# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### Review and updation of Mining Plan REPORT

#### Kolkata regional office

Mine file No : KOL/JHK/SB(W)/FE(M-4) Mine code : 30BHR27018

(i) Name of the Inspecting : K004 ) B.P KERKETTA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Manoj Kumar, AGM

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 06/11/2019

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : MEHGAHATUBURU

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : JHARKHAND

District : SINGHBHUM (WEST)

Village : MEGHAHATUBURU

Taluka : CHAIBASA

Post office : MEGHAHATUBURU

Pin Code : 833223

FAX No. : 011-24367015 E-mail : envrmd@gmail.com Phone : 011-24367539

(f) Police Station : MEGHAHATUBURU

(g) First opening date : 15/06/1985

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : Vill:- Meghahatuburu. Po:-Meghahatuburu.

correspondance Dist - Singhbhum west

State: - Jharkhand. PIN---833223

3. (a) Lease Number : BHR0073

(b) Lease area : 879.44

(c) Period of lease
(d) Date of Expiry : 31/03/2020

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.

INDUSTRY HOUSE 5TH & 6TH FLOOR 10, CAMAC STREET KOLKATA WEST BENGAL

Phone:033-22822316/0462/3385 FAX:033-22822316/0462

Owner : Amarendu Prakash

Steel Authority Of India Limited Bokaro Steel Plant Bokaro-827001 SINGHBHUM

(WEST) JHARKHAND

Phone: 05642240300,024 FAX : (06542)240/82

Agent : kamlesh Rai

Meghahatuburu Iron Ore Mines Dist.-West Snghbhum Jharkhand SINGHBHUM (WEST)

**JHARKHAND** 

Phone: 8986881116

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : SANJAY KUMAR, Full Time

Qualification : B.Tech (Mining)
Appointment/ : 14/09/2019

Termination date

Mining Engineer

Name : Shankar Prasad Das,Full Time
Qualification : B.Tech(Mining) &FCMMC(Registerd)
Appointment/ : 16/01/2019 14/09/2019

Termination date

Geologist

Name : DR. MANOJ KUMAR, Full Time Qualification : M.SC. (GEOLOGY), PHD GEOLOGY

Appointment/ : 28/02/2015

Termination date

Manager

Name : KAMLESH RAI
Qualification : B TECH(MIN ENGG)

Appointment/ : 07/08/2014

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

### Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	Total of 47 boreholes (27boreholes in Central Block+20boreh oles in East of Central Block) proposed for drilling in 2018-19.	No boreholes were drilled in 2018-19	For want of Stage II Forest Clearance for Meghataburu Central Block, proposed drilling of 47 boreholes could not be done.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	-	-	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	No such proposal	_	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	No such proposal	_	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Balance Reserves as on 1/7/2019 Meghahatuburu Block: Reserves - 17.78MT and Remaining resources: 14.60MT Central Block: Reserves = 10.60 MT and Remaining resources: 0.07MT East of Central Block Reserves : NIL and Remaining Resource= 14.85 MT		

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

For want of Stage II Forest Clearance for Meghataburu Central Block, proposed drilling of 47 boreholes could not be done.

Meghatuburu block consists of undulating hills with central portion rising to 918 m above the MSL. Block stretches over a strike length of 2100 m in N 37 0 E direction and width varies from 250 to 600 m. The ore in central part of the deposit extends upto 130 m depth and to relatively shallower depth in the northern and southern part. The Central block is situated at about 600 m south of Meghatuburu block and streatches over a strike length of 2000 m. having highest elevation of 890m MSL.

### Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	proposed in broken area of Meghatuburu Block and	Mining continued in Meghatuburu Block but no development in Meghatuburu Central Block for want of Stage II Forest Clearence.	

2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	In Meghatuburu Block it was proposed to develop ore benches. In Central block it was proposed to develop benches in top soil OB and minerals.	Development of ore benches in Meghatuburu block are being done as per proposal. No development in Meghatuburu Central Block for want of Stage II Forest Clearence.	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1.70 : 1	1.04 : 1	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	NIL	NIL	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Meghatuburu Block: 1.09 Mil. m3 Meghatuburu Central Block: 0.5 Mil. m3	Meghatuburu Block: 1.198 Mil. m3 Meghatuburu Central Block: NIL	Central block not opened during reporting period for want of Stage-II forest clearance. Therefore no OB generation from Central block.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	_	_	Development by deepening of existing pit continued in Mehatuburu Block. No development in Central block for want of Stage-II forest clearance.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Two (One pit each in Meghatuburu block and Central Block)	One pit only in Meghatuburu block	Central Block not opened for want of Stage II FC.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Meghatubru Block: 5550000MT Central Block: 2460000MT	Meghatubru Block: 3870450MT Central Block:NIL	For Central block, Stage-II FC awaited.
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	-	Saleable Mineral recovered (Lump- 994510 MT and Fines- 2668690MT)	

3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	NIL	NIL
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	-	-
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Meghatuburu Block: 0.6 Mill tonnes Central Block: 0.92 Mill tonnes	Meghatuburu Block: 0.35 Mill tonnes Central Block: NIL
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	-	49-52 %Fe
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized	Mechanized
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	None	None
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Wet drilling and Use of delay detonators (NONEL)	Wet drilling and Use of delay detonators (NONEL)
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Dumpers & Excavators	Dumpers & Excavators
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Yes	Yes
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	65.00 На	65.00 На
3n		1.70:1 (Meghahatuburu block) 1.52:1 (Meghahatuburu Central block)	1.04:1 (Meghahatuburu block). No production from Meghahatuburu Central block

Total area put 255.04 Ha 255.04 Ha in use under different heads at the end of year Production of Meghatuburu Meghatuburu Block: 3p ROM mineral Block: 2015-16 - 3737160 MT 2016-17 - 3868060 MT 2015-16 during the last five year period 5040000 MT 2017-18 - 3967340 MT as applicable 2016-17 -2018-19 - 3870450 MT 5040000 MT 2017-18 -4140000 MT Production not commensed 2018-19 from Central Block for 6540000 MT want of Stage II FC Central Block: 2015-16 -90000 MT 2016-17 -960000 MT 2017-18 -2670000 MT 2018-19 -1890000 MT 2019-20 -2460000MT 3q General remarks Mining carried out of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.

by open cast method by deploying HEMM keeping bench height at 10 m and width at 30 m. Benches are developed by deploying 150 mm dia drilling machene and blasting with Low density (LD) cartriadge explosives. 100 t dumpers with matching capacity hydraulic excavators/ loaders are being utilised for loading and transportation of ore.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	excavated voids envisaged for Meghatubru	Backfilling done as per proposal in Meghatubru Central Block however, development of pit yet to start in Central block for want of Stage II FC.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	excavated voids envisaged for Meghatubru	Backfilling done as per proposal in Meghatubru Central Block however, development of pit yet to start in Central block for want of Stage II FC.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	9	9
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Out side UPL	Out side UPL
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	1	1
4f	Number of dead dumps.	8	8
<b>4</b> g	Number of dumps established.	8	8
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Yes	Yes
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	No such proposal during reporting period	-
4j	Number of settling ponds	1	1

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

Waste dump management is carried out as per approved Modified Mining Plan & Progressive MIne Closure Plan.

### Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Full extraction	Full extraction	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	10.22 На	0.8 ha	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Stacking of generated soil (0.04 mill cum) at earmarked location envisaged in Central Block. Generation of top soil not envisaged from Megatuburu Block.	Development in Central Block could not be initiated for want of Stage II FC.	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	10.22 ha	2.0 ha	Since mineral is not completely exhausted from the proposed area, the actual area available for backfilling is less than proposal.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			Since mineral is not completely exhausted from the proposed area, the actual area available for backfilling is less than proposal.

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes	Yes	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	10.22 ha	0.8 ha	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	6.11 ha	0.8 ha	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	2000	2000	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	33000	-	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	None	-	
<b>6</b> g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs 15.03 Crore	NA	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	10.22 ha	2.00 ha	The area envisaged for backfilling could not be met due to creation of insufficient void and less intake from steel plant.
бі	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	10.22 ha	2.00 ha	
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	6.11 ha	0.8 ha	
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No such proposal		

61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No such proposal	-	
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	No such proposal	_	
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	No such proposal	-	
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	No such proposal	-	
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	4 stations	4 stations	
6q 	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			The area envisaged for backfilling & rehabilitation could not be met due to creation of insufficient void and less intake from steel plant.

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise sorting	Grade wise sorting	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanised	Mechanised	

7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.		(ii) Fines - 2899457.45MT (a) Below 55% -0 (b) 55% to below 58% - 0 (c) 58% to below 60% 71466.840MT (d) 60% to below 62% - 791889.400MT (e) 62% to below 65% 2002673.010MT (f) 65% and above 33428.200MT	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Both wet and dry circuit	Both wet and dry circuit	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			Due regard is given towards conservation of minerals. Beneficiation of low grade ore is carried out in the beneficiation plant located within the leasehold area.

### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	from	In Meghatuburu Block mining was restricted inside broken area and there is no removal of top soil. Development of Central block yet to commence for want of Stage II FC.	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal from Meghatuburu Block and it was propposaed to rto store soil from Central block.	In Meghatuburu Block mining was restricted inside broken area and there is no removal of top soil. Development of Central block yet to commence for want of Stage II FC.	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Yes	

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Restoration of profile by backfilling of mined out area.	Restoration of profile by backfilling of mined out area.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	<pre>profile by backfilling of</pre>	Restoration of profile by backfilling of mined out area followed by leveling and plantation.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Yes	Yes
8g	Survival rate	80%	70%
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes	Yes
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area		The aesthetic beauty in and around mines area is very good.

## Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	-	AR for 2018-19 submitted	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer- Sh. Sanjay Kumar Geologist: Dr. Manoj Kumar	Mining Engineer and Geologist are employed.	

9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Working: 65 Ha Recaimed/ Rehabilitated	Details furnished on land use pattern are more or less in order
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Plantation: 2000 saplings, Survival rate 90%	Details furnished in AR are more or less in order
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	140987tonnes Grade 50% Fe	Details furnished in AR regarding generation of subgrade are in order
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	ROM: 3870450MT	Details furnished in AR are in order
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Rs. 1301.84/-	Details furnished in AR are in order
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Total Fixed Assets:Given as Nil in the main column.But in the breakup Rs4354465277/-	The figure should have been given in the main column also.
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Hydraulic Showel: 04, Backhoe: 03, Front end Loader: 01, Dumper: 09, Blast hole drill 04, Motor Grader: 02, Dozer: 07, Water Tanker: 03	Details furnished in AR are more or less in order

PAGE : 15

Issued on Compliance on

Details of violations observed de violation pointed out	uring current inspection and compliance position of
Violation observed	Show couse position

Rule NO.

Date: (B.P KERKETTA)

Issued on Compliance on

Rule NO.

Indian Bureau of Mines