INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

	Mine	file No : MP/JBP/MANG	-16		Mine	code :	40MPR18003
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	ī :	GQ05) SANJAY M. GIRHE			
	(ii)	Designation	:	Regional Mining Geologist			
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:				
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	16-MAR-20			
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	10-SEP-15			
		РА	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION			
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	KEOLARI (4.03 HA)			
	(b)	Registration NO.	:				
	(c) (d) (e) (f) (g)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date		A Other than Fully Mech. Opencast MADHYA PRADESH JABALPUR NA NA GOSALPUR 05-APR-08			
2.	(II) Addre	ess for espondance	:	M/S SHOBHA MINERALS 765/1, NAPIER TOWN, JABALPUR 482001			
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	::	MPR2192 4.03 20 18-JUN-27			
4.	Mine	ral worked	:	MANGANESE ORE Mair OCHRE Asso LATERITE Asso IRON ORE Asso	n ociated ociated ociated	l l	

5.	Name and Address of	f the		
	Lessee	:	SHOBHA MINERALS	
			765- Napier town JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH Phone:NA FAX :NA	
	Owner	:	SHOBHA MINERALS 765- Napier town JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH Phone: NA FAX : NA	
6.	Date of approval of	E Mini	ng : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960	10-NOV-05
	Plan/Scheme of Mini	lng	Modif.of approved Mining Plan	25-AUG-09
			Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	28-MAY-13
			MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016	24-MAY-18

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	No exploration was proposed during the 2019-20.	NA	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Exploration not Proposed	NA	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not Proposed	No exploration carried out during the year 2019-20.	
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not Proposed	Area under G1 level exploration: 3.03 Ha G3 level: 1.00 Ha Area explored under G1 level by way of working only.	No detailed exploration carried out by the lessee in the past.

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

as on 01/04/20

Reserves in Reserves in Tonnes Tonnes Proved(111) - 519406 Proved(111) - (Iron Ore) 7836123 Proved(111) - 53607 ((Iron Ore) Manganese Ore) Proved(111) - Resources in tonnes 54820 (Feasibility(211): 458443 Manganese (Iron Ore) Ore) Inferred Mineral Resources in Resources (333) - 458443 tonnes (Iron Ore) Feasibility(2 Inferred Mineral 11): 976280 Resources (333)-48264 ((Iron Ore) Mn Ore) Reserves & Resources Pre-Feasibility(2 positions as per AR for 21): 64269 (the year 2019-20 Mn Ore) Inferred(333): 361447 MT A-1:The balance reserves Inferred as on 1/4/2018 is 48835 Mineral Resources tonnes (333) - 720650 (Iron Ore) Inferred Mineral Resources (333) - 50477 (Mn Ore) Reserves & Resources positions as per approved

ROMP dtd 24.05.2018 Inferred(333) : 361447 MT 1f General remarks NA of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc NA

Entire mineralised lase area has not been explored fully as per UNFC Guidelines by the lessee in past. Hence, lessee was instructed to propose further exploration to convert G2 reserves/resources into G1 level of exploration. Area proved by working pits up to 374mRL.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	It was proposed to work in between E100 to E260 & S380 to S270 with bench floor mRLs as 406mRL to 370mRLs as earmarked in VI(B) Plate for the year 2019-20.	Mine working was carried out as per the proposed locations during the year 2019-20.	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Benches in Top soil - Nil, Overburden Benches - Nil, Iron & Mn ore - 7 Benches (Height -6mts)	Bench height of 6mts not maintained in Iron & Mn ore benches. Approx. 8 to 10m bench height was observed	Violation under Rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017 was issued for devaition in proposed vs actual bench height
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Not Proposed	Nil	No overburden or top soil generation proposals were given for 5 yrs plan period.

2d Quantity of Not Proposed Nil topsoil generation in m3 Not Proposed 2e Quantity of Nil overburden generation in m3 2f General remarks NA NA of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

proposals were given for 5 yrs plan period. No overburden generation occured. There is single working pit centralised located & its dimensions Pit No-1 (170-220m x (80-145m x (10-20m). Iron ore & Mn ore mineralisation was very well seen in working pit.

No top soil

generation

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Excavation were proposed in Pit-1 from 406mRL to 370mRL as marked in Plate-VIB	Production carried out from single Pit-1	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	1,77,570 tonne of Iron Ore & 12,457 tonne of Mn ore proposed in 2019-20. 13,032 tonne iron ore & 896 tonne of Mn ore proposed from dump working	1213 tonne Mn ore & 174207 tonnes Iron ore production acheived during the year 2019-20. No production reported from dump working during the year.	

3c Recovery of 90 % recovery Recovery of iron ore & sailable/usable of iron ore Mn ore achieved as per mineral from ROM proposed & 5% the proposals. production recovery of Mn ore proposed Quantity of Not Proposed Nil 3d No mineral rejects mineral reject in the lease area generation Grade of mineral Not Proposed 3e NA rejects generation and threshold value declared. 3f Quantity of sub Not Proposed NA grade mineral generation. Grade of sub Not Proposed Nil 3q grade mineral generation 3h Manual / Manual Manual dressing, sizing & sorting of Iron, Mn Mechanised dressing, method adopted sizing & ore carried out for segregating sorting of from ROM Iron, Mn ore proposed 3i Any analysis or Not Proposed NA beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. Provision of Not Proposed Iron & Mn ore Зj NA found as pebbles & drilling and blasting in fines hence mineral benches drilling/blasting not proposed

3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Excavator/Tata , 1.0Cum capacity Loader-Tata TATA Dumper model 2515, 24 T capacity Tractor mounted Air Compressor Rock breaker CP 1650 Water pump with Diesel engine	Excavator/Tata, 1.0Cum capacity Loader-Tata TATA Dumper model 2515, 24 T capacity Tractor mounted Air Compressor Rock breaker CP 1650 Water pump with Diesel engine	In house & outsourced HEMM.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	No OB bench height is proposed Bench height of 6m proposed in Iron & Mn ore benches	Proposed height of benches were not maintained at some location	Violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017 was issued for this deviation.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	2.61 Ha area was proposed in approved ROMP period i.e. 2018-19 to 2022-23.	Total 4.03 Ha area covered under excavation as per AR for the year 2019-20.	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	Not Proposed	Nil	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	As per the previous approved ROMP (As on 01.04.2018) Pits-2.61 Ha Mineral Storage-1.30 Ha Road - 0.01 Ha	As per the previous annual return (As on 01.04.2020) Pits-4.03 Ha Infrastructure- 0.020Ha Road - 0.01 Ha	

3р	Production of		Ir	on
	ROM mineral	Iron Ore	Ore Mn Or	e
	during the last	Mn	2015-16-: 1,52,500	
	five year period	Ore	9,047	
	as applicable	2015-16-:	2016-17-: 43,000	
		2,60,021	500	
		17,883	2017-18-: 63,500	
		2016-17-:	Nil	
		2,61,948	2018-19-: 90,000	
		18,151	700	
		2017-18-:	2019-20-: 1,74,207	
		2,94,930	3,807	
		21,053		
		2018-19-:		
		1,46,037		
		10,336		
		2019-20-:		
		1,77,570		
		12,457		
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	NA	NA	Mechanised open cast working proposed by using Excavator /JCB & dumper combination without drilling & blasting. A-3: Modern and scientific mining methods are in practice for
				practice for optimal exploitation of mineral wealth. Latest technologies like cavity monitoring and machineries are being used.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Not Proposed	Nil	No OB, top soil & mineral rejects proposed to generated during approved plan period

4b Not Proposed Location of Nil topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps Number of dumps Single subgrade 4c Not Proposed NA within lease dump is located area and outside towards northof lease area western part of ML area. 4d Location of Single subgrade Not Proposed NA dumps w.r.t. dump is located ultimate pit towards northlimit (Rule 16) western part of ML area. 4e Number of active Not Proposed No active OB or top soil and alive dumps. dumps in lease area 4f Number of dead Not Proposed No dead dump existing in dumps. lease area. Number of dumps Not Proposed 4q NA established. 4h Whether Not Proposed Nil Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. Length of 4i Not Proposed NA Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps 4j Number of Not Proposed NA settling ponds 4k Specific NA NA No overburden or comments of top soil inspecting encontered in the officer on waste mining lease area. dump management Hence, scope of dumps management doesnot exists.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not Proposed	NA	ML area is devoid of OB/Waste and non of the pit ore exhaustedhence backfilling is not proposed.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not Proposed	NA	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	NA	No top soil encountered in ML area
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not Proposed	None of the ML area is reclaimed & rehabilitated	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	NA	NA	No overburden/waste encountered in the lease area. Top soil as and when generated proposed to be used for plantation purpose. Hence, scope of reclamation by backfilling doesn't exist.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	To be submitted before 1st July of every year	Not submitted	Violation under rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Not Proposed	NA, presently no area is available for rehabilitation	

afforestation Total 150 Total 160 saplings 6c Survival rate 60% done (ha). saplings planted during the year reported during proposed to be the year planted during 2019-20 6d Total 150 Total 160 saplings No. of saplings planted during saplings planted during the year proposed to be the year planted during 2019-20 6e Cumulative no NA NA .of plants 6f Any other method Not Proposed NΑ of rehabilitation Cost incurred on NA 6g NA watch and care during the year Compliance on Not Proposed Area not matured for 6h reclamation and backfilling so far rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx В х D 6i Compliance on Not Proposed Area not matured for reclamation and backfilling so far rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on Not Proposed NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on Not Proposed Area not matured for reclamation and backfilling so far rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir

61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Not Proposed	NA	
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Not Proposed	NA	
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Not Proposed	Nil	
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Not Proposed	NA	
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Periodical Air, Water, Noise monitoring Proposed	Yes,Compliances of environmental monitoring is being carried out.	Analysis reports were provided during mine inspection
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	NA	NA	Area is devoid of OB/Waste, hence scope of backfilling/reclam ation & rehabilitation doesn't exist and no proposals are given. Periodic env. monitoring is being carried out by outsourced consultant.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

1,77,570 MT of 1,91,113 MT iron ore & 7a ROM Mineral dispatch or iron ore & Manganese ore-Nil 12,457 MT Mn dispatched during the grade-wise sorting within ore proposed year. Iron ore lumps & lease area as total ROM fines of <55% Fe content production for despatched. despatch. Grade wise sorting proposed within lease area for Iron & Mn ore 7b Method of grade- Proposed as Manual sorting is done Entire ROM is wise mineral Manual sorted grade wise sorting i.e. & for selling manual or purpose. mechanical. 7c Different grade Grade wise Grade wise sorting & of mineral sorting & sizing for iron ore <55% sorted out at sizing for Fe content and Manganese iron ore <55% <25% Mn content being mines. Fe content and carried out. Manganese <25% Mn content proposed 7d Not Proposed Any Nil beneficiation process at mines 7e General remarks NA NA Iron ore & Mn ore of inspecting is being conserved officer on by grade wise Mineral sorting in the conservation and lease area. Iron beneficiation ore <55% Fe content & Mn ore issues <25% Mn content proposed to be sell to the nearby cement plants, benificiation plant & steel industries.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	NA	No top soil encountered or proposed during plan period.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	Nil	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Not Proposed	Nil	No top soil, OB or mineral rejects generation proposed.
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not Proposed	NA	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not Proposed	Iron ore & Mn ore not exhausted in any pits for aforesaid purpose.	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	150 Saplings were proposed to be planted	150 saplings planted within & outside ML area with 60% survival rate.	
8g	Survival rate	Proposed as 80%	Acheived as 60%	Low survival rate due stony/lateritic nature of top surface
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling was proposed by water tanker	Regular water sprinkling is done by water tanker	Water tanker of 5KL capacity is provided for the purpose

8i

General remarks NA of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area

NA

Aesthetic beauty in and around mine area is not much satisfactory as plantation not done up to that extent. Hence, it was instructed to propose at least 200-300 sapling/year & incorporate the same in further submission ROMP/MP.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	M.R. for January & February-2020 were not submitted till the date of inspection Annual Return was submitted for 2018-19	Annual Return for the year 2018-19 submitted within time limit.	Violation under rule 45(5)(b) for non submission of MR was issued.
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Given	NA	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Given	NA	

Scrutiny ofGiven asSome plantation wereAnnual return on Saplingsobserved along statutory 9d planted -150 barrier & other part of afforestation Nos (Within & ML area. outside ML area) Survival rate-60% 9e Scrutiny of Not Given No mineral/ore rejects Annual return on generated during the mineral reject year generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Scrutiny of Given Iron Ore- Below 55% Fe Annual return on content (Lumps) ROM stock and/or O/S-10895.056 MT graded ore Despatch-10372.52 MT C/S-3969.78 MT Iron Ore- Below 55% Fe content (Fines) O/S-118657.75 MT Despatch-180381 MT C/S-108675.72 MT Mn Ore-: 0/S-996.10 MT Despatch-Nil C/S-2209.24 MT Scrutiny of Ex-mine price: 9q Given Mn Ore <25Mn Grade -Rs Annual return on 800/MT sale value, Ex. Mine price and Iron Ore <55% Fe (Lumps) production cost Grade- Rs 450/MT Iron Ore <55% Fe (Fines) Grade- Rs 750/MT 9h Scrutiny of NA Given Annual return on fixed assets 9k Scrutiny of Given NA Annual return on mining machineries

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out								
Violation observed				Show couse position				
Rule NO.		Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO. Issued on Compliance		Compliance on		
MCDR17	Rule	11(1)	27-MAY-20		MCDR17	Rule	11(127-MAY-20) 19-APR-21
MCDR17	Rule	14(3)	27-MAY-20		MCDR17	Rule	14(327-MAY-20) 19-APR-21
MCDR17	Rule	26(2)	27-MAY-20	31-JUL-20				
MCDR17	Rule	31(4)	27-MAY-20	31-JUL-20				
MCDR17	Rule	45(1)	27-MAY-20	31-JUL-20				
MCDR17	Rule	45(5)(k	27-MAY-20	31-JUL-20				

Date :

(SANJAY M. GIRHE)

Indian Bureau of Mines