INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/KTN/LST-50 Mine code: 38MPR18049

Name of the Inspecting: GQ05) SANJAY M. GIRHE

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 21-NOV-19 Prev.inspection date : 04-DEC-17 (V)

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

Mine Name : KUTESHWAR (a)

: IBM/5662/2011 (b) Registration NO.

: A Fully Mechanised (C) Category

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

> State : MADHYA PRADESH

: KATNI District

: MARWA, JARARODA Village : VIJAYRAGHAVGARH Taluka

Post office : BARHI Pin Code : 483770

FAX No. : 07626-273251

E-mail : sailktr@yahoo.co.in

Phone : 07626-273251

(f) Police Station

: 30-JAN-76 First opening date (g)

Weekly day of rest (h) : THU

: STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD. 2. Address for correspondance

P.O. BARHI, DIST. KATNI (M.P.)

483770

3. (a) Lease Number : MPR0523 (b) Lease area : 91.14 : 10 Period of lease (C)

(d) Date of Expiry : 14-MAY-02

Mineral worked 4. : LIMESTONE Main 5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Steel authority of India Ltd.

G. OJHA, DIR(PER & I/C RMD)

LODHI RD, ISPAT BHAWAN NEWDELHI-110003 KATNI

MADHYA PRADESH Phone:07626-273211 FAX:07626-273201

Owner : STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.

AT & P.O. BARHI, DIST.KATNI M.P KATNI MADHYA PRADESH Phone: 07626-273211/25 FAX: 07626-273201

Agent : H.S.PRASAD, GM(FLUX), KTR

At & P.O.katni, Kuteshwar, Barhi Dist.Katni MP> KATNI

MADHYA PRADESH
Phone: 07626-273211
FAX : 07626-273201

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 Plan/Scheme of Mining PMCP under 23B(2) for Existing

Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 12-JUN-03
PMCP under 23B(2) for Existing 29-DEC-05
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 14-JUN-07
Modif.approved Mining Scheme 24-SEP-09
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 09-SEP-11
MP modif under MCR 1960 04-APR-16

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	During the 2018-19 total 07 core drilling boreholes were proposed	No exploration done during the year 2018-19 as total 57 BHs were proposed during modified mining approved on 5th Nov-2018 for period 2018-19 to 2020-21.	Out of these 57 BHs total 7 boreholes proposed in 2018-19 couldnot be acheived due initiation period of modified mining plan approved 05.11.2018.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	During the 2018-19 total 07 core drilling boreholes were proposed	Total area explored under G1 level-189.46Ha. In first phase total 244 BHs drilled with cummulative 9618mts drilling, During 1996-97 total 57 BHs were drilled with cummulative 3199mts drilling.	explored extensively for G1 level by 57 boreholes drilled in 1996-97 with
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	NMDC & lessee SAIL himself had carried out the exploration in the past.	In past explortaion had carried out very long back before in 1995, hence relevant details are not available at this stage.	No comments
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Total 57 BHs have been proposed in modified mining approved on 5th Nov-2018 for period 2018-19 to 2020-21.	Out of these 57 BHs total 7 boreholes proposed in 2018-19 couldnot be acheived due initiation period of modified mining plan approved 05.11.2018. Balance area is to be explored in G1 & G2 level during approved plan period.	

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

Out of these 57 BHs total 7 boreholes proposed in 2018-19 couldnot be acheived due initiation period of modified mining plan approved 05.11.2018. Balance area is to be explored in G1 & G2 level during approved plan

Reserves (In Mill Tonne) Reserves funsihed as 01.04.2019 (As per annual Return 2018-19) Proved (111) : 89.00 (SMS & BF Grade) Probable (121&122) : 183.71 (SMS & BF Grade) Resources (In Mill Tonne) Modified Scheme of Pre-Feasibility 221 & 222 :14.00 Above reserves & resources falling under

Gairtalai, Marwa &

Jaroda blocks in ML

area.

under proved (111) category as 89 Million Tonnes were mismatching with details furnished in Mining approved on dtd 05.11.2018. Violation pointed out for the same.

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

NA

period.

In the lease area limestone occured in three different horizons of river (left & upper (Agal Limestone), Middle (High Manganesia Limestone) & lower (Banded limestone).

Limestone occuring on both the side right blanks) with the lease holds & belongs to Kajrahat limestone of semri group. The general strike is ENE-WSW with gentle dip towards NNW.

Development :

Sl.No. Actual work Remarks Ttem Propasals

2aLocation of OB generation Limestone production Violation of Rule development was proposed carried out at proposed 11(1) were pointed locations with very out in respect of w.r.t.lease area from two benches at minor devaition. But, in above deviations. 349-343mRL and respect of OB generation 343-337mRL & its beches formation, Limestone deviation were observed production was as at some places OB proposed in benches are merged Marwa Block together. within UTM limits towars E 484136 to 484759 & N2651800 to 2652114 upto 301mRL 2b Separate benches During the For OB generation Violation of Rule year 2019, Top benching pattern as in topsoil, 11(1) were pointed out for the overburden and soil- No proposed not follwed fully. deviations in minerals (Rule proposals, Overburden-2 15) The limestone production overburden benches of carried out by fromation benching pattern. 6mts heights of 6 benches of 6mts each proposed height each. from 349-337mRL & Limestone production -6 benches of 6mts height each from 337-301mRL proposed. Stripping ratio 2с Proposed Proposed one is being or ore to OB maintained. stripping ratio ratio for the year 2018-19 1:0.55 M3/Tonne 2d Quantity of During the Nil Development & topsoil year 2019-19, production during generation in m3 no top soil the review year generation was proposed in already broken up proposed area.

2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	year 2018-19	During the year 2018-19 only 21340 CuM overburden generated	Less overburden generation due to low limestone production & development against the proposed targets.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	NA	NA	Lease area is divided into 3 blocks namely Gaitalai, Marwa & Jaroda blok. Dimension of Marwa block-702876M2 x 48m depth, Gairtalai block-48735M2 x 17m depth & Jarado block - 126276M2 x 25m depth. Working being carried out as proposed.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	- 2	Production carried out in Pit-1 of Marwa block	Same location as proposed.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Total 22,27,500 tonnes	Production acheived during the year 12,52,875 tonnes	Production within the EC limit of 2.32 Million TPA
3c	-	•	9,86,556 Tonne recovered as salable limestone & rest 2,64,585 Tonnes under sized limestone treated as Cement grade limestone.	Cement grade limestone are being stacked separately for furture use.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation		Total 2,64,585 Tonne mineral reject generated	Huge quanity of mineral reject generation in screening plant due to size constraint of salable limestone.

3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	quanity of - 10mm of cement grade above the threshold	3.59 to 4.69% CaO - ranging from	Mineral reject quantity of above grades is sweetner quality for cement manufacturing plant need to be conserved judiciously.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not Proposed	Nil	No sub-grade generation from mine.
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not Proposed	Nil	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanised method was proposed	Mechanised method by way of screening plant is adopted for segregating from ROM	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Not Proposed	Dry benificiation/ screening of ROM is being practised for getting +10mm size limestone suitable inSinter making plant of SAIL plant at Bokaro	
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Proposed for Drilling & blasting with wagon drill - 100mm dia & Jack hammer of 32mm diameter.	Drilling & blasting being carried out as per the proposals.	One dedicated explosive magazine of 6.6 tonnes is installed in lease area.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	IR Drill - 100mm, Rock Breaker-32mm, Excavator-2.7 CuM, Dozer- 420HP, Dumpers-18/22 Tonner, etc	Mining operation being carried out by deploying IR Drill - 100mm, Rock Breaker-32mm, Excavator-2.7 CuM, Dozer-420HP, Dumpers-18/22 Tonner, etc machineries	Machineries both contractual as well as in-house.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Height of 6 m in overburden & 6m in limestone were proposed	Proposed height of 6m in OB & 6m in limestone are being maintained, except at one location OB benches were merged as pointed out during inspection.	Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed for merging of OB benches against given proposals.

Total area 3m 101.34 Ha 87.78 Ha as per modified As per annual covered under mining plan approved on return for 2018-05.11.2018 excavation/pits 19, area covered under excvation pits was furnished as 112.910Ha which is not matching with the area furnished in Modified Scheme of Mining approved on dtd 05.11.2018. Accordingly, violation pointed out under Rule 45(5)(C) of MCDR, 17 Ore to OB ratio 1: 0.55 M3/ 3n Not maintained due to for the pit/mine Tonnes low OB generation during during the year. the year. Total area put Area proposed Area under mining-Violation of Rule 112.91Ha in use under as per 45(5)(c) of different heads modified OB, IB, Fines dumps-MCDR, 17 pointed at the end of 53.13Ha out for the scheme of year mining Infrastructure-129.94Ha deviation in approved As per annual return excavation area. 2018-19 05.11.2018 Area under mining-87.78Ha OB, IB, Fines dumps-22.63Ha Mineral Stacks-8.33Ha Infrastructure -36.70 HaRoad-15.26Ha 2014-15 -: 3р Production of 2014-15 -: 942381 ROM mineral 2015-16 -: 1168223 2314474 during the last 2015-16 -: 2016-17-: 1338971 five year period 2314474 2017-18-: 1235175 as applicable 2016-17-: 2018-19-: 1252545 1865100 2017-18-: 1978200 2018-19-: 20,57,376

3q General remarks NA
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

NA

Mining operation being carried out by opencast fully mechanised method by deploying HEMM for excvation, drilling, blasting & transportation of material.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	OB & mineral rejects dumping was proposed towards Southern barrier zone and fines gerated proposed to be dumped at central part of lease area	Dumping carried as per the proposals.	Year wise dumping was depicted in Plate No-VI of modified Review of mining plan.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	OB dumps - E3437830 to 3437200 & N709100 to 708890, IB dumping- E3438080 to E3438520 with avg height of 7 to 8mts	Dumping of OB & IB carried out as per the proposals	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	overburden &	Marwa Block-04 overburden & IB dumps Jarorada Block- 03 overburden & IB dumps Gairtalai Block-01 overburden dump	No dumping out side mining lease.

4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	As per the approved document proposed beyond ultimate pit limit	Minor portions of dumps in Marwas, Gairtalai & Jarorada bloks falling under ultimate pits limits.	As reported, dumps area which is falling under UPL is of temporary dumping nature.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		Marwa Block-04 overburden & IB dumps are active	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Jarorada Block- 03 overburden & IB dumps & in Gairtalai Block-01 overburden dump are dead dumps	Jarorada Block- 03 overburden & IB dumps & in Gairtalai Block-01 overburden dump are dead dumps	Due to non operation of blocks the status of dumps are dead dumps
4g	Number of dumps established.	Not proposed in the approveddocume nt.	Not Applicable	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Proposal for construction of garland drain along the periphery of waste dump has been given.	Garland drain has been constructed along the waste & IB dumps.	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	-	Proposals of retaining wall & garlaind drains given but length are not specified in aprroved document.	
4 ј	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed in approved document	Not Applicable	

4k Specific NA NA Huge quantity of overburden & comments of inspecting Interburden are officer on waste being generated dump management during mining operations, hence more emphasis need to be given on systematic dumps management & this aspect was discussed during inspection accordingly.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	of mineral is not occured in any pits & no such proposals	Not Applicable	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not Proposed	None of the pits area has been backfilled as of now	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No Proposals of top soil during the year 2018-19	Not Applicable	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not Proposed	Not Applicable	

5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	NA	NA	Limestone is not ehausted in any pits so far, hence scope of backfilling and reclaimation doenot exists. Fines material below threshold value generated during crushing/screening can be very well utilized for
				utilized for backfilling in future.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	To be submitted before 1st July of every year	Annual PMCP report submitted well within time limit	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No area is proposed for rehabilitation	With the lease, no such area is available for rehabilitation as of now.	
6c	afforestation done (ha).		During the year 2018, total 18000 saplings were planted with cummuative survival rate of 85%.	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year		During the year 2018, total 18000 saplings were planted with cummuative survival rate of 85%.	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	1700 Nos	1800 Nos	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	

6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Not	Proposed	Nil
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	Not	Proposed	Nil
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Not	Proposed	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	Not	Proposed	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not	Proposed	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	Not	Proposed	Nil
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation	Not	Proposed	Nil

6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	Mot Proposed	Nil	
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	Nil	
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Environmental parameters monitoring proposed at regular interval in core zone and in buffer zone	Environmental parameters monitoring being carried out at regular interval in core zone as well as in buffer zone.	
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			PMCP report in prescribed form submitted and PMCP works carried out as per the proposals. Significant plantation have been carried out by the lessee in & around the mine.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Before despatch ROM subjected to mechanical screening/crus hing. No grade wise sorting is proposed	ROM dispatched after mechanical screening/crushing. No grade wise sorting is done.	

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
Envir	onment:			
7 e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	NA	NA .	The mineral reject existing inside the lease are above the threshold limit prescribed by the IBM. The limestone has been classified under mineral reject by virtue of its size i.e below 10 mm as it cannot be used in the steel furnance. The CaO content of the Mineral reject is about 48% to 49%.
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	No benification is proposed. Limestone crushing/scree ning proposed	Benificiation not done only limestone crushing/screening is done	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	No grade wise sorting is proposed	Not Done	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	sorting is not proposed.	Not Done	Crusher & screening plant of 450TPH is installed within lease area for grade wise & size wise separation of limestone as per steel plant requirement.

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	proposed and		
d8	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	Not done	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumps proposed as per approved documents	Dumping carried out as per the proposals	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not proposed	No mined out area matured for backfilling, hence OB, mineral rejects & fines are being stacked separately on earmarked locations	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not Proposed	Not Done	Mineral not ehausted so far in any pits, hence scope of phase wise restoration & rehabilitation of mined out land doesnot exists.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Total 17500 nos of saplings proposed to be planted with 85% survival	Total 18000 nos of saplings planted with 80% survival during 2018-19	
8g	Survival rate	Proposed 85% during 2018-19	Acheived 80% during 2018-19	

8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinking	Water sprinkling done	Two water tankers of 16KL are dedicately provided for water sprinkling purpose on mine haul road to arrest airborn dust.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	NA	NA	The general asthetic beauty of the area is good, the lease area is surrounded by the the catchment area of Bansagar dam. Significant plantation are also done in an around mines area.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	submitted upto	Monthly & Annual Returns submitted online within stipulated time.	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Shri Hemant Kumar Sahu appointed as Mining Engineer & Shri Satish Kumar Tiwari appointed as Geologist under rule 55(1)(c)(i) of MCDR, 2017	Shri Hemant Kumar Sahu, ME & Shri Satish Kumar Tiwari were present during the inspection.	

9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	working- 112.91Ha Used for OB,	Area given under current working as 112.91Ha was mismatching with modified scheme of mining approved on 05.11.2018.	Violation under rule 45(5)(c) of MCDR,2017 issued for deviation in area under current working w.r.t. with modified scheme of mining approved on 05.11.2018.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	18000 Nos saplings planted with survival rate of 85%	Good plantation work done to enhance the aesthetic beauty of the lease area	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	toones of	The mineral reject existing inside the lease are above the threshold limit prescribed by the IBM. The limestone has been classified under mineral reject by virtue of its size i.e below 10 mm as it cannot be used in the steel furnance. The CaO content of the Mineral reject is about 48% to 49%.	Mineral reject (Cement grade limestone) by virtue of its size i.e below 10 mm as it cannot be used in the steel furnance. The CaO content of the Mineral reject is about 48% to 49%.

9f Scrutiny of Limestone (BF) Limestone have CaO% >52% Mineral reject Annual return on grade: with +10mm to -75mm size (Cement grade ROM stock and/or Opening stock is being utilized for limestone) by graded ore : 10,957.360 sinter making & rest virtue of its size 10mm fines generated i.e below 10 mm as Tonnes Production: from crusher being it cannot be used 9,86,556.726 stacked separately. in the steel Tonnes furnance. The CaO Despatched: content of the 9,87,349.856 Mineral reject is Tonnes about 48% to 49%. Closing Stock: 10,164.230 Tonnes Limestone (Cement) grade: Opening stock : 19,84,155 Tonnes Production: 2,64,585 Tonnes Despatched: Nil Closing Stock: 22,48,740 Tonnes 9q Scrutiny of BF grade Limestone consumption is Violation under rule 45(5)(c) of Annual return on limestone-: captive hence sale value sale value, Ex. MCDR,2017 issued Ex-mine price: not furnished in AR. Ex-Mine price and Rs 871.82/MT mine price of both BF & for similarity in production cost Cement grade Cement grade limestone ex-mine price of BF & cement grade is furnished as same of limestone Ex-mine price Rs-871.82. limestone. -Rs 871.82/MT 9h Scrutiny of Fixed assets NΑ Annual return on of Rs 131201968 fixed assets furnished 9k Scrutiny of IR Drill -IR Drill - 100mm, Rock NA Annual return on 100mm, Rock Breaker-32mm, Excavator-2.7 CuM, Dozer-420HP, mining Breaker-32mm, Dumpers-18/22 Tonner, machineries Excavator-2.7 CuM, Dozeretc 420HP, Dumpers-18/22 Tonner, etc

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

Violation observed			Show couse position		
Rule 1	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	16-DEC-19			
MCDR17	Rule 14(2)	16-DEC-19			
MCDR17	Rule 14(3)	16-DEC-19			
MCDR17	Rule 45(5)(c 16-DEC-19			

Date : (SANJAY M. GIRHE)

Indian Bureau of Mines