INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Gandhinagar regional office

Mine file No : RAJ/UDP/R.PHOS-3 Mine code: 46RAJ26007

(i)Name of the Inspecting : G021) DATA RAM GURJAR

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sh. Ravi Kumar Dave, Mine Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 24-DEC-19 Prev.inspection date : 16-NOV-17 (v)

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

(a) Mine Name : MATON ML NO.31/1968

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/421/2011

(C) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working Opencast

(e) Postal address

> State : RAJASTHAN District UDAIPUR Village MATON Taluka GIRVA Post office MATON

Pin Code

(0294) 523328 FAX No.

E-mail : amarsingh.gohil@vedanta.co (0294) 2490446, (0294) 2491 Phone

:

: HIRAN MAGRI (f) Police Station

First opening date : 17-MAR-70 (g)

Weekly day of rest (h) : MON

Address for : Maton Rock Phosphate Mine correspondance

M/s Hindustan Zinc Ltd.

Maton, Girwa, udaipur(Raj.)

3. (a) Lease Number : RAJ0627

> (b) Lease area **:** 143.13

Period of lease (C)

(d) Date of Expiry : 31-MAR-30

Mineral worked 4. : ROCK PHOSPHATE Main 5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Hindustan Zinc Ltd.

Yashad Bhavan Udaipur UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone: FAX:

Owner : Hindustan Zinc Ltd.

Yashad Bhavan Udaipur UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone: FAX:

Agent : Sri Vijay Prakash Joshi

Baroi Pb-Zn Mine, Post-Zawar, District: Udaipur Rajasthan UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

Phone: FAX:

Mining Engineer

Name : Amar singh Gohil, Full Time

Qualification : BE(mining)

Appointment/: 30-JAN-12 03-JUN-18

Termination date

Mining Engineer

Name : RAVI KUMAR DAVE, Full Time

Qualification : B Tech Mining Appointment/ : 04-JUN-18

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Sunil Kumar Vashisth, Full Time

Qualification : M.Sc Geology Appointment/ : 31-JAN-15

Termination date

Manager

Name : Shri Amarsingh Gohil

Qualification : Mining Engineering Ist Class Manager ce

Appointment/: 02-FEB-12 03-JUN-18

Termination date

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No exploration was proposed during the last year | No backlog of previous year | Nil |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | No exploration was proposed during the last year 2018-19. | No exploratory work has been carried out. | Entire mineralised area has been explored up to G1 level up to the depth of 530mRL. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | During the RY 2018-19 no exploration was proposed. | During the year 2018-19 no exploration has been carried out. | During the year of 2017-18 total 8963m exploration drilling has been carried and total expenditures incurred is aboutRs. 9.37 crores. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | No such proposal was given in approved scheme of mining(approved on 10.04.2015) | All the mineralised area has been explored up to G1 level up to the depth of 530mRL | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Not specified | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019 is 3.52 million tonne | Nil |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | Entire mineralised area has been explored up to G1 level up to the depth of 530mRL. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---------------------|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | NE-SW along the strike | As per proposal | Nil |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate benches have been proposed in OB & minerals. | Yes as per proposal | Nil |

| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Diring the year 2018-19 1:22 | 1:0.0 | Mining development & excavation temporarily discontinued from NOV'15 Onwards due to adverse marked condition. |
|----|--|------------------------------|-------|--|
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 707200 cum | Nil | Mining development & excavation temporarily discontinued from Nov. 2015 onwards due to adverse marked condition. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Mining development & excavation temporarily discontinued from Nov. 2015 onwards due to adverse marked condition. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------|-------------|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | One | Nil |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 2018-19 89600 MT | Nil | No ROM production has been carried out during the year 2018-19. |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | Nil | No ROM production has been carried out during the year 2018-19. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | No subgrade mineral generation proposal | Nil | Nil |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | No proposal given. | None | Nil |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Yes, drilling & blasting proposed. | None | Nil |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Yes, Diesel operated Shovel and Dumpers | As per proposal | Nil |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Yes | Yes as per proposal | Nil |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | Area proposed under mining at the end of SOM period i.e. 2019-20 will be 28.58 Ha. | At the end of year 2018-19 area put ot use 22.83 ha area. | After violation of rule 45(7) of MCDR party submitted revised return shwoing the correct land area utilisation at the end of year 2018-19. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:22 | 1:0.0 | No mine development & excavation has been carried out during the last year. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | As per approved SOM total 70.0 ha area will be used under different heads at the end of year 2019-20. | At the end of year 2018- 19 Under Mining=22.83 ha, Waste disposal=8.42, Others=2.48 ha area | Nil |

| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 180000 MT(2014-15) 124000 MT(2015-16) 175000 MT(2016-17) 134960 MT(2017-18) 89600 MT(2018-19) 79800 MT(2019-20) | 141577.32 MT(2014-15) 56529.90 MT(2015-16) 8798 MT(2016-17) Nil (2017-18) Nil (2018-19) Nil (2019-20) | Nil |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Mining has been carried out by opencast mining method which is suitable to the type of deposit. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | dumping of OB was proposed. No top soil & | No top soil & mineral reject generated. No mine development has been carried out duirng the last year hence no OB generated. | Nil |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | OB/waste dump location proposal Site-II 9800 E-10420 E; 9300N- 10100N Site-III 9100N - 9800N; 8600E - 9600E | been carried out during the last year. | Nil |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 3 within ML | 3 within ML | Nil |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Outside of UPL | Outside of UPL | nil |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | 2 | 2 | Nil |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 1 | 1 | Nil |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | 01 | 01 | Nil |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | proposal given | Garland drain along the dumps was observed. | Nil |
|----|--|----------------|---|---|
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | proposal given | Garland drain along the dumps was observed. | Nil |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | No issue was observed related to waste dump management. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual | work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--------|-----------------|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposal during the last year. | Nil | | Nil |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal during the last year. | None | | Nil |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No top soil generation proposed during the last year | No top | soil generated. | Nil |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No such proposal given for the last year. | None | | Nil |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | | No backfilling proposed during the last year nor backfilling has been carried out. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | of PMCP shall be submitted up to 1st July | PMCP report for the year 2018-19 submitted on time. | Nil |
|----|--|---|---|-----|
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No proposal given | Nil | Nil |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 1.5 ha | 1.5 ha. | Nil |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 2250 nos. | 2250 nos. | Nil |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Not specified | Approximately 9000 nos. sapling planted during the year 2015-16 to 2018-19. | Nil |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposal | None | Nil |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not specified | About Rs. 4 lakh | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal | None | Nil |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal | Nil | Nil |

| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No such proposal | However at the pit bottom rain water accumulation was observed. | Nil |
|--------|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal | None | Nil |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | 2250 sapling | 2250 sapling | nil |
| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Proposed. | Environment monitoring is being carried out as per proposal | Nil |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | PMCP compliance are satisfactory. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks | Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | |
|---|--------|------|-----------|-------------|--|
|---|--------|------|-----------|-------------|--|

| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM mineral dispatched after garde wise sorting. | Above 30% P205-1153.97 MT 25-30%P205-2328.36 MT Above20%-25% P205- 27487.98MT | As per chapter 5 of approved Scheme of Mining (Approved on 10.04.2015), lessee can sell surplus ROM to the State Govt. Agent on a preferential basis (Currently RSMML) but as per item 5 of part VI of Anual Return 2018-19, domestic sale have been made to various private parties in contravention of proposal of approved scheme of mining as well as lease deed conditions hence violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued to the lesse vide this office letter dated 08.01.2020. |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanical | Mechanical | Nil |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Above 30% P205, 25- 30%P205, & Above20%-25% P205 | As per proposal | Nil |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | None | Nil |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | No issue was observed related to mineral conservation. |

Actual work

Remarks

Environment:

Item

Propasals

Sl.No.

| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | removal and utilization was proposed during RY | No top soil generated. | Nil |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | 2018-19. No proposal | No top soil generated. | Nil |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumps of OB/waste was proposed. | As per proposal. | Nil |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No such proposal was given | None at present | Nil |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal, however plantation was proposed over waste dumps over an area 1.5 ha. | Plantation has been carried out on old waste dumps over an area 1.5 ha. | Nil |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | During the last year plantation was proposed over waste dumps(1.5 ha area & 2250 nos.) | During the last year 2250 nos. plantation has been carried out over an area 1.5 ha on waste dumps. | Nil |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified | Almost 100% | Nil |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | Water sprinkling was observed. | Nil |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Aesthetic beauty is good in and around mines area. |

Actual work

Remarks

Compliance of Rule 45:

Propasals

Item

Sl.No.

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Monthly return submitted up to Feb 2020 AR submitted up to year 2018-19. | Return submitted on time. | Nil |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Details given in AR 2018-19 | Found correct. | Nil |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | in AR are not matching with scheme of | | Lessee submitted the revised return on 18.02.2020 after necessary corrections. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | | Found correct. | Nil |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Details given that no mineral reject generation | Found correct | Nil |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | reported in AR only dispatched | As per chapter 5 of approved Scheme of Mining (Approved on 10.04.2015), lessee can sell surplus ROM to the State Govt. Agent on a preferential basis (Currently RSMML) but as per item 5 of part VI of Anual Return 2018-19, domestic sale have been made to various private parties in contravention of proposal of approved scheme of mining as well as lease deed conditions hence violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 issued to the lesse vide this office letter dated 08.01.2020. | Nil |

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | _ | Found to be correct. | Nil |
|----|---|---|----------------------|-----|
| 9h | Annual return on | | Seems to be correct. | Nil |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | _ | Found correct. | Nil |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation | observed | Show couse position | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |
| MCDR17 Rule 11(1) | 08-JAN-20 | MCDR17 Rule | 11(108-JAN-20 | |
| MCDR17 Rule 35(2) | 08-JAN-20 | MCDR17 Rule | 35(208-JAN-20 | |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a) | 08-JAN-20 18-MAR-20 | | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 46(a) | 08-JAN-20 18-MAR-20 | | | |

Date: (DATA RAM GURJAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines