INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Kolkata regional office

| | Mine : | file No : CAL/BH/SB/FE | :(D | -1) Mine code : 30BHR27017 |
|----|------------|---|-----|--|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | KQ01) B.L. KISKU |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Assistant Mining Engineer |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | S/Shri S.S. Rao, AGM (Mines), DR. R K Naik Sr Manager |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 02/07/2021 |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 10/03/2021 |
| | | PAI | RT- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | MANOHARPUR (DHOBIL) |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/5662/2011 |
| | (g) (h) | Weekly day of rest | | |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | AT & PO: CHIRIA DISTT: SINGHBHUM WEST JHARKHAND - 833106 |
| 3. | | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | : | BHR0061 1052 07/12/2005 |
| 4. | Miner | ral worked | : | IRON ORE Main |

| 5. Na | me and Address of t | the | | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| J. 114 | Lessee | : | STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD. INDUSTRY HOUSE 5TH & 6TH FLOOR 10, CAMAC STREET KOLKATA WEST BENGAL Phone:033-22822316/0462/3385 FAX :033-22822316/0462 | |
| | Owner | : | Amarendu Prakash Steel Authority Of India Limited Bokaro Steel Plant Bokaro-827001 SINGHBHUM (WEST) JHARKHAND Phone: 05642240300,024 FAX : (06542)240/82 | |
| | Agent | : | D.DEY SARKAR At &P.O. Chiria Dist. West Singhbhum Jahakhand SINGHBHUM (WEST) JHARKHAND Phone: 8986881005 FAX : | |
| | Mining Engineer | r | | |
| | Name | : | R.K.Patri,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | B.Tech (Min.) | |
| | Appointment/ Termination dat | | 18/01/2019 | |
| | Geologist | | | |
| | Name | : | RAJKESH KUMAR NAIK,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | M. Sc(Geo) | |
| | Appointment/ Termination dat | | 14/11/2014 | |
| | Manager | | | |
| | Name | : | RAVI RANJAN | |
| | | | B.Tech(Min.)FCMMCC(R) | |
| | ~ Appointment/ Termination dat | : | | |

| б. | Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 02/01/2001 05/05/2006 11/12/2008 06/09/2013 |
|----|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | | MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 | 23/11/2017 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| la | Backlog of previous year | It was proposed to drill 9 nos. bore holes during 2020- 21. | No borehole was drilled. | Exploration not done as per proposal for want of Stage II Forest clearences. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Gl | Gl | As per approved Review of Mining plan, broken area has been explored upto G1 level. Area having less than 200 m borehole spacing are placed under G1 category and with less than 400m under G2 level. |
| lc | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No such proposal. | | |
| ld | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | A total of 17 boreholes were proposed for drilling (8 boreholes in 2019-20 and 9 boreholes in 2020-21). | NIL. | Exploration not done as per approved proposal for want of Stage II Forest clearences. |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Balence reserves as on 1/4/2020: 52.32 mill. tonnes (111) 5.34 mill. tonnes (122) 25.1 mill. tonne (211) 126.0 mill. tonnes (221 and 222). | | |

1f General remarks - of inspecting
officers on
geology,
exploration etc

Dhobil lease hold area is part of famous Chiria Iron ore block in Singhbhum Bonai Keonjhar Iron ore belt. As per approved Review of Mining plan approved on 23/11/2017, area having borehole spacing of less than 200m is considered for G1 and with spacing less than 400m for G2 category. As per MEMC Rule 2015 in case of iron ore, less than 100m spacing for G1 and less than 200 m spacing for G2 is recommended. So more boreholes will be required to fulfill G1 level criteria of M(EMC) Rules, 2015.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | and north east | South east pit and north east pit. | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Yes | Yes. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | - | 1:0.176 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | NIL | NIL. | |

_ _

| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | NIL | 32497m3 | There was less development in 2019-20 for which violation letter was issued from this office. Previous years backlog was completed in 2020- 21 . |
|----|--|-----|---------|--|
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Extension of lease period is granted over reduced area (29.411 ha) limited to broken area only. Due to space constrents pit may not reach upto ultimate depth as a result ore reserves lying in lower benches may remain blocked. These blocked resources may be exploited after extension of lease period over non broken area. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Two | Two. | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 749359 tonnes | 553841 tonnes | |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 95% | 95% | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | NIL | NIL. | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | | | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | NIL | NIL. | |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | | | |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual and mechanised both | Manual and mechanised both. | - |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Yes | Yes. | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Yes | Yes. | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | 6m | Yes | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 19.16 ha | 19.16 ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | | 1:0.176 | OB generation not envisaged during 2020-21. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 29.411 ha | 29.411 ha | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | | 2017-18 42512 tonnes 2018-19 729308 tonnes 2019-20 733795 tonnes 2020-21 553841 tonnes | |
| Зq | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | Open cast mechanised mine having provision for deep hole drilling and blasting and showel dumper combination for transport of ore/OB. | Mechanised mine with provisions of deep hole drilling and blasting. Transportation of ore/OB are being done by deploying showel dumper combination. | |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|---|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | | Generated SB/IB dumped on dump D2 situated in northern side of pit. | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Two OB dumps (D1 and D2) are outside pit but inside broken area and D3 is inside pit | Two OB dumps (D1 and D2) are outside pit but inside broken area. D3 not created. | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Three dumps all inside lease area | Two dumps and both are inside lease area. | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Within UPL | Within UPL. | Lease is in restricted forest land and entire area over which Stage II clearences available are mineralised. Due to restrictions imposed by forest department all dumps are within UPL. |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | Three | Two. | New dump namely D3 which was proposed as inpit dump not created. |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | None | None | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | None | None. | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Yes | Yes. | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | | | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | | | |

| 4k | Specific | | |
|----|------------------|------|--|
| | comments of | | |
| | inspecting | | |
| | officer on waste | | |
| | dump management | | |
| | | | |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No such proposal. | Not done. | Mineral continued in depth and no area is matured for backfilling. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No such proposal. | | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No such proposal. | Generation of top soil not envisaged. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No such proposal. | NIL. | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Ore body continued in depth and there is no mature area for backfilling and reclamation. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Yes. | Yes. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | NIL | NIL. | |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | | | |

| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 650 | 650 saplings planted. | |
|----|---|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | | | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | None | None. | |
| бg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Rs. 32500/- | NA. | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | NIL | NIL. | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | NIL | NIL | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | NIL | NIL | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | NIL | NIL | |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | None | None | |
| бm | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | NIL | NIL | Entire br over whic II cleare available mineralis there is land with |

Entire broken area over which Stage II clearences are available are mineralised and there is no waste land within broken area.

| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | NIL | NIL | |
|----|---|-----|---|---|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | NIL | NIL | |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Yes | Air, water, noise monitoring stations are installed and monitoring parameters are being followed. | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Entire broken area over which Stage II clearences are available are mineralised and there is no mature area/waste land available for reclamation and rehabilitation. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------------------|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade wise sorting | Grade wise sorting. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | | | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | preposal for | Grade wise production during reporting period are as under: Lumps (60-62%)- 111014 tonnes, Lumps (62-65%)- 155326 tonnes, | |
| | | | Fines (60-62%),- 103626 tonnes, Fines (62-65%)- 183875 tonnes. | |

| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | None | None. | |
|----|--|------|-------|--|
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | proposal for | No top soil removal. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No such proposal during reporting period. | Not done as there is no top soil removal. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Yes | Yes | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No such proposal. | Mineral continued in depth and there is no such proposal of backfilling during reporting period. | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | | Plantation done in periphery of D1 . Mineral continued in depth as a result there is no scope for rehabilitation of pit. | |

| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | | 650 saplings planted as per proposal. | |
|----|--|-----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 8g | Survival rate | | | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Yes | Yes. | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | Monthly and Annual Returns submitted in time | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer : Sh. Ratan Kumar Patri Geologist : Dr. Rajes Kumar Naik | MIning Enginear and geologists are in post. | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | working: 19.160 ha, Waste | Details furnished in Annual Returns not matching with that reported in draft modification of mining plan submitted to IBM for approval. | Shortcommings were communicated to lessee through Violation letter. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 650 saplings planted during 2020-21. | Details furnished in Annual Returns are in order | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | Details furnished in Annual Returns are in order | |

| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | stock: 138156 | Details furnished in Annual Returns are in order | |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | entire | Details furnished in Annual Returns are in order. | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Estimated Market Value of Fixed Assets: Rs. 2230582404/- | | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | | Mine was not under operation at the time of inspection. | |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation observed | | | Show c | ouse position | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |
| MCDR17 Rule 37(2 |) 20/07/2021 | 10/09/2021 | | | |
| MCDR17 Rule 45(7) | (a)20/07/2021 | 10/09/2021 | | | |

Date :

(B.L. KISKU)

Indian Bureau of Mines