | _ |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal | nil | |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | No proposal | nil | - |
| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | nil | - |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | nil | _ |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Environment monitoring proposed for Air, Water, Dust, Ground water & Noise. | Environment monitoring carried out for Air, Water, Dust, Ground water & Noise | All the environmental parameters within limit. |

6q General remarks of inspecting
officers on PMCP
compliance and
progressive
closure
operations etc.

The PMCP proposals have been implemented in the mine as per the proposed. The violation has been issued for less afforestation than the proposed.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------|-------------|---|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No proposal | nil | The captive cement plant has not yet been erected. The ROM is stacking in the earmarked place within the lease. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal | nil | - |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No proposal | nil | - |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | _ | - | No specific comments. |

${\tt Environment:}$

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------------------|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | storage | Top soil dumping carried out as proposed. | - |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Top soil storage proposed. | 1498 cu.m top sail generated and stored. | - |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping proposed for waste. | Separate waste dump maintained. | No generation of fines and rejects. |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal | nil | _ |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal | nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 3805 no. of plants(Safety zone & Area within ML area) | 3387 no. of plants(Safety zone & Area within ML area) | _ |
| 8g | Survival rate | 95% | 95% | - |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling on | Water sprinkling carried out to control the airborne dust on haul roads and screening plant. | A water tanker of 3000 liters capacity used for water sprinkling. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | - | _ | There is no change in aesthetic beauty in and around mines area. |
| Compliance of Rule 45: | | | | |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Monthly Returns submitted up to January 2022 on 07.02.2022. Annual Return submitted for the year 2020-2021 on 25.06.2021. | | - |
|----|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Sri Alok Dwivedi,Geolog ist Sri Vijay Kumar, Mining Engineer Sri Narasimham G, Manager | Appears to be correct. | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | 4.599ha Waste dump - 0.764ha | Appears to be correct. | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 177 saplings within lease area. | The plantation has not been carried out as proposed as 700saplings. | Violation issued. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Appears to be correct. | - |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | Appears to be correct. | - |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | | In case of captive mine, the Ex-mine price per tone is per unit cost of production. | Violation issued. |

| 9h | 4 | | The fixed assets not furnished correctly. | The violation issued. |
|----|--|---|---|-----------------------|
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | 4 | Appears to be correct. | - |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
|--------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Rule N | NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 09/03/2022 | 01/06/2022 | MCDR17 Rule | 45(7)09/03/2022 01/06/2022 |
| MCDR17 | Rule 45(7)(a) | 09/03/2022 | 01/06/2022 | | |

Date: (K.SRINIVAS)

Indian Bureau of Mines