INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : TS/SUPT/LST-37/HYD Mine code : 38TNG08003

(i) Name of the Inspecting : S11) SHAILENDRA KUMAR

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri V Ramachandram, Mines Manager

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 09/10/2021
(v) Prev.inspection date : 09/12/2019

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : CHINTALAPALEM(15 HA)

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/8493/38APR14030

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : TELANGANA
District : NALGONDA
Village : CHINTALAPALEM

Taluka : CHINTALAPALEM
Post office : CHINTALAPALEM

Pin Code : 508246

FAX No.

E-mail : info@anjanicements.com

Phone : 08683-230166

(f) Police Station : CHINTALAPALEM

(g) First opening date : 01/01/2018

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : ANJANI PORTLAND CEMENTS

correspondance CHINTALAPALEM VILLAGE & MANDAL

TELANGANA STATE

3. (a) Lease Number :

(b) Lease area : 15 ha
(c) Period of lease : 50 years

(d) Date of Expiry : 01/01/2058

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

09/09/2016 20/09/2018

03/11/2020

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S ANJANI PORTLAND CEMENT LTD

SITHA NILAYAM 153 DWARAKAPURI COLONY PUNJAGUTTA HYDERABAD

TELANGANA Phone:3353093 FAX :40-3353093

Owner : N Venkat Raju

M/s Anjani Portland Cements Limited Gudimalkapuram Suryapet District NALGONDA

TELANGANA

Phone: 9949459997

FAX :

Agent : N Venkat Raju

M/s Anjani Portland cements Limited Gudimalkapuram Suryapet NALGONDA TELANGANA

Phone: 9949459997

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : G Ganesh, Full Time

Qualification : B tech Mining

Appointment/ : Termination date

Geologist

Name : M manoj Kumar, Full Time

Qualification : Msc geology

Appointment/ : Termination date

Manager

Name : V Ramachandram

Qualification : Appointment/ : Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

: MP under 16(1) of MCR 2016
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016

| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | None | None | None |
|----|--|------|---|---|
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | None | No topsoil was generated duirng the year 2020-21 as there is no topsoil in the lease. | No topsoil was generated as there is no topsoil in the lease. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | None | None | None |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | None | as there is no topsoil and overburden, no benches have been made. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One Pit | One Pit | None |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 100000 tonnes | 99992 tonnes | The production reported is within the proposed quantity for the year 2020-21. |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | | The entire ROM is consumed in the cement plant of lessee. | None |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | None | None | None |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | None | None | None |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | None | None | None |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | None | None | None |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | None | None | None |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration:

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No exploration was proposed duirng the year 2020-21 | No exploration was carried out duirng the year 2020-21 | No exploration carried out duirng 2020-21 |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | There is no proposal for exploration duirng the year 2020-21 | No exploration was carried out duirng 2020- 21.The entire potentially mineralised area has been explored under G1 level | The entire potentially mineralised zone is explored under G1 level. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | None | None | None |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | None | None | The entire potentially mineralised area is explored under G1 level. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | | The balance of reserves as on 1.4.2021 are 6.40 million tonnes | None |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | The entire potentially mineralised area has been explored under G1 level. | The entire potentially mineralised area has been explored under G1 level. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | It was proposed to work between co-ordinates E-389822 and N-1852778 and E-389781 and N-1852706 | The development is being carried out as proposed. | The development is being carried out as proposed. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | topsoil and | No benches were made in OB and topsoil as there is no OB and topsoil | None |

| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | None | None | None |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | It was proposed to carry out drilling with wagon drill of 115 mm dia. | The same was observed duirng the inspection. | None |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | It was proposed to excavate and transport the mineral by using Back hoe and Tipper. | The same was observed duirng the inspection. | None |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | bench was 8 | The height of the becnh as proposed being maintained. | None |
| 3 m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | | 1.383 ha | None |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | None | None | None |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | | The total area put to use under different heads is as follows: 1. Pits - 1.383 ha | None |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2016-17 - 1,00,000 tonnes 2017-18 - 1,00,000 tonnes 2018-19 - 80,100 tonnes 2019-20 - 99995 tonnes 2020-21 - 100000 tonnes | 2016-17 - Nil 2017-18 - 500 tonnes 2018-19 - 53600 tonnes 2019-20 - 81499 tonnes 2020-21 - 99992 tonnes | The reported production is within the proposed quantities. |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | None | The Mine being developed as proposed. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | As there is no overburden and topsoil in the lease, no benches were proposed | | None |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | | As there is no overburden and topsoil in the lease, no benches were proposed | None |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | | None | As there is no overburden and topsoil in the lease, no benches were proposed |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | None | None | None |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | None | None | None |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | None | None | None |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | None | None | None |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | None | None | None |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | None | None | None |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | As there is no overburden and topsoil in the lease, no benches were proposed | As there is no overburden and topsoil in the lease, no benches were proposed |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|--|----------------------------------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | | No reclamation was carried out duirng 2020- 21 | No area matured for reclamation. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | None | None | None |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | None | None | None |
|----|---|------|------|------|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | None | None | None |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | None | None | None |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|--|---------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | | The Annual report on PMCP submitted by the lessee within the stipulated timelines. | None |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | None | None | None |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 015 ha | 0.15 ha | None |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 224 no.s | 260 no.s | None |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | | 1745 no.s | None |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | None | None | None |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | | Rs.2,50,000/- per year as reported by lessee. | None |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | None | None | None |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | None | None | None |
|----|---|--|--|------|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | None | None | None |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | None | None | None |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | None | None | None |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | None | None | None |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | None | None | None |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | None | None | None |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | It was proposed to carry out quarterly monitoring of environmental parameters. | The lessee carried out quarterly monitoring of the environmental parameters regularly. | None |

6q General remarks --of inspecting
officers on PMCP
compliance and
progressive
closure
operations etc.

None

The lessee submitted the annual report on PMCP in time and carried out afforestation as proposed.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | It was proposed to utilise the entire ROM in the cement plant of lessee. | The entire ROM being consumed in the cement plant of lessee. | None |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | None | The entire ROM being consumed in the cement plant of lessee. | None |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | None | None | None |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | None | None | None |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | None | None | The entire ROM being consumed in the cement plant of lessee. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---------|
| 8a | _ | topsoil, no | No topsoil was generated duirng the year 2020-21. | None |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | None | None | None |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | None | None | None |
|-------------|---|-------------|--|------|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | None | None | None |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands | None | None | None |
| | affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | | | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | | During the inspection it was noticed that except for the plantation made by lessee, no other plantation was noticed. | None |
| 8g | Survival rate | 70% | 70% | None |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | proposed to | The dust suppression being carried out by water sprinkling. | None |
| 8i <u>.</u> | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | The general aesthetic beauty is at average level and needs to be improved. | None |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | The Monthly and Annual returns are submitted regulalry. | None |

| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | As per the Annual return 2020-21, sri G Gamesh and sri Manoj Kumar were reported as Statutory Mining Engineer and geologist respectively | The same was noticed duirng the inspection. | None |
|----|---|--|--|------|
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | pit as | the same was noticed duirng the inspection. | None |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | as per the Annual return 2020-21, a total of 260 no.s saplings were planted duirng the year 2020-21. | Duirng the inspection, the same was confirmed. | None |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | No mineral reject reported in the Annual return | No mineral reject generated duirng 2020- 21. | None |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | The same was confirmed duirng the inspection. | None |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | In thye Annual return 2020- 21, the ex- mine price reported was rs.248.29 per tonne | The same was confirmed duirng the inspection. | None |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | The value of fixed assets reported in the annual | No scrutiny was carried out. | None |

9k

mining

21, the mining

Scrutiny of In the Annual Duirng the inspection, Annual return on return 2020- the same was confirmed.

None

machineries

machinery reported is as

follows:

1. Back Hoe -

1 no. 2. Air

compressor - 1

no.

3. Rock Drill
- 1 no.
4. Tippers - 3

no.s

| Details of viola violation points | | uring current | inspection | and compliance position of |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Viola | ation observed | | Sho | ow couse position |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO | . Issued on Compliance on |

Date:

(SHAILENDRA KUMAR)

Indian Bureau of Mines

