### INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

## MCDR inspection REPORT

# Hyderabad regional office

	Mine :	file No : AP/KNL/FE-71	/H	ſD	Mine code : 30APR11038
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	AP03 ) A.Prabhakar Rao	
	(ii)	Designation	:	Assistant Mining Geologis	
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	SRI V.BALAKISAN MANAGING	PARTNER
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	16/10/2016	
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	06/11/2015	
		PAI	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION	
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	GUTUPALI(19.94 HA)	
	(b)	Registration NO.	:		
	(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	A Other than Fully Mech. Opencast ANDHRA PRADESH KURNOOL 040-23043388 KISHAN13536@YAHOO.CO.IN 04023043388 BETHAMCHERLA	
	(g)	First opening date		01/12/2009	
	(h)	Weekly day of rest		SUN	
2.		ess for espondance	:	PLOT NO.50, MADINAGUDA, SERILINGAMPALLI, RANGAREDD	Y DISTRICT-500049
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	APR2792 19.94 20 05/04/2029	
4.	Miner	ral worked	:	IRON ORE Mai	n

### 5. Name and Address of the

]	Lessee	:	M/S. GUTUPALLI MINING COMPANY	
			PLOT NO.50, AVANTHI ENCLAVE,	
			MADINAGUDA, SERILINGAMPALLI	
			RANGAREDDY RANGAREDDY	
			TELANGANA	
			Phone:04023043388	
			FAX :040-23043388	

Owner : GUTUPALLY MINING COMPANY PLOT NO.50, MADINAGUDA SERILINGAMPALLI RANGAREDDY-500049 RANGAREDDY TELANGANA Phone: 040-23043388 FAX : 04023043388

6. Date of approval of Mining<br/>Plan/Scheme of Mining: Modif.of approved Mining Plan<br/>Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR198822/02/2013<br/>28/05/2015

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

tion over rea for cal axis 1	Proposed to drill nine bore hole to a depth of 20.0 meters each Geological Axis 1 RS 371432	Eight bore holes were drilled to a depth of 20.0 meters each Geological Axis 1 RS 371432	Due to some machinary problem and water loss during drilling the desired penetration /depth could not beachived
rea for cal axis 1 tion s and ture in pees the year	Axis 1		NIL
s and ture in pees the year	RS 371432	RS 371432	NIL
regerve			
1/04/20	Proved (111) 88170 tonnes Feasibility mineral resources ((211) 18450 tonnes Reconnaissanc e (334) 46039 tonnes Total =152659 tonnes	Proved (111) 105922 tonnes Feasibility mineral resources ((211) 18450 tonnes Reconnaissance (334) 46039 tonnes Total =170417 tonnes	
ecting s on ,	20000 tonnes of Iron Ore	2242 tonnes of Iron Ore	Due to lack of demand production decreased
	remarks ecting s on , tion etc	resources ((211) 18450 tonnes Reconnaissanc e (334) 46039 tonnes Total =152659 tonnes remarks 20000 tonnes ecting of Iron Ore s on	resources tonnes ((211) 18450 Reconnaissance (334) tonnes Reconnaissanc e (334) 46039 tonnes Total =170417 tonnes e (334) 46039 tonnes Total =152659 tonnes remarks 20000 tonnes 2242 tonnes of Iron of Iron Ore Ore

2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	Between E 181503 toe 181579 - N1709381 to N 1709412 ,(Proposed pit 1) E181575 to E 181631,N170937 7 to N1709420,R.L from 461 to R.L476,Length= 78mxxWidth=20m ,(Proposed pit 2) E 181723 to E181771R.L.491 to R.L. to R.L.504,Length =39mxWidth=28m (Float Ore)N 1709408 to N1709455 R.L.from 465 toR.L.475,Leng th=110mxWidth3 3m An areaof 0.23 hect earmarked for dumping in South Western side of the lease area.	,(Eastto West) Hight =9.0 meters	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	benches were proposed in top soil,o.b	Three benches were formed in pit nol.dimensions are :1) Length=50.Ometers Width=1Ometers(North- South)xHight=2.Ometers,2 )Length=50.Ometers(WEast -West) Width=1Ometers(North- South)Hight=2.5meters,3) Length=40.Ometers Width=1Ometers(North- South)xHight=1.5meters In pit no 2) one bench was formed in o.b.dimensions are lenght=75.Ometers (North - South)widthth=1Ometers(E ast-West)Hight=3.0 meters, One bench was formed in ore dimensions are Length=110.Ometers Width=20meters(North- South)xHight=2.Ometers	NIL

2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:1.41	2242:979=2.29:1	NIL
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No proposals	Not generated	NIL
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	14145	489.5	NIL
2f		20,000tonnes Due to lack of demand 2242	Proposed production is 20,000tonnes Due to lack of demand 2242 toneesw of Iron Ore produced	NIL

# Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Two pits were proposed for production	Two pits were formed for production	NIL
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	20000 tonnes of Iron Ore proposed	2242 tonnes were produced	Due to lack of demand 2242 tonnes of Iron Ore was produced
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	~	Ferrugenous Quartzite with Iron Ore reef 45%, Ferrugenous Shale with float Iron Ore16%	NIL
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposals were made in the Approved Sheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	No proposals were made in the Approved Sheme of Mining	NIL	NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION.

3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No subgrade minral generation was proposed in the Approved Sheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	No subgrade minral generation was proposed in the Approved Sheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual method of adopted for segregating ROM	Manual method of adopted for segregating ROM	NIL
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposals were made in the Approved Scheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Proosed for drilling &blasting	NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS.	NIL
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Proposed for excavators &Tippers	NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION.	NIL

31 Whether height Bench Hightis Three benches were NIL of benches in is 3.0m, formed in pit overburden and Width is 6.0m nol.dimensions are :1) mineral suitable Length=50.0meters for method of Width=10meters(Northmining proposed South) xHight=2.0meters,2 in MP/SOM )Length=50.Ometers(WEast -West) Width=10meters(North-South)Hight=2.5meters,3) Length=40.0meters Width=10meters(North-South) xHight=1.5meters In pit no 2) one bench was formed in o.b.dimensions are lenght=75.0meters (North South)widthth=10meters(E ast-West)Hight=3.0 meters, One bench was formed in ore dimensions are Length=110.0meters Width=20meters(North-South) xHight=2.0meters 0.6282 Hect 3m Total area 1.90 Hectars NIL covered under (cumulative) excavation/pits 1:1.41=20000:2 2.29:1 =2242: 3n Ore to OB ratio :979 NO MECHANIZATION for the pit/mine 8,290 METHOD OF MINING during the year. WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION. 30 Total area put Workings=0.68 Workings 1.90 NIL in use under hect,waste hectars, Waste dumps different heads dump=0.1350,Mi 0.65hectars, plantation at the end of neral 0.50hectars stock=0.0700 year hect, plantatio n=0.5740hect 3p Production of 1)2011-1)2011-12=5727 NIL ROM mineral 12=13306 tonnes,2)2012-13=12883 tonnes,2)2012- tonnes, 3)2013-14=13530 during the last five year period 13=13500 tonnes,4)2014-15=13486 as applicable tonnes, tonnes,5)2242 tonnes 3)2013-14=13500 tonnes,4)2014-15 = 0tonnes,5)2015-16=20000 tonnes

3q General remarks NIL of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. NIL

NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION 2242 tonnes were produced

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	proposed working benches are comes in	979 tonnes of waste generated during the year cumulative wasts is 21546 tonnes available in the area earmarked for the purpose	NIL
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	South Western side of the M.L.area no mineral reject dumps are proposed	the M.L.area no mineral reject dumps are	NIL
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Beyond ultimate pit limit	Beyond ultimate pit limit	NIL
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		One active dump is there	NIL
4f	Number of dead dumps.	NIL	NIL	NIL
4g	Number of dumps established.	One dump established	One dump established	NIL
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Not proposed during the year	NIL	NIL
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not proposed during the year	NIL	NIL

4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed	NIL	NIL
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	NIL	NIL	NIL

# Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	M.L. was granted in the yer 2009. Mining opertions were commenced on 08.06.2009 and mining op[ertions are continued .no part or full extraction of mineral was completed in this m.l.area	NIL
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	M.L. was granted in the yer 2009. Mining opertions were commenced on 08.06.2009 and mining op[ertions are continued .no part or full extraction of mineral was completed in this m.l.area	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No proposals were made in the apprtoved mining plan	NIL	NIL
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NIL	NIL	NIL
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	NIL	NIL	NIL

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Afforetation on dumps proposed over an area of 0.553hect 884plants were proposed		NIL
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	Area is not matured for rehabilarion
бс	afforestation done (ha).	884 plants proposed over an area of 0.553 hect	As per the proposals 900 plants were planted over an area of 0.56 .0 hectars	NIL
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	884 Plants proposed to plant over an area of 0.553 hectars	As per the proposals 900 plants were planted over an area of 0.56 .0 hectars	NIL
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	1000 PLANTS	1000 PLANTS	NIL
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No proposals were made in the Approved minimngplan	NIL	NIL
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	No proposals were made in the Approved mini8ng plan	NIL	NIL
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
бј	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL

бk	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
бn	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	No proposals were made in the Approved mining plan	NIL	NIL
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Proposed	Not carriedout	
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	NIL	NIL	NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	ROM Mineral will be crushed as per the buyer"s requirement	No mineral was sold to buyers during the year	NIL
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual	Manual	NIL
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No proposals were made in the Approved Scheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No proposals were made in the Approved Scheme of Mining	NIL	NIL
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	NIL	NIL	NIL

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	expected to be	NIL	NIL
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No topsoil is expected to be generated and no proposals are given in the prsent Scheme period	NIL	NIL
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	No seperate dumpsfor over burden waste rock,rejects &fines are proposed in the present Scheme period	NIL	NIL

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No prosals are made in the present Scheme period	NIL	NIL
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposals are made in the present Scheme of Minimng period	NIL	NIL
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	884 Sapplings are proposed to plant over an area of 0.553 hcetars along the safety barrier zone for the year 2015-16	900 sapplings were planted on the proposed area 0.554 hect during the year 2015-16	NIL
8g	Survival rate	90%	90%	NIL
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	water on road	Through one watwer tanker lessee has carrriedout water sprinkling on mine roads	NIL
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	NIL	NIL	NIL

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly returns upto september 2016,AnnualRet urn for the year 2015-16 received through online on 06/07/2016		NIL

9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	SRI K. PRABHAKARREDDY Mining Engineer, SRI K. ANILKUMAR Geologist	-	NIL
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	mini9ng oprations are 1.90	Area covered under opencast mini9ng oprations are 1.90 hectars,Area covered under waste dumps 0.6750hectars	NO MECHANIZATION METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS PRODUCTION 2242 TONNES PRODUCED
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation		More the 1000sapplings were plated with in the M.L.area available	NIL
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	NIL	NIL	NIL
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	5	Open Cast Workings n opening stock=20929.000 production= 2242.000 Closing stock= 23171.tonnes	NIL

9g Scrutiny of For lumps RS NIL For lumps RS Annual return on 700/tonnes, Fin 700/tonnes, Fines =0 es =0 COST OF COST OF PRODUCTION sale value, Ex. Mine price and PRODUCTION Item Cost Per Tonne (in production cost Item Cost Per .) ) Tonne (in .) ) (i) Direct Cost 574.97 (i) Direct (a) Exploration 1.82 Cost 574.97 (b) Mining 573.15 (a) (C) Beneficiation(Mechanical Exploration 1.82 Only) 0.00 (b) Mining (ii) Over-head cost 573.15 43.82 (C) (iii) Depreciation 11.42 Beneficiation( (iv) Interest 0.00 Mechanical (v) Royalty 0.00 Only) 0.00 (vi) Taxes 0.00 (ii) Over-head (vii) Dead Rent 0.00 cost 43.82 (viii) Others (specify) 0 73.71 (iii) Total 703.92 Depreciation 11.42 (iv) Interest 0.00 (v) Royalty 0.00 (vi) Taxes 0.00 (vii) Dead Rent 0.00 (viii) Others (specify) 0 73.71 Total 703.92

9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	(in .) 102400	<pre>beneficiation plant, mine work-shop, power and water installation) (iv) Capitalised Expenditure such as pre-production exploration, development,major overhaul and repair to machinery etc. (As prescribed under Income Tax Act) 128000 0 0 25600 102400</pre>	NIL
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	METHOD OF MINING WAS CARRIED OUT	OUT DUE TO LACK OF MARKET DEMAND. MINE OPERATED BY MANUAL MEANS. HENCE LESS	NO DESPATCHES DUE TO LACK OF DEMEND

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Viola	ation observed	Show c	ouse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		
Rule 47	27/01/2017				
Rule 48	27/01/2017				

Date :

(A.Prabhakar Rao)

Indian Bureau of Mines