

Hkkjrl jdkj
[kkuea=ky;
Hkkjrh; [kkuc; jks
{ks=h; [kkufu; a=d dkdk; ky;
ek[kj gkvks] kfxd {ks= vtej 305002
Å&e%ro.ajmer@ibm.gov.in



Speed post/तीव्र डाक
Violation letter/mYaku i=
Government of India
Ministry of Mines
Indian Bureau of Mines
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines.
Makhupura Industrial Area, Ajmer- 305002
Ph-145-2695165 / 2695476 Fax-2695202

Mine Code: 34RAJ27002

I f; k % RAJ/UDP/LEAD-ZINC-02/Vol-II

fnukd % 26.07.2019

i f"kr % Sri Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Agent,
Sindesar Khurd Lead-Zinc Mine
M/s Hindustan Zinc Limited,
Post: Dariba, Tehsil: Railmagra,
District: Rajsamand (Raj)-313211

fo"k; % Violation of provision of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your Sindesar Khurd Lead-Zinc Mine (M.L. 07/95, Lease area 199.8425 Hect) in Rajsamand District of Rajasthan State.

egkn;]

The following provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as MCDR, 2017) were found to violated in your above said mine during inspection on 19 June, 2019 by the undersign accompanied by Shri H.C. Sharma, Senior Assistant Controller of Mines in presence of Shri Sanath Kumar, Mine Manager, Sri Sh. Gaurav Mathur, Geologist and Sh. Dushyant Tailor, Mining Engineer of your Mine:

Rule	Status and extent of violation
11(1)	Mining operations have not been carried out in accordance to Modification in Review of Mining Plan approved vide letter number 584(4)(3)(1719)/2017 RCM-AJM dated 23/01/2018 to the extent given below: (1) During year 2018-19 a total of 850 boreholes (800 boreholes from underground and 50 boreholes from surface) have been proposed. However, it is observed that only 618 boreholes (595 boreholes from underground and 23 boreholes from surface) have been drilled during the year 2018-19. (2) During the 2018-19 a total of 33676 mts of development has been proposed, however, actual development of 21428 meter has been carried out during the year. (3) During the year 200 mts of parapet wall was proposed to construct. However, it is observed during the inspection that no parapet wall has been constructed. (4) During the year 2018-19, plantation has been proposed between the grid lines N7690-7840/E1145-1220. However, no plantation has been observed over the proposed area.
45	On examination of annual return for the year 2018-19 following discrepancies have been observed. (1) Part I, Para 12: A total of 199.843 Ha. area has been furnished under, Lease area (surface area) utilization at the end of year. However, as per approve document a total of 121.89 Ha. area is proposed for Mining and other allied activity. (2) Part V, Para 4.2: The cumulative quantity of waste disposed of in external dumps has not been furnished. (3) Rule 45(8)(b)(V): The ex-mine price for the captive mine is per unit cost of production. However, in annual return the cost of production has been furnished is Rs. 37836.00 per

	Tonne and the Ex-mine furnished is Rs. 2148.00 per Tonne.
54(C)	As per rule holder of the Mining Lease should maintain the records of expenditure incurred towards the mine closure activities these shall be made available at all reasonable times to the authorised officer for inspection. During inspection records of plantation carried out during the year 2018-19 has not been made available for inspection.

02. Further, this is to bring in your kind notice that no reply has been received in this office in respect of the violation of rule 45(1) of MCDR, 2017 communicated to you vide this office letter of even number dated 20/05/2019.

03. It is brought to your notice that above violations of MCDR, 2017 constitutes an offence punishable under Rule 62 of MCDR, 2017.

04. Inability to comply the provision of MCDR, 2017 following action may be initiated against you:

- (i) order suspension of all mining operations in the mine.
- (ii) take action to initiate prosecution under these rules;
- (iii) recommend termination of the mining lease.

05. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (forty-five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

Hkonh;

(दिलीप जैन)

वरिष्ठ खनन भूविज्ञानी
Hkkj rh; [kku C; yjks

ifrfyi lpuFKi8'kr %

- 1 [kku fu; a-d %mRrj½] Hkkj rh; [kku C; yjks mn; ij (ई मेल द्वारा)
- 2 funskd] [kku , oaHkioKku foHkx] jktLFkku l jdkj] mn; ijA
- 3 खनि अभियंता [kku , oaHkioKku foHkx] jktLFkku l jdkj] Rajsamand.

(दिलीप जैन)

वरिष्ठ खनन भूविज्ञानी
Hkkj rh; [kku C; yjks

Speed post /तीव्र डाक

Show-Cause Notice/ कारण बताओ नोटिस

Hkkjrl jdkj
[kkuea:ky;
Hkkjrh; [kkuC; jks
{ks-h; [kkufu; a-d dkdk; ky;
ek[kij jkvs] kfxd {ks= vtej 305002
Å&e%ro.ajmer@ibm.gov.in



Government of India
Ministry of Mines
Indian Bureau of Mines
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines.
Makhapura Industrial Area, Ajmer- 305002
Ph-145-2695165 / 2695476 Fax-2695202

Mine Code: 34RAJ27002

l f; k % RAJ/UDP/LEAD-ZINC-02/Vol-II

fnukd % 24.09.2019

i f"kr % Sri Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Agent,
Sindesar Khurd Lead-Zinc Mine
M/s Hindustan Zinc Limited,
Post: Dariba, Tehsil: Railmagra,
District: Rajsamand (Raj)-313211

Ref: (i) Tis office letter of even number dated 26/07/2019.

(ii) Your reply vide letter number HZL/SKM/MINE/2019/08/45 Dated 23/08/2019.

fo"k; % Violation of provision of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your Sindesar Khurd Lead-Zinc Mine (M.L. 07/95, Lease area 199.8425 Hect) in Rajsamand District of Rajasthan State.

egkn;]

The following provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as MCDR, 2017) were found to violated in your above said mine during inspection on 19 June, 2019 by the undersign accompanied by Shri H.C. Sharma, Senior Assistant Controller of Mines in presence of Shri Sanath Kumar, Mine Manager, Sri Sh. Gaurav Mathur, Geologist and Sh. Dushyant Tailor, Mining Engineer of your Mine and same were communicated to you vide this office letter of even number under reference at serial number (i).

Rule	Status and extent of violation
11(1)	Mining operations have not been carried out in accordance to Modification in Review of Mining Plan approved vide letter number 584(4)(3)(1719)/2017 RCM-AJM dated 23/01/2018 to the extent given below: (1) During year 2018-19 a total of 850 boreholes (800 boreholes from underground and 50 boreholes from surface) have been proposed. However, it is observed that only 618 boreholes (595 boreholes from underground and 23 boreholes from surface) have been drilled during the year 2018-19. (2) During the 2018-19 a total of 33676 mts of development has been proposed, however, actual development of 21428 meter has been carried out during the year. (3) During the year 200 mts of parapet wall was proposed to construct. However, it is observed during the inspection that no parapet wall has been constructed. (4) During the year 2018-19, plantation has been proposed between the grid lines N7690-7840/E1145-1220. However, no plantation has been observed over the proposed area.
45	On examination of annual return for the year 2018-19 following discrepancies have been observed. (1) Part I, Para 12: A total of 199.843 Ha. area has been furnished under, Lease area (surface

	<p>area) utilization at the end of year. However, as per approve document a total of 121.89 Ha. area is proposed for Mining and other allied activity.</p> <p>(2) Part V, Para 4.2: The cumulative quantity of waste disposed of in external dumps has not been furnished.</p> <p>(3) Rule 45(8)(b)(V): The ex-mine price for the captive mine is per unit cost of production. However, in annual return the cost of production has been furnished is Rs. 37836.00 per Tonne and the Ex-mine furnished is Rs. 2148.00 per Tonne.</p>
54(C)	As per rule holder of the Mining Lease should maintain the records of expenditure incurred towards the mine closure activities these shall be made available at all reasonable times to the authorised officer for inspection. During inspection records of plantation carried out during the year 2018-19 has not been made available for inspection.

02. The compliance reported by you, vide your letter under reference at serial number (ii) have been duly considered and found satisfactory in respect of the violation of rule 11(1) and 45 (point 1 and 2).

03: Your reply has been found unsatisfactory in respect of the violation of rule 45 point number (3) and 54(c) for the following reason:

Rule 45 point number (3): There is excessive difference between cost of production and Ex-mine price. The issue has not been explain properly and neither supported with detail back up calculation of Ex-mine price and cost of production.

Rule 54(c): The relevant supporting document for plantation expenditure for the year 2018-019 has not been enclosed.

04- bl l nHkZ ea vki dk /; ku vldf"kr fd; k tkrk gS fd mi jkDr fu; eka dk mYyaaku [kfut l j{k.k , oa fodkl fu; ekoyh] 2017 ds fu; e 62 ds varxZr nMuh; vij/kk gS , oami jkDr fu; eka dk mYyaaku dh vuqkyuk ugh gkus ij [kfut l j{k.k , oa fodkl fu; ekoyh] 2017 ds varxZr %
 ½d½ vki dh [knku dh l kjh l fcl; k, acan dh tk l drh gA
 ¼k½ vki ds fo: /n vfhk; kx nk; j fd; k tk l drk gA
 (ग) राज्य सरकारा को खनन पट्टे की समसि की सिफारिश A

05. vr% vki dks ; g funk"kr fd; k tkrk gS fd vki vki mi jkDr mYyaakuka dk vfr'kr?k l qkkj dja , oa bl ds l qkkj dh fLFkr dh l ipuk bl lk= ds tkjh gkus dh frukad l s30 ¼hl ½ fruka ds Hkrj bl dk; kZy; dks i"kr dja

06- dlk; k /; ku j [ka fd Hkfo"; ea vki dks bl l nHkZ ea dkbZ l ipuk अथवा अतिरिक्त समय ugha दीया जयेगाA

Hkonh;

(दिलीप जैन)

वरिष्ठ खनन भूविज्ञानी
Hkkj rh; [kku C; jks

ifrfyi l puFkz i"kr %

- 1 [kku fu; a-d ¼mRrj½ Hkkj rh; [kku C; jks mn; ij (ई मेल द्वारा)
- 2 funskd] [kku , oa HkfoKku foHkx] jktLFku l jdkj mn; ij A
- 3 खनि अभियंता] [kku , oa HkfoKku foHkx] jktLFku l jdkj] Rajsamand.

(दिलीप जैन)

वरिष्ठ खनन भूविज्ञानी
Hkkj rh; [kku C; jks