INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Review and updation of Mining Plan REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/KATNI/BAUXITE-59 Mine code : 07MPR47022

(i) Name of the Inspecting : GQ05) SANJAY M. GIRHE

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 22-FEB-21

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : PADWAR BAUXITE 25.82HA.

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : KATNI
Village : PADWAR
Taluka : BAHORIBAND
Post office : PADWAR
Pin Code : 483440

FAX No. :
E-mail :
Phone :

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 17-NOV-14

(h) Weekly day of rest :

2. Address for :

correspondance

3. (a) Lease Number : MPR2409
(b) Lease area : 25.82
(c) Period of lease : 30

(d) Date of Expiry : 12-FEB-42

4. Mineral worked : BAUXITE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : KATNI MINERALS PVT LTD

26, COMMERCIAL COMPLEX HOUSING BOARD COLONY KATNI

KATNI MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: FAX:

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 01-MAR-16

Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	During the year 2019-20 no exploration was proposed	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Not Proposed	Nil	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	During the year 2019-20 no exploration was proposed	NA	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Exploration was not proposed during the year 2019-20	G-1 level area- Nil G-2 level area- 18.41Ha G-3 level area- 7.41Ha	a
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Reserves in Tonnes Probable (122)- 852518MT Resources in tonnes Pre- Feasibility(2 21): 91287 MT Inferred Resources(333)-400200 MT Reserves/resources position as per SOM approved dtd 01.03.2016	Reserves in Tonnes Probable (122)-841838M Resources in tonnes Pre-Feasibility(221): 91287 MT Inferred Resources(333) 400200 MT Reserves/resources position as per AR 2019 20) –

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

NA

NA

Most of the mineralised lase area has not been explored fully as per UNFC Guidelines by the lessee in past. Hence, lessee was instructed to propose further exploration to convert G2 & G3 reserves/resources into G1 level of exploration. Accordingly, lessee has proposed sufficent exploration in ROMP for the period 2021-22 to 2025-26 as per the provisions of Rule 12(4) of MCDR, 2017

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	development	proposed to	Mine working was carried out as per the proposed locations during the year 2019-20	
2b	-	lateritic soil and laterite	Working carried out as per the proposals	

2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Proposed as 1:0.79 Tonne/CuM	Acheived as 1:2.19 Tonne/CuM	Variation due to very less production and double generation of OB.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	proposed as 11900.00 m3 during the year 2019-20 & 11400 M3 in 2020-21	Acheived as 2800.00m3 during 2019-20 & 1175 M3 in 2020-21	Less top soil generation due to very less production during the year.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Proposed as 13972.00 m3 during the year 2019-20 & 13972 M3 in 2020-21	Generated during the year 2019-20 as 3200.00 m3 & 1850 M3 in 2020-21	Less OB generation due very less production during the year
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	NA	NA	Total 14 working pits of different dimensions and maximum depth of bauxite mineralisation of 12m proved/established in Pit No-1 of 180m x (10-62)m x (2.5 - 12.0)m. Bauxite mineralisation very well seen in all the pits

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Working was proposed in pit no-4 & 5	Working carried out in pit no-4 & 5	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	74987 MT proposed in 2019-20 & 74987 in 2020- 21	4323MT (Achieved in 2019-20) & 1300 tonnes in 2020-21	Very less production due to poor demand in market as mentioned in approved ROMP

3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	Proposed as 70% of total ROM	Acheived as per proposals	Rest 30% is considered as top lateritic soil and laterite
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Not Proposed	Nil	No mineral reject generation entire ROM is utilized as major bauxite and minor laterite mineral
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Not Proposed	Nil	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not Proposed	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not Proposed	Nil	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual sizing & sorting proposed	Manual sizing & sorting carried out	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Not Proposed	Nil	
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	No drilling, blasting proposed during the year 2019-20 & 2020-21	Nil	Bauxite ore/mineralisation is of soft nature and drilling, blasting not required.

3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	T capacity	L & T PC-200 of 0.90 Cum capacity TATA Dumper model 2515, 10 T capacity Water Tanker - 5KL capacity Water pump with Diesel engine	HEMM deployed are of in-house
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	of 2m proposed in OB	At some extent bench height is not regular due to quality constraints	
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	6.58 Ha area was proposed in approved SOM period i.e. 2016-17 to 2020-21	2.30 Ha area as on 01.04.2020. Less excavation area due to very less production in approved SOM period i.e. 2016-17 to 2020-21.	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	Proposed as 1:0.79 Tonne/CuM & 1:0.78 in 2020-21	Acheived as 1:0.44 CuM/Tonnes in 2019-20 & 1:0.43 CuM/Tonnes in 2020-21	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	As per the previous approved SOM Pits-0.85 Ha Waste dumps-0.80Ha Infrastructure -0.10Ha Road-0.20Ha	Pits-2.30 Ha Waste dumps-0.53Ha Infrastructure-0.10Ha Road-0.50Ha As on 01.04.20 as per approved ROMP	
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2015-16-: 36463 MT 2016-17-: 74985 MT 2017-18-: 74260 MT 2018-19-: 74987 MT 2019-20-: 74987 MT	2015-16-: 22195 MT 2016-17-: 3445 MT 2017-18-: 1459 MT 2018-19-: 1463 MT 2019-20-: 4323 MT 2020-21-: 1300 MT	Bauxite ore production on very lower side due to poor market demand as reported in approved document.

3q General remarks NA
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

NA

Open cast fully mechanised mining operation by using Excavator /JCB & dumper combination without drilling & blasting was proposed. Due to soft nature of bauxite drilling & blasting was not proposed.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping proposed at earmarked location during the year 2019-20 & 2020-21	Dumping carried out as per the proposals	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps		Dumping carried towards north-western part of lease area	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	There are 03 OB dumps in lease area	Three (03) OB dumps in lease area and no dumping outside ML.	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Dumps proposed outside ultimate pit limits	Dumping carried out ouside UPL	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	-	Active dumps -03 Nos	All 03 dumps are of OB dumps
4 f	Number of dead dumps.	NA	NA	
4 g	Number of dumps established.	NA	NA	

4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	NA	Details not provided in approved document	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	NA	Details not provided in approved document	
4 ј	Number of settling ponds	NA	Details not provided in approved document	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	NA	NA	Around 30% of OB and mine waste are being generated and dumping of these material proposed at earmarked locations. Top soil is mostly of lateritic soil.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.		Partial backfilling done due to less OB generation	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	NA	NA	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	of top soil	Concurrent use of top soil for plantation purpose carried out	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NA	NA	

5e General remarks NA NA NA Details on of inspecting backfilled area officers on not provided in approved document. reclamation etc.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6а	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	To be submitted before 1st July of every year	Required report not submitted within stipulated time	Violation of Rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 issued
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	NA	NA	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	NA	NA	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	200 Saplings were proposed to be planted	200 Sapling planted during the year	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	NA	NA	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	NA	NA	
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	NA	NA	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	NA	NA	

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	NA		NA
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	NA		NA
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not	Proposed	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Not	Proposed	Nil
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Not	Proposed	Nil
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	Not	Proposed	Nil
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	Not	Proposed	Nil

бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Air, Water, Noise	Yes, Compliances of environmental monitoring is being carried out.	
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	NA	NA	Area is devoid of OB/Waste, hence scope of backfilling/reclam ation & rehabilitation doesn't exist. Periodic monitoring is being carried out by outsourced consultant.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	74987 MT proposed as total ROM production for despatch. Grade wise sorting proposed within lease area for high grade refractory & rest non-plant cement grade	9098 MT dispatchedas Cement grade bauxite & rest 47166 7MT as closing stock at mine head No refractory grade bauxite despatched during the year.	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual sizing & sorting proposed	Manual sizing & sorting carried out	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.		Sorting carried out as per the given proposals	

7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	Not Proposed	Nil	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	NA	NA	Mineral is being conserved by grade wise sorting in the lease area. Bauxite having >50% Al203 & -6% Si02 proposed to be sorted for refractory plant grade and rest non-plant grade (NPG) bauxite proposed to be sell to the nearby cement plants.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	Nil	Mining lease area is devoid of top soil. Top soil is of lateritic soil proposed to stacked in previous 2 yrs & then propose for backfilling.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	Mining lease area is devoid of top soil. Top soil is of lateritic soil proposed to stacked in previous 2 yrs & then propose for backfilling.	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumping of OB proposed at earmarked locations	Dumping carried out at earmarked locations	

Remarks

Phased Backfilling Backfilling carried out restoration, proposed over as per the proposals reclamation and mineral/ore rehabilitation exhausted pits of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)
Baseline Plantation 200 saplings planted information on proposed during the year 2019-20 existence of during the plantation and year 2019-20 additional plantation done (Rule 41)
8g Survival rate NA NA
Water sprinkling Water Regular water sprinkling Water tanker of on roads to sprinkling is is done by water tanker 5KL capacity is control airborne proposed by dust water tanker purpose
Si General remarks NA NA NA Aesthetic beauty of inspecting of inspecting officer on area is not much satisfactory as in and around mines area done up to that extent. Hence, more planation within mining lease area & outside ML area is required.
Compliance of Rule 45:

Propasals Actual work

Sl.No. Item

9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	upto-	Annual Return for the year 2019-20 submitted within time limit.	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Not Given	But, during mine inspection appointment of Shri Saurabh Kumar Shukla, as Geologist and Shri Pranjal Jain, as Mining engineer was informed.	Violation of Rule 46 of MCDR,2017 was issued for not intimating about appointment of Geologist and Mining engineer.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Given	Opencast working-2.00Ha OB dumps -1.80Ha Infrastructure - 0.40Ha Mineral Stacks-1.00Ha	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Given	200 Saplings planted during the year	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Not Given	Mineral rejects not generated	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Given	Cement Grade: O/S-51941MT Production-4323 MT Despatch-9098.67MT C/S-471666MT	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Given	Ex-mine price: Cement Grade -Rs 587/MT	
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Given	Nil	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Given	Nil	

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

Violation observed				Sh	ow couse position	
Rule N	10.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO). Issued on Compliance	on
MCDR17	Rule 26(2)	04-MAY-21	08-JUL-21			
MCDR17	Rule 31(4)	04-MAY-21	08-JUL-21			
MCDR17	Rule 35(2)	04-MAY-21	08-JUL-21			
MCDR17	Rule 46(a)	04-MAY-21	08-JUL-21			

Date : (SANJAY M. GIRHE)

Indian Bureau of Mines