## INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

## Mining Plan Modification REPORT

# Jabalpur regional office

Mine d	file No : MP/BGT./MN.2	5	Mine code : 40MPR01082
(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	KQ06 ) NARESH KUMAR KATARIYA
(ii)	Designation	:	Deputy Controller Mines
(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Smt. Gunjan Goenka (Agent), Sh. Nagendra Pathak (Mine
(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	09-JUN-21
(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	
	PAR	т-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
(a)	Mine Name	:	PAUNIYA MN. 8.00 HA.
(b)	Registration NO.	:	
(c) (d) (e)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station	: : : : : : : :	PAUNIYA 481449 07622-404937
(g)	First opening date	:	
(h)	Weekly day of rest	:	SUN
	ess for espondance	:	
(c)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	::	
Miner	al worked	:	MANGANESE ORE Main

1.

2.

3.

4.

- 5. Name and Address of the
  Lessee

  SUKHDEO PRASAD GOENKA
  GOENKA BHAWAN STATION ROAD
  KATNI MADHYA PRADESH
  Phone:N. A.
  FAX :N. A.

  Owner

  SUKHDEO PRASAD GOENKA
  GOENKA BHAWAN STATION ROAD
  KATNI MADHYA PRADESH
  Phone: N. A.
  FAX : N. A.

  6. Date of approval of Mining

  SUKHDEO PRASAD GOENKA
  - Plan/Scheme of Mining

### PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	NIL	NIL	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Exploration was proposed by core drilling of 04 nos Bore holes (02 vertical & 02 inclined)	NIL	It has been observed that the exploratory drilling as proposed has not been done at the location as per proposal of approved document. Violation is pointed out for the same.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Departmental	Departmental	
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Exploration in G-1 catagory.	Exploration has been done under G-2 catagory	It has been observed that the exploratory drilling as proposed has not been done at the location as per proposal of approved document. Violation is pointed out for the same.
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20		Balance reserve as on 01.04.2021 is under 122 catagory- 23987 tonss	

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc As per local geology, the deposit is bedded startified tabular deposit of irregular habit with pocket type deposit. It has been observed that the exploratory drilling as proposed has not been done at the location as per proposal of approved document. Violation is pointed out for the same.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	Pit no. 01	Pit no. 01	Excavation was observed within the 7.5m barrier zone in the southern part of the mine. Violation is pointed out for the same.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Yes	Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral were not maintained in the pits as per approved document.	Violation pointed out for the same.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:1.0	1:0.7	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	NIL	NIL	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	2430 m3	3898 m3	

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral not maintained in the pits as per approved document. Violation pointed out for the same.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Two pits	Two pits	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	2430 Tonnes	2710 Tonnes	
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%	90%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	NIL	NIL	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	NA	NA	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	NA	NA	
Зg	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	NA	NA	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	

3i Any analysis or Not proposed Not done beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. Provision of Not proposed Blasting not done as per Зj drilling and provided records. No use blasting in of explosive shown in mineral benches the Annual Return of year 2020-21 3k Provision of No Catagory B Mine No mining machineries in mineral benches 31 Whether height Yes Excavation was observed Violation pointed of benches in within the 7.5m barrier out under rule overburden and zone in the southern 11(1) of MCDR mineral suitable part of the mine. 2017. for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM 3m Total area 5.099 Hect 4.21 Hect covered under excavation/pits 3n Ore to OB ratio 1:1.0 1:0.7 for the pit/mine during the year. Mining - 2.286 Hect Total area put 30 (i)opencast in use under (O/C) mining Mineral Storage - .099 different heads 1.92 Hect Hect at the end of (iv) Used for Roads - 0.1 Hect waste disposal Infrastructure - 0.05 year 3.9 Hect Hect (v) Occupied OB/ Waste Dump - 1.545 by buildings & Other - 0.14 (Bund in roads Road side) 0.01Hect (vi) Other Purpose 0.3 Hect

3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	T 2017-18 T	_	1215 1620 1620	2017-18 -	- 0 T - 1605 - 1630	Т
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.						

Opencast mining method was proposed under B catagory. Excavation was observed within the 7.5m barrier zone in the southern part of the mine. Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral not maintained in the pits as per approved document. Violation pointed out for the same.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Yes	Separate dumping observed during the inspection.	
4b	topsoil, OB and	the lease area near road for bunding. Top soil - No	OB dump was observed in West side the lease area near road for bunding. Old dumps observed in Eartern part of lease area.	

4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	07 dumps	Total 07 dumps	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	the dump area is within the UPL	temporary dump within the UPL	06 dumps observed in the lease area and one dump is out side the lease area in the additional grante area by State Govt.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	07 dumps	07 dumps	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	NIL	NIL	
4g	Number of dumps established.	NIL	NIL	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Yes	Garland drain observed during the inspection	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not specified	Approx. 600 m graland drain was observed	
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed	NIL	
4 k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			06 dumps observed in the lease area and one dump is out side the lease area in the additional grante area by State Govt. Garland drain observed around two dumps near road side.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	NIL	Area not matured for backfilling	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	NIL	NIL	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not proposed	NIL	No top soil in the proposed area.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NIL	NIL	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			Area not matured for backfilling. Reclamation was not prposed in the RY.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes	Not submitted	The yearly report of PMCP has not been submitted for the previous approved plan period. Violation under rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017 is issued for the same.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	NIL	NIL	

6c afforestation 90 sq meter 10 sapling observed in area in the the barrier zone. done (ha). 7.5 m barrier zone (10 plants proposed for RY) 6d No. of saplings 10 nos 10 nos planted during the year 6e Cumulative no Not specified approx. 60 plants .of plants 6f Any other method Not proposed Not done of rehabilitation Cost incurred on Not specified No record found 6g watch and care during the year 6h Compliance on Not proposed NIL reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx В х D 6i Compliance on Not proposed NIL reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings Not proposed бj Compliance on NIL reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on Not proposed Not done reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir

61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Not proposed	Not done	
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation		some plants observed in the waste land	
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Not proposed	NIL	
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Plantation	Plantation	
бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Yes	Yes	
6q 	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			The yearly report of PMCP has not been submitted for the previous approved plan period. Violation pointed out for the same. Plantation observed in the 7.5 m barrier zone. Garland drain observed around the dump in road side area.

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area		Grade wise sorting within lease area	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual	Manual	Grade wise sorting of the Mn ore was observed within lease area at stack yard.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.		Below 25% Mn 25% to below 35% Mn 35% to below 46% Mn	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines •	Not proposed	Not done	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			Grade wise sorting of the Mn ore was observed within lease area at stack yard. Manual sorting of the ore was adopted as per records.

### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks No topsoil in the proposed working area.	
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not proposed	NIL		
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	NA	NA		
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Separate dumps was observed during inspection.		

8d Use of Not proposed NIL in the RY overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use 8e Phased Not proposed Not done restoration, in the Ry reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) 8f Baseline Not specified approx 60 plants information on observed in the lease existence of area. plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) Survival rate 8g 70응 approx. 70 % Water sprinkling Yes 8h Yes on roads to control airborne dust 8i General remarks approx 60 plants of inspecting observed in the officer on lease area. No aesthetic beauty proposal in the RY in and around for reclamation of mines area the area degraded by mining activities as area is not matured for backfilling. Exploration is not completed under G1 level.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

9a Status of Yes submission of Monthly and Annual returns

9b Scrutiny of Yes Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager

9c Scrutiny of Yes Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.

9d Scrutiny of Yes Annual return on afforestation

9e Scrutiny of Yes
Annual return on
mineral reject
generation
(Grade and
quantity)

9f Scrutiny of Yes Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore Annual Return submitted on 30-06-2021.

Mining Engineer in charge - Mr. Nagendra Pathak Geologist in charge -Mr. Vinod Kushwaha

(i) Already exploited & abandoned by opencast (O/C) mining 3.000 (ii) Covered under current (O/C) Workings 1.000 (iii) Reclaimed/Rehabilitated 0.240 (iv) Used for waste disposal 1.000 (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads 0.500 (vi) Other Purpose (0) 0.000 (vii) Work done under progressive mine closure plan during the year 0.000 10 saplings observed during the inspection.

NIL

(a) Below 25% Mn 45.110
(b) 25% to below 35% Mn 187.680
(c) 35% to below 46% Mn 50.630
(d) 46% Mn and above 23.140

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Yes	Ex mine price 1935.45 for Mn ore Cost of Production - 1683.00
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Yes	NIL
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Yes	NIL

Violation observed					Show couse position		
Rule 1	NO.		Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance of	
MCDR17	Rule	11(1)	24-JUN-21	05-OCT-21			
MCDR17	Rule	26(2)	24-JUN-21	05-OCT-21			
MCDR17	Rule	28(1)	24-JUN-21	05-OCT-21			
MCDR17	Rule	33	24-JUN-21	05-OCT-21			
MCDR17	Rule	45(5)(	a 24-JUN-21				
MCDR17	Rule	45(5)(	k 24-JUN-21				
MCDR17	Rule	45(5)(	c24-JUN-21				
MCDR17	Rule 5	55(1)(1	24-JUN-21				
MCDR17	Rule 5	55(1)(2	24-JUN-21				
MCDR17	Rule 5	55(1)(3	)24-JUN-21				

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of

Date :

#### (NARESH KUMAR KATARIYA)

Indian Bureau of Mines