INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/VZNR/MN-102/HYD Mine code : 40APR20039

(i) Name of the Inspecting : PG01) P.GURUVAIAH

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Junior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri.Asok Konda, Nominated owner and Sri.M.Koteswar Ra

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 27-OCT-21
(v) Prev.inspection date : 23-OCT-16

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

(a) Mine Name : MAHESWARI MN MINE

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/732/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ANDHRA PRADESH
District : VIZIANAGARAM
Village : BANKURUVALASA

Taluka : BOBBILI
Post office : SOMPURAM
Pin Code : 535579

FAX No.

E-mail : asokkonda sarawagi.com

Phone :

(f) Police Station : RAMABHADRAPURAM

(g) First opening date : 23-FEB-91

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : M/S S.K. SARAWAGI &CO (P0) ltd,

correspondance PO: CHIPURAPALLI,

DIST: VIZIYANAGARAM.

3. (a) Lease Number : APR0181
(b) Lease area : 15.64
(c) Period of lease : 10

(d) Date of Expiry : 22-JAN-01

4. Mineral worked : MANGANESE ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : SK SARAWAGI AND (P)CO LTD

VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA

PRADESH

Phone:08952 83225 &83374

FAX :

Owner : SK SARAWAGI & CO.

SK SARAWAGI & CO. CHIPURPALLI VILL&PO VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT

VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA PRADESH

Phone: FAX:

Agent : ASOK KONDA

S.K.SARAWAGI & CO(P) Ltd. CHEEPURUPALLI VIZIANAGARAM DT. VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA

PRADESH
Phone:
FAX:

Manager

Name : M.APPALA RAJU

Qualification : AMIE

Appointment/ : 01-DEC-04

Termination date

MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 09-APR-21

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration:

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | | Nil | G1 : 1.85 Ha , G2 : 0.6913 Ha |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 0.6913 ha | 0.6913 ha | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | As on 1/04/2021 under 111: 152407.7 M.Tonnes. 122: 11878.32 Metric .Tonnes. | As on 1/04/2021 under 111 : 152407.7 M.Tonnes 122 : 11878.32 Metric .Tonnes. | Updated reserves and resources obtained from Average grade of Manganese ore Mn - 18 .00 to 2700 % , Fe -7. 84 to 12. 32 % the latest approved document dated 09/04/2020. |

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

The topography is more or less a flat terrain altitudes ranges from 92 to 114 m above MSL.and interspersed with isolated hummocks at a distance in the weast of srikakulam twon, these few rugged hills ridges mark the beginning of the Eastern ghat hiily

teraain.

The manganese ore deposits are of alteration of original rocks like kodurites /khodalites .The orezone is admixed with clay,iron oxide ,altred rocks patches and are aften cut across by quartz veins. The area is associated with meta sedimentary rocks of Khondalite series of precambrian Eastern ghat Group of rocks.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 2a | development | in between UTM co- ordinates N 743949 to | F.Y 2020-21 in between UTM co- ordinates N 743949 to N 744037 and E 2047118 To E 2047289 South west of the lease area. | _ |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | proposed to have seperate benches in the ore and | It was proposed to have seperate benches in the ore and overburden. Overburden/waste : 6 nos Mineral/ore :7 nos. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1 : 5.00 | 1: 0.66 | - |

| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal. | Nil, as the entire production and development have been done within working pit. | |
|----|--|---------------|--|---|
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 37682.54 cum. | F.Y 2020-21 12130.43 cum. | Due to lack of demannd less production and it effects on waste generation. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | The development production is being done through other than fully mechanised means. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | 2 1 | |
|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| | | | Actual work | Remarks |
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 01 no. | 01 no. | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | | F.Y .2020-21: 18502 Metric Tonnes. | |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 61% | 61 % | _ |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No mineral reject will be generated during plan period. | No mineral reject will be generated during plan period. | - |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not applicable. | Nil | _ |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not applicable. | Nil | |

The ROM is 3h Manual / No proposal. Nil screened manually Mechanised and sorted for method adopted for segregating removal of waste from ROM material. 3i Any analysis or No Not applicable. beneficiation beneficiation study proposed study has been and carried out proposed for sub grade during plan mineral and period. rejects. Provision of Drilling and blasting Drilling and 3 ј Drilling and drilling and blasting was was proposed. blasting blasting in proposed. Dai-110 mm techniques are mineral benches Dai-110 mm Spacing-3m being used as burden- 2.5 m muffled blasting. Spacing-3m burden- 2.5 m Explosive- 5.7 Kg/hole. Explosive- 5.7 Kg/hole. 3k Provision of 1. Front end Loader 01 1. DrillingCrawle no 2. Tippers 05 nos mining machineries in with 16 cum capacity. r Mounted 1 mineral benches no, 2. 3. Electrical Motor Excavation P C 02 nos 20 and 40 HP. 450 and P C300 4. Water tanker 01 no with 5000 L capacity. 2 nos. 3. Wheel Loader 5. Generator Diesel 01 01 no no. 4.Water tanker 01 no., 5. Tippers 05 nos with 6 cum capacity. 6. Dewatering pumps 02 nos 20 and 40 HP. 31 Whether height It was It has maintained a Height of the benches in of benches in proposed to bench height a bench height O.B/waste - 3. 0 ore/mineral and overburden and maintain a mineral suitable bench height m , Mineral/Ore- 6. 0 overburden/waste for method of O.B/waste - 3. m. are compatible mining proposed 0 m , with the digging in MP/SOM Mineral/Oreheight of the 6.0 m. deployed excavator. 3m Total area 7. 1301 Ha. up 7.340 Ha.up to 31/03/ covered under to22/01/ 2021. 2021.

excavation/pits

| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:5.00 | 1: 2.5 | |
|----|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 4.728 Ha | pit- 7.340 Ha OB/waste - 2. 478 Ha others- 0.254 Ha. up to end of F.Y 2020- 21. | _ |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 41434 Metric Tonnes, Yr. | Yr. 2016-17 - 15330 Metric Tonnes. Yr. 2017-18 - 19430 Metric Tonnes. Yr. 2018-19 - 12744 Metric Tonnes. Yr. 2019-20 - 24575 Metric Tonnes. Yr. 2020-21 - 37682 Metric Tonnes. | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Mining is being done through the opencast method with other than fully mechanized means. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------------|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | dumping of OB/Waste has | Separate dumping of OB/Waste has been proposed in the earmarked locations. | |

| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | , | Overburden/Waste: In between UTM co-ordinate N743949 to N 744037 and E 2047118 to E 2047289due NW of the mine. | - |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Within lease area: Waste/Overburd en: 01 no. Outside lease area: NIL | Within lease area: Waste/Overburden: 01 no. Outside lease area: NIL | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Overburden/Was te dump will be dumped in the existing pit and within UPL. | Overburden/Waste dump will be dumped in the existing pit and within UPL. | - |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | 01 no. | 01 no. | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | 01 no. of Temporary dump | 01 no. of Temporary dump | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No proposal. | Nil | Already constructed retaining wall and garland drain along the waste and Overburden. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | No proposal. | 60 mts. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | One dump within the lease area. | One dump within the lease area. | |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No Proposal during F.Y. 2020-21. | NIL, Area not matured for the reclamation and the rehabilitation. | _ |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal. | Nil | _ |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No topsoil will be generated during plan period. | Not applicable. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No Proposal during F.Y. 2020-21. | Nil -Area is not matured for reclamation ands rehabilitation. | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Nil |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Submitted before due date. | Information has been cross verified during inspection. | No major deviation has been found. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No proposal. | Nil | - |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | No proposal. | Nil | - |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | No proposal. | Nil | - |

| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposa;. | Nil | - |
|----|--|---|--|---|
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposal. | Nil | - |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No proposal. | Nil | - |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Within the lease area. 150 nos Out side lease area 150 nos. | Within the lease area. 150 nos Out side lease area 150 nos. | |

| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 1. 0 ha | 2. 47 ha | |
|----|---|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | By plantation | By plantation | |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | It has been given in the approved document for regular monitering of the environmental parameters in the core and buffer zone. | Being done as per proposal. | All the parameters are within the permissable limit. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Nil |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | It was proposed to produce 21,099 tonnes during the year 2020-21. | The actual production reported during the year 2020-21, 18,502 tonnes. | _ |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | It was proposed to carry out manual sorting and screening. | Being done as per proposal. | |

| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal. | Nil | - |
|----|--|--------------|-----------------|---|
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | | Not applicable. | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | In view of the Mineral conservation, below 25% Mn and25% to below 35% Mn is being produce and despatch. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | will be | Not applicable. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping of OB/Waste has been proposed in the earmarked locations. Within lease area in between UTM co-ordinates N 2019900 to N 2020100 and E769400 to E769500 | Separate dumping of OB/Waste has been proposed in the earmarked locations. Within lease area in between UTM co-ordinates N 2019900 to N 2020100 and E769400 to E769500 | |

| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal. | Nil | |
|----|---|--|--|-----|
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal. | Nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 150 nos. of saplings will be planted over 0.31 Ha. area within the lease area. | 150 nos. of saplings will be planted over 0.31 Ha. area within the lease area. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 55% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | been given in | Being done as per proposal. | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Nil |

Actual work

Remarks

Compliance of Rule 45:

Propasals

Sl.No. Item

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | up to | M.R. Submitted up to September 2021 A.R. Submitted up to F.Y. 2020-21 |
|----|--|---|---|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer & Manager - Sri A . Venkatesh yadav. Geologist - Sri.P.Ravikuma r. | Mining Engineer & Manager - Sri A . Venkatesh yadav. Geologist - Sri.P.Ravikumar. |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | 2.478Ha. Others- 1.748 Ha. | Pit- 7.34Ha. Waste/reject- 2.478Ha. Others- 1.748 Ha. Up to end of F.Y. 2020- 21 |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Within the lease 150 nos and out side lease. 150 nos survival rate 55% | Within the lease 150 nos and out side lease. 150 nos survival rate 55% |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | _ | Mineral Reject: NIL Grade: NIL |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | ROM: 0 Tonnes | Closing Stock: ROM: 0 Tonnes Below 25% Grade Mn :1547.495 Tonnes |

9g Scrutiny of Sale Value: Sale Value: Rs. Not

Annual return on Rs. Not given given

sale value, Ex.

Mine price and Ex-Mine Price: Ex-Mine Price: Rs. 2035

production cost Rs. 2035 Per Per Metric Tonne

Metric Tonne

Cost of Production: Rs.

Cost of 1751.33 Per Metric Tonne

Production:
Rs. 1751.33
Per Metric
Tonne

9h Scrutiny of Rs-3983446.00 Rs-3983446.00

Annual return on fixed assets

9k Scrutiny of Given in the Cross verified from the

Annual return on annual field logbooks.

mining returns.

machineries

PAGE: 16

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Viola | tion observed | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date: (P.GURUVAIAH)

Indian Bureau of Mines