

Report on Scrutiny of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Parewar S.N.V Limestone deposit over an area of 912 hectares ML No 23/11 near village- Parewar, Tehsil- Jaisalmer, District- Jaisalmer applied by M/S Shree Cement Ltd, Submitted under rule 16(1) of MCR 1960 & 23 (B) of MCDR 1988 for Fresh Grant of mining lease.

1. In the index, item 1 f), the word RQP has no statutory meaning after recent amendments, therefore it should be removed from text & plates if any.
2. A CD covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. An undertaking in this regards by the RQP that CD contains same documents & plates as submitted by hard copy of document & text should be given. The text should be signed by the RQP on each page.
3. The affidavit for No dues of DMG or any other deptt & No court case/ litigation in connection with the area applied under ML should be furnished.
4. The LOI enclosed is old & under court stay, in case the case is loosed by the Party the approval of the mining plan will be deemed to have been rejected.
5. The provisions as prescribed in CCOM's Circular No 2/2010 regarding boundary pillars, DGPS Survey, superimposition of map etc. need to be attended. None of the boundary pillars had been erected so far in the area for reference.
6. The High tension Electric line passing through the lease area had not been marked in Key plan, Surface Plan, Surface Geological plan, Environment Plan or any of the working plans etc.
7. In the table no 18 under Para 7.0, the generation of top soil during plan period of five years workings had not been assessed precisely & figures given are incorrect.
8. The feasibility report should incorporate all envisaged modifications suggested for mining plan & PMCP.
9. There are good number of typographic errors, omissions and spelling mistakes, which should be attended properly.

\*\*\*\*\*