INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

Mine file No : MAH/NAG/MN-321/NGP Mine code : 40MSH14053

(i) Name of the Inspecting: 204) SNEHANKIT H. CHATTE

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Engineer

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. I. Khan, Chief Manager (Survey), Shri. Debnath

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 27-Aug-21(v) Prev.inspection date : 22-Jan-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : PARSODA (53.75 HA)

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5711/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

 $\text{(d)} \qquad \text{Type of Working} \qquad \qquad : \quad \text{Opencast} \\$

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA District : NAGPUR

Village : Taluka : Post office : Pin Code : FAX No. :

E-mail : mm.ms@moil.nic.in

Phone : 07114-202127

(f) Police Station : RAMTEK
(g) First opening date : 01-Mar-19

(h) Weekly day of rest : THU

2. Address for : PARSODA MANGANESE MINE

correspondance AT & PO- KHAIRI BIJEWADA, TAH- RAMTEK

DISTT.- NAGPUR (MAHARASHTRA)

3. (a) Lease Number :

(b) Lease area :

(c) Period of lease

(d) Date of Expiry

4. Mineral worked : MANGANESE ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S MOIL LIMITED

MOIL BHAWAN, 1-A, KATOL ROAD CHHAONI NAGPUR NAGPUR

MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Owner : DEEPANKAR SHOME

1-A, MOIL BHAVAN KATOL ROAD NAGPUR NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX:

Agent : A. V. MASADE

MUNSAR MINE RAMTEK NAGPUR

NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

6. Date of approval of Mining : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 06-Jul-09 Plan/Scheme of Mining MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 01-Mar-21

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration:

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Borehole proposals for 1st (2016-17), 2nd(2018-19) and 3rd (2019-20) year as per the approved mining plan were 3, 4, 3 boreholesresp ectively with meterage of 225 m, 300 m, 225 m. | A total of 13 nos of BHs were drilled during the scheme period of first five years. Form I of all 13 BHs have been submitted by the lessee as per office record. | Lease deed was executed on 22.04.2016 and lease period is 22.04.2016 to 21.04.2066 as per the executed lease deed. Notice of opening was submitted in this office vide lessee's letter dated 20.02.2019 with proposed date of opening as 01.03.2019. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G-1, G-2 and G-3 | Mining Plan was approved for the area on 06/07/2009 and as per approved mining plan reserves/resources were considered under G-1, G2 and G-3 axis of UNFC. Presently, detailed exploration of potentially mineralized area in underway after which the area shall be re-classified. | the area earlier through 17 boreholes with 2060.95 m drilling |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | MOIL Ltd. | MOIL Ltd. with approximate expenditure of Rs 142.36 Lakhs | NIL |

1d Balance area to be explored to bring Geological area, 12 ha axis in 1 or 2

Out of 53.75 ha lease area has been proved for mineralizatio n. For rest of the area, proposals have been given in Review of Mining Plan approved on 01.03.2021, proposals period for the year 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25 & 2025-26 for exploration to cover entire area under G-1 and

As the Review of Mining Plan is approved on 01.03.2021, the exploration will be carried out by the lessee in the given proposal period for proving entire area upto Plan approved on G-1 level.

Proposal for exploration to cover the entire mineralized area in G1 category has been submitted in Review of Mining 01.03.2021.

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

As per the Review of Mining plan approved on 01.03.2021 the reserve as on 01.04.2020 is furnished as below:-111-893111 T 122-166670 T 333-286561 T

G-2

Reserve as on 01.04.2020 NIL 111-893111 T

122-166670 T

333-286561 T

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

Not applicable

Not applicable

Geologically, the area has been proved by GSI for having two Manganese bands located in Western and Eastern part in between grids E16800 to E17300 and N12600 to N13400. Average grade of these bands are 45% Mn and 38.39% Mn respectively. Apart from this, pits have exposures of float ore also which is under exploitation. Detailed Exploration is going on in the area and lessee has submitted Form I for drilling of 13 boreholes in the period January'19 to March'20 out of which all 13 BH are completed

Development :

| | - | | | |
|--------|--|--|---|---------|
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | development is in form of extension of | Development has been done as per the proposals and existing pit was extended to exploit float ore occurring in that area. | nil |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | <pre>in Soil, overburden and minerals are proposed to be</pre> | As per the proposals, Overburden was removed keeping one separate bench of approximately 3 m height and float ore was excavated with one bench of 2 m height. | nil. |

| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.8 | 1:1.23 | The lessee has taken Bulk density of OB is 4.0, which needs to be corrected, during inspection, necessary instructions were given to correct the same. |
|----|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 2020-21: 11250 m3 | 2020-21: 5200 m3 | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 2020-21: 50381m3 | 2020-21: 7850m3 (up to Oct'20) | The proposed development was low as lease deed executed in year 2016 but mining activity started in March 2019 only so mostly the float ore removed and mining also halted in monsoon season. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | not applicable | not applicable | Working has just started in the area and is in developing stage. The deposit in the area is having two Manganese Bands-Eastern and Western. Apart from this, float ore is exposed in the old pits available in the area. Presently, only float ore zone has been worked. Further Development of pit may be decided on the basis of outcome of the present exploration schedule. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One pit | One pit located at E17000 to E17100 and N13000 to N13100 | |

| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | ROM proposed for 2020-21: 40000 T | Actual ROM production during 2020-21: 24139 T | Mines started in the March 2019 only so most of the float ore recovered extending old pit |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | Recovery proposed was 60% of ROM | Recovery in 2020-21: 22370 T (92%) | ROM was sorted into two grades - below 25% and 25% to 35% Mn and both the grades were readily saleable. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 2020-21: 7619 T | 2020-21: 1769 T | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Grade of the Mineral rejects & Threshold value not specified in the Mining Plan. As on date threshold value is +10% Mn | <10% | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | NIL | NIL | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | NOT APPLICABLE | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual sorting within the lease area | Manual sorting within the lease area | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not proposed | NIL | |

Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches

Yes, drilling No drilling and blasting is proposed as only float ore through 3 Nos. working was there and of 110 mm dia ripper/excavator was and 6 Nos. of used.

32 mm dia drilling machines. Blasting is proposed by using explosived like Indomide and accessories

like NONEL and ED.

3k Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches Yes,

1 Excavator (backhoe) deployment of with 0.9-1 cum bucket 1 Hydraulic capacity (as and when Excavator with required) and 1 tipper

0.9-3.2 cum capacity and around 5 Nos. of Dumpers alongwith other

auxilliaryequi pments like Water Sprinkler, Dozer etc. is proposed.

Whether height 31 of benches in overburden and mineral suitable as per the for method of mining proposed orebody in MP/SOM

Proposed bench Bench height is around 3 height for m. and 4 m.

working is 6 m occurrence of

Total area covered under excavation/pits Excavation/Pit

Total Area As per the proposal covered under

s: Existing Old pits area-

1.75 ha

1:0.8

3n Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.

1:1.23

The lessee has taken Bulk Density of OB is 4.0, which needs to be corrected. During the inspection, necessary instructions given to the lessee to

correct the same.

30 Total area put Area under: in use under Excavation/I different heads s- 1.75 ha at the end of Disposal of year waste- 1.25

Area under: As per the proposal Excavation/Pit s- 1.75 ha
Disposal of waste- 1.25 ha
Occupies by
Plants/Buildin
qs/Infrastruct

ure and Roads-

2020-21- 40000

2021-22 -38000 T

0.25 ha

3p Production of 20
ROM mineral T
during the last 20
five year period T
as applicable 20

2017-18- 32000 2017-18- Nil
T 2018-19- 5817 T
2018-19- 38000 2019-20- 31988 T
T 2020-21- 24139 T
2019-20- 40000 2021-22- 3700 T (UP TO
T AUGUST 2021)

Lease was executed in 2016-17 but mining started in March 2019 after obtaining all clearance.

3q General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE

Method of mining is mechanised that is suitable for present level of working and manual sorting of excavated ore has been done. Mine has started in March 2019 only and mine is in developing stage.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate stacking of top soil and mineral rejects alongwith separate dumping of waste/overburd en is proposed in the mining scheme/mining plan. | | |

| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | | |
|----|--|---|---|
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | is proposed | As per the proposal. |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dumping is proposed in the Footwall side of the ore body. | As per the proposal |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | One waste dump | One waste dump |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | NIL | NIL |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | NIL | NIL |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | YES | Garland drain constructed and reatining wall is being contructed |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Garland Drain: 200 m & Retaining Wall: 100 m | 200 m garland drain constructed along the toe of waste dump and retaining wall will be constructed thereafter |

management in the lease area is satisfactory.

4 ј Number of Though there were no no proposals settling ponds proposals, One settling
tank with 3 compartments having dimensions 10 m L X 4 m W X 2 m D has been constructed to check and arrest siltation in the mine water prior to discharge. 4k Specific Not applicable Not applicable Waste generated comments of till date has been inspecting dumped as per the officer on waste proposals. Garland drains are being dump management constructed along the toe of the dump after which retaining wall shall be erected to avoid any wash off. Lessee has also constructed one settling tank to arrest the siltation in discharged water from the lease area. Hence, overall waste dump

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No such proposals | NIL | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposals | Nil | |

| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No such proposals | Nil | |
|----|--|----------------------|-----|---|
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | NIL | NIL | There was no such proposal of backfilling as mines is recently come into operation and also not any kind of backfilling done. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Annual report on PMCP is required to be submitted before 1st July every year for preceding year as per Rule 26(2) of MCDR'2017 | Annual Report has been submitted by the lessee. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | NIL | NIL | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Proposals for of operation: 50 saplings over 0.05 ha area | 3000 saplings 1.6 ha | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 50 | 3000 | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | | total 7500 saplings have been planted with a survival rate of nearly 80% as mine started in March 2019 only. | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No such proposal | NIL | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No such proposal | No specific data is available | |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No such proposal | nil |
|----|--|---------------------|-----|
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | NIL | NIL |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | NIL | NIL |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | NIL | NIL |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | NIL | NIL |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | NIL | NIL |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | NIL | NIL |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | NIL | NIL |

| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | monitoring in and around | Environment monitoring done and all the parametres are within limit. | |
|----|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | NIL | Mines have recently started and in developing stage. So not much scope however 7500 saplings planted |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade-wise sorting of ROM within lease area and dispatch | Mineral sorted in two grades below 25% and 25- 35 % Mn | | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Manual | | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal | Grades sorted out at mines are: (i) Below 25% Mn (ii) 25% to below 35% Mn | | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No such proposals | Nil | | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | Presently complete available mineral has been recovered and graded into readily saleable grade. Thus, mineral conservation aspects are satisfactory. | |
| Envi | ronment: | | | | |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks | |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

survival rate

| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | removal of Top | Removed and stacked seperately and used for plantation | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | For plantation purpose | For plantation purpose | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | separate dumps/stacks are proposed for overburden/Was te and rejects. | As per proposal | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No such proposals | nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Additional plantation proposed is 50 saplings per year over an area of 0.05 ha. | 7500 saplings planted. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% survival rate | It seems correct | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water tanker | Water tanker deployed for sprinkling | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Mines have recently started, 7500 plantation are done by mine management. Advised the management to increase the |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Annual Returns are required to be submitted online on or before 30th June of every year for preceding year Monthly returns are required to be submitted online on or before 10th of every month for preceding month | M.R. Submitted upto August 2021 A.R. submitted upto 2020-21 | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer: SHRI U Bothra Geologist: SHRI Anil Singh Rajput Manager: SHRI U M Bhujade | found correct | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | s- 1.75 ha Disposal of waste- 1.25 ha | It seems correct. | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Number of trees planted during the year: 4000 Survival rate in percentage: 79% | It seems correct. | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | It seems correct | |

9f Scrutiny of ROM: Opening Correct information The mine is Annual return on and closing furnished and it is recently started ROM stock and/or stocks- Nil, matching with the and in development graded ore Production opening stocks as stage. 24139 T furnished for the Graded Ore: respective grades in the (a) Below 25% Monthly return filed for Mn: Opening Apr'21. Stock- 4775.82 T, Production-5644 T, Dispatch-10172 T, Closing Stock-247.82 T (b) 25% to below 35% Mn: Opening Stock - 3682.25 T, Production -16726 T, Dispatch-19670.900 T, Closing Stock - 737.35 T 9g Scrutiny of Cost of It seems correct Annual return on Productionsale value, Ex. 1717.23 Rs/T, Sale Value & Mine price and EMP - below production cost 25% Mn -3227.96 Rs.T & 25-35% Mn-4883.96 % 9h Scrutiny of Rs. 79857691 Annual return on fixed assets Scrutiny of TIPPER - 1, 9k All the machinery were As the mine is Annual return on SHOVEL available during opened recently mining (HYDRAULIC) - inspection in mines and working as per machineries 1, WATER the proposal, hence no Violation TANKER - 1, found during the inspection.

| Details of violat | | g current i | nspection and | compliance position of | |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Comp | liance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date: (SNEHANKIT H. CHATTE)

Indian Bureau of Mines