INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

| | Mine | file No : MP/KTN/LST- |) | | Mine coo | le : 38MPR47100 |
|----|------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | CQ04 |) ROBERT SIMON | С | |
| | (ii) | Designation | Assistan | t Controller M | line | |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | | | | |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | 14-SEP-2 | 1 | | |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | 06-FEB-2 | 20 | | |
| | | PA | I : GEI | NERAL INFORMAT | ION | |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | NANHWARA | (32.48 HA) | | |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | | | | |
| | (g) | First opening date | NANHWAR NA NA KYMORE 18-JAN-9 | PRADESH A GHAVGARH A KALA | | |
| 2. | | Weekly day of rest ess for espondance | - | LOKI NATH AGRA NES, KATNI (M. | | |
| 3. | (c) (d) | Lease area | MPR1474 12.97 20 23-MAR-9 | | Main | |
| 4. | Minei | ral worked | LIMESTON | | Main Associated | |

| 5. | Name and Address of | the | |
|----|---|-----|---|
| | Lessee | : | TRILOKI NATH AGRAWAL |
| | | | CIVIL LINES KATNI MADHYA PRADESH Phone:N. A. |
| | | | FAX :N. A. |
| | Owner | : | TRILOKI NATH AGRAWAL |
| | | | CIVIL LINES KATNI MADHYA PRADESH |
| | | | Phone: N. A. |
| | | | FAX : N. A. |
| 6. | Date of approval of Plan/Scheme of Minin | | ng : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 08-AUG-08 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 14-MAY-18 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 04 nos | 05 nos | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | | G2=28.73 ha | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | None | Engeotech Consultant | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | | G2=28.73 ha & G3 =3.75 ha to be explored in G1 | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | | 5.951 Million tonnes | |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | The occurrence of Limestone in the lease area is stratigraphically controlled. The lease area belongs to Vindhyan super group in Rohtas Limestone of Semri group. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------------------|---|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | (2657150-2657270)N to (454820 to 454980)E | |
| 2b | | benches in topsoil | 03 benches in ore of approx. ht between 5-7m and 01 OB bench of height 6-7(approx.) maintained. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1: 0.25 | 1: 0.26 | |

| Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 13800 m3 | 2800 m3 |
|--|----------|---------|
| Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 17103 | 13950 |
| General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | |

out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. Working has been carried out in quarry 1 of the ML area in north. The working faces of quarry 1 were being advanced towards south and east. The OB removal has been carried out in 1 bench.Only 13950 m3 of OB is generated against the proposal of 17103 m3 .Only 2800 m3 of topsoil is generated against the proposal of 13800 m3 .The overall excavation is lagging and not as per the proposals in the approved document. Hence,

violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR

2017.

violation pointed

Exploitation:

2d

2e

2f

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------|---------------|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 01 no | 01 no | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 69838 tonnes | 52380 tonnes. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |

| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% |
|----|--|---|---|
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | NIL |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | NA | NA |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Nil | Nil |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | NA | NA |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Not proposed | Not done |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No analysis or beneficiation study proposed | No analysis or beneficiation study carried out |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | proposed | As per proposal |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | proposed | as per proposal |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | proposed | 03 nos of ore benches and 01 no of OB bench of height between 5m to 7m approximately |
| Зm | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 5.1 ha | 4.68 ha |

| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1: 0.25 | 1: 0.26 | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 7.27 ha | 5.93 ha | |
| Зр | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2018-19 69885 2019-20 69555 | 2018-19 18984 2019-20 26795 | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Opencast other than fully mechanised method of mining is existing in the lease area. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Separate dumping of topsoil & OB proposed | Separate dumping of topsoil & OB maintained | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | proposed | 01 temporary OB dump in the southern portion of the lease area | |
| 4 c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 01 within lease | 01 within lease | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | within UPL | within UPL | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | 01 | 01 | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | NA | NA | |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | proposed | 150 m of garland drain found | |
|-----|--|--------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | not proposed | 150 m of garland drain found | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | 01 | 01 | |
| 4 k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Only temporary dumping is proposed. The OB dump will be used for backfilling in the future. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | full extraction of mineral from mined out area proposed before starting backfilling | Area not mined out yet to start backfilling. | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not proposed | not done | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Topsoil to be used for plantation. | Topsoil used for plantation. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Nil | Nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Area not mined out yet to start backfilling process. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|---|---|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | | Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21. | Violation pointed out under rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Nil | Nil | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | not proposed | 250 saplings planted during the year | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | NA | NA | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | NA | NA | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | NA | NA | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | NA | NA | |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | NA | NA | |

| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | NA | NA | |
|----|---|----------|----------------------------------|--|
| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | NA | NA | |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | NA | NA | |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | proposed | Yearly monitoring carried out | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21. Hence, violation pointed out under rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM mineral dispatch proposed | ROM mineral dispatch adopted | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Not proposed | Not done | |

| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | NA | NA | |
|----|--|--------------|----------|--|
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not proposed | Not done | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | ROM mineral dispatch adopted. No beneficiation process at mines carried out. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | removal and | Topsoil utilised for plantation. Only 2800 m3 of topsoil is generated against the proposal of 13800 m3. | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Topsoil proposed to be utilised for plantation | Topsoil utilised for plantation. Only 2800 m3 of topsoil is generated against the proposal of 13800 m3. | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | | Temporary dump for overburden maintained | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use proposed | Overburden will be utilised for backfilling in the future. | |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations proposed | rehabilitation of lands adopted | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | proposed | Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21. | violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not proposed | 65% as per submitted AR | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | proposed | As per proposal | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | The aesthetic beauty in and around the lease area looks good. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|--|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | proposed | Monthly return for the month of August 2021 was not submitted. AR for the year 2020-21 is submitted. | Violation pointed out under rule 45(5)(b) of MCDR 2017. |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | proposed | Not furnished | Violation pointed out under rule 45(7) and 55(1)(c)(i) of MCDR 2017. |

| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | proposed | Covered under current (O/C) Workings 4.680 Used for waste disposal 0.650 Other Purpose (PLANTATION) 0.600 |
|----|--|----------|--|
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | proposed | Number of trees planted during the year 250 nos |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Nil |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Nil | Nil |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | proposed | Ex.Mine price is Rs 401.53 for chemical grade |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | proposed | Value of Fixed Assets (in Rs) 3399590 |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | proposed | ELEC. MOTOR 25.000 HP 2 Electrical DUMPER 25.000 TONNE 1 Non Electrical |

| observed | Show couse position | |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance o |
| 21-SEP-21 | | |
| | Issued on Compliance on 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 | Issued on Compliance on Rule NO. 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 21-SEP-21 |

Date :

(ROBERT SIMON C)

Indian Bureau of Mines