MCDR Data Base

1) Name of Inspecting officer:	Prasant Kumar Sahu
2) Designation	Assistant Mining Engineer
3) Accompanying mine official with designation	Nitesh Raghuvanshi, Agent
4) Date of Inspection	13.12.2022
5) Date of Previous Inspection	20.09.2021

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Sl.No	I. GENERAL INFORMATION SI.No Particulars Details			
1	Name of the Mine	Godadih Mahal No.2 Limestone Mines		
2	Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of	Total Area- 120.313 Ha, Forest Area- 24.414 Ha.		
	Non-forest and forest land	Non- Forest Area-95.899 Ha		
3	Minecode	38CHG02003		
4	IBM Registration Number under rule 45	IBM/4355/2011		
4	of MCDR, 1988	IDM/ +333/ 2011 		
5	Name of the lessee, Address, phone,	Jindal Steel & Power Ltd. Vill+P.O- Godadih,		
	email and fax number	Tehsil- Masturi, Dist Bilaspur(C.G) 495551		
6	Village	Godadih		
7	Taluka/Mandal	Masturi		
8	District	Bilaspur		
9	Pincode	495551		
10	State	Chhatisgarh		
11	Post office	Godadih		
12	Nearest police station	Pachpedi		
13	Nearest Railway station	Jairamnagar		
14	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	05.01.2017		
15	Date of Execution	07.01.2017		
16	Date of opening of Mine	25.09.2018		
17	Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its	NA		
	period & expiry			
18	Date of second Renewal, if applicable and	NA		
	its period & expiry			
19	Date of submission of renewal	NA		
	application if Mining Operations are			
	continuing under deemed extension			
20	Name of the Nominated Owner with	Shri D.K. Saraogi		
	Address, phone, email, fax number and	Jindal Steel & Power Limited		
	date of appointment	Post Box no.16, Kharsia Road, Raigarh,		
		District-Raigarh, Chhattisgarh- 496001		
		07762 227001, Fax- 07762-227002		
		e-mail-dinesh.saraogi@jindalsteel.com.		
21	Nome of the Mine Agent with Address	Date of appointment-09.11.2012.		
21	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of	Shri Nitesh Raghuvanshi, Jindal Steel & Power Limited		
	appointment	Godadih Mahal No.2, Taluka -Masturi, District -		
	appointment	Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh- 495551.		
		7880009783		
		nitesh.raghuvanshi@jindalsteel.com		
		Date of appointment-15.05.2021.		
22	Name of the Mines Manager with	Shri Subhash Singh,		
_	Address, phone, email, fax number and	Jindal Steel & Power Limited		
	date of appointment in mines	Godadih Mahal No.2, Taluka- Masturi, District-		
		Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh- 495551.		
		9109182879, subhash.singh@jindalsteel.com		
		Date of appointment-02.08.2021.		
23	Name of the Mining Engineer,	Shri Pramod Kumar B.E. Mining (1st class) Mines		

	Qualification and total experience with	Manager certificate holder, Exp. >20 Years,
	Address, phone, email, fax number and	Godadih Mahal No.2, Limestone Mine
	date of appointment in mine	Jindal Steel & Power, Village- Godadih, Tehsil -
		Masturi, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh- 495551.
		9109130754, pramod.kumar1@jindalsteel.com
		Date of appointment-20.02.2021
24	Name of the Geologist , Qualification and	Shri Saorav Shrivastava,
	total experience with Address, phone,	M.Tech(Applied Geology)
	email, fax number and date of	Exp.>18 Years,
	appointment in mine	Godadih Mahal No.2, Limestone Mine
		Jindal Steel & Power, Village -Godadih, Tehsil -
		Masturi, District- Bilaspur,
		Chhattisgarh- 495551.
		8085064799, saorav.shrivastava@jindalsteel.com
		Date of appointment-14.01.2020
25	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer	Yes
	appointed in mines satisfy the rule 42	
	&carrying out their duties as per rule 43	
	& 44.	
26	Date of Approval of Mining	Modification in Review of Mining Plan.
	Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five-year	Letter no. Bilaspur/chup/khayu-1307/2021-
	period and specific condition in approval	Raipur dated 28.01.2022
	letter, if any.	Proposal period from-2021-2022 to 2025-2026
27	Date of Approval of Scheme of	NA
	Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with	
	five-year period and specific condition in	
	approval letter, if any.	
28	Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved	Limestone
	for mining	
29	Method of Mining(Opencast,	Open cast
	Underground)	
30	Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or	Fully Mechanised
	Manual)	
31	Captive/Non Captive	Captive
32	Environmental Clearance	1.54 million tones
33	Status of Financial Assurance as per rule	MDPA Signed Performance Security submitted
	27 of MCDR, 2017	with Chhattisgarh State Govt., Date of expiry:
		06/01/2027

II. SCIENTIFIC MINING

[Data in respect of financial year (2021-22) or cumulative, as applicable]

Sl. No	Item	Proposals (2021-22)	Actual Work (2021-22)	Remarks
		A. EXPLOR	ATION:-	
1a	Backlog of Previous Year	18 boreholes (09 each in 2019-20 & 2020-21)	Exploration of 18 infilling boreholes completed in 2021-22	
1b	Exploration Over Lease area for Geological Axis 1 or 2	G1	120.3131 Ha Non- Mineralized: 6.96	Entire lease area has been explored at G1 level as per the approved document.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	No proposal	Not applicable	18 boreholes (09 each in 2019-20 & 2020-21) were completed in the

				year 2021-22 by the exploration agency M/s Shreeram Gemicon Pvt. Ltd. with a total expenditure on exploration activities in 2021-22-Rs. 2487590 totaling to Rs. 10634487.00 till date.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis 1 or 2	No proposal	Nil	Entire ML area explored in G1 category by infilling of 18 bore holes in FY 21-22 till RL 210m).
1e	Balance reserves as on 01/04/2022	Under (111): 43.2Millio Under (121): 3.86 Millio Under (211): 5.05 Millio Under (221): 20.92 Milli	n Tonnes n Tonnes	As per the AR 2021- 22
1f	General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	Entire ML area explored in G1 category by infilling of 18 bore holes in FY 21-22 till RL 210m). 18 boreholes (09 each in 2019-20 & 2020-21) were completed in the year 2021-22 by the exploration agency M/s Shreeram Gemicon Pvt. Ltd. with a total expenditure on exploration activities in 2021-22-Rs. 2487590.		

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
		B. DEVELOR	PMENT:-	
2a	Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Latitude- 21 48' 13.89" to 21 48' 20.01" Longitudes- 82 17' 54.82" to 82 18" 11.40"	48'13.89"	Development was carried out within the proposed grids
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral	l =	Separate benches were maintained in OB & mineral	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.08	1:0.13	TCF Limestone- 2.54 Overburden- 2.11 Topsoil- 1.99
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	1923	4845.84	Violation issued for not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	56598	80672.69	-

2f	General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit	Overall development was carried out within the proposed grid however violation was issued for not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals.
	etc.	

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks	
	C. EXPLOITATION:-				
3a	Number of Pit Proposed for Production	01pit proposed	Working carried out in 01pit only		
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	1540000	627358	Violation issued for less production of ROM	
3c	Recovery of Sailable/ Usable Mineral from ROM Production	99%	99%		
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposal	Nil	No generation of mineral reject	
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	No proposal	Nil		
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	No proposal	Nil		
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	No proposal	Nil		
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method proposed for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method adopted for segregating from ROM	Blasted material from the mines is fed to the crusher (320 TPH crusher)	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	No proposal	No such study carried out		
3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Deep hole drilling and Blasting proposed in mineral benches	Deep hole drilling and blasting was carried out in mineral benches as proposed	Drill machine -104 mm dia Spacing-3.0 mt., burden-2.5 mt., depth-6.5 mt. Slurry / Emulsion explosive in Cartridge form is used	
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Shovel dumper combination proposed	Mining is done using Shovel dumper combination	Two excavators & 06 Dumpers were observed in operation on the day of inspection.	
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable	Bench Height proposals: In OB 1-3m	Bench height & width maintained. Overburden – 1.5 m	Bench height and width found to be adequate	

	for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	In Mineral-6m	Mineral – 5.0 m	
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	17.83 Ha at the end of plan period	6.493 Ha. At the end of reporting year	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0.08	1:0.13	TCF Limestone- 2.54 Overburden- 2.11 Topsoil- 1.99
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Area under mining- 17.83 ha, Topsoil Stacking-1.4 ha, OB/Waste dump-3.00 ha, Mineral storage- 0.82 ha, Infra/Roads- 1.58 ha, Others-1.6 ha at the end of plan period	Covered under current workings-6.493 ha, Plant/building/roads- 2.290 ha, Others-1.992 ha	Violation under 11(1) issued for deviation in the approved land use pattern
3p	Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable	Yr- 2017-18:1540000 Yr- 2018-19: 1540000 Yr- 2019-20: 1540000 Yr- 2020-21: 1540000 Yr- 2021-22: 1540000	Yr- 2017-18: Nil Yr- 2018-19: Nil Yr- 2019-20: 500 Yr- 2020-21: 240168 Yr- 2021-22: 627358	Actual production is within the proposed quantity. All units in MT (Metric Tonnes)
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc.	A single quarry has been developed as proposed. Production is carried out using deployment of HEMM with the help of drilling & blasting. Violation under 11(1) issued for deviation in the approved land use pattern.		

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
	1	D. SOLID WASTE MANA	GEMENT - DUMPING:-	
4a	Separate Dumping of Topsoil, OB and Mineral Rejects (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping of Topsoil & OB proposed	Not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals	Violation issued for not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals
4b	Location of Topsoil, OB and Mineral Rejects Dumps	Top Soil- 82 18' 57.21" to 21 47' 45.01" OB - 82 18' 47.34" to 21 47' 39.61"	OB - 82 18' 47.34" to 21 47' 39.61"	-do-
4c	Number of Dumps within Lease & Outside Lease area	01 each for top soil & OB	OB= 1	-do-
4d	Location of Dumps, within Ultimate Pit Limit (Rule-16)	Proposed beyond ultimate pit limit	Outside UPL OB - 82 18' 47.34" to 21 47' 39.61"	
4e	Number of Active &	02	01 for OB	

	Alive Dumps	(01 each for top soil & OB)		
4f	No of Dead Dumps	0	0	
4g	No of Dumps Established	02	01	
4h	Whether Retaining Wall or Garland drain along Dumps are there	Yes	Not constructed	Violation under 11(1) issued
4i	Length of Retaining Wall or Garland drain along Dumps	Garland drain-1000m	Not constructed	-do-
4j	No. of Settling tanks	1	0	-do-
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management		on measures have not been Soil dump as proposed. V	

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
	E.	SOLID WASTE MANAGE	EMENT - BACKFILLING:-	
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	No proposal	Nil	No area is mined out fully for backfilling
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposal	Nil	-do-
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32)	No proposal	Nil	-do-
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No proposal	Nil	-do-
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	Mineral has not been backfilling.	exhausted fully & thus no	o proposal given for

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
	F. PROGRESSIVE MINE CLOSURE PLAN:-			
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 26 (2)	To be submitted before 01st July every year	PMCP report received in time	Annual return submitted on 28.06.2022
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for	No proposal	Nil	No area is mined out at present

	rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year Compliance on	No proposal	Nil	No backfilling
6с	reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means	ivo pi oposai	1411	proposal
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	i)-3000 Plants ii) 1.5 Ha iii) Plantation of 3000 saplings	WML-6189saplings @70% survival & 2818 saplings outside the ML area@60% survival	
6e	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Environmental monitoring of air, water & noise proposed	Environmental monitoring of air, water & noise was carried out as per the proposal	All values of environment monitoring are within permissible limits.
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closure operations	as per proposal.		

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks	
	G. MINERAL CONSERVATION:-				
7a	ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area	Crushed/Processed ore fed to captive cement/steel plant	Only used in steel making (Blast Furnace grade)	Violation under Rule (11) issued for deviating the lease deed conditions	

7b	Method of Grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Mechanized method proposed	Mechanized method adopted	By using Terrex Crusher to produce 8-40mm, 2-6mm & 0-2mm
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	No proposal	Nil	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No proposal	No beneficiation is done in mines except crushing	Only crushing is done at mine site, after which mineral is dispatched to captive steel plant
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	The blasted ROM have been crushed to different size and fed to the captive steel plant. Violation under Rule (11) issued for deviating the lease deed conditions regarding use of limestone from this mining lease.		

Sl. No	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks	
	H. ENVIRONMENT:-				
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil	Yes	Not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals	Violation issued for not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Concurrent use	Not utilizing the generated topsoil as per the proposals	-do-	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects is proposed	Separate dump for all type of waste is being maintained		
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	Nil		
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc.)	No proposal	Nil		
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done	3000 saplings	6189 saplings		
8g	Survival rate	70%	70%		
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling on haul roads proposed to suppress the dust	Continuous water sprinkling done on haul roads by water tankers		
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on				

aesthetic beauty in and	the proposal.
around mines area	

Sl. No	Item	Comments		Remarks	
	I. COMPLIANCE OF RULE-45:-				
9a	Status of Submission of Monthly & Annual Returns	MR Submitted up to = Feb 2023 AR Submitted up to =FY 21-22			
Sl. No	Item	Details Given in A.R	Observation of I/Officer	Remarks	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining EnggPramod Kumar Geologist-Saorav Shrivastava Manager-Subhash Singh	Same mine officials were present during the course of inspection.	-	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Covered under current workings-6.493 ha, Plant/building/roads-2.290 ha, Others-1.992 ha	Violation under 11(1) issued for deviation in the approved land use pattern	-	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	WML- 6189 saplings @ 70% survival rate OML- 2818 saplings @ 60% survival rate.	Appears to be correct	-	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity)	Nil	No generation of mineral rejects reported	-	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	ROM: in Tonne Opening-88925.000 Production-627357.6 Closing-263187.920	Appears to be correct.	-	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Ex mine price-Rs. 458.03/Ton Sale value-0	Limestone form the mine is used for captive consumption in steel plant	-	
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Rs. 975527680 including land, machinery & building	Appears to be correct.	-	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Back Hoe 0.9 cum-2 Nos. Back Hoe 2.1 cum-2 Nos. Tipper-6 Nos. Drill Machine-2 Nos. Front end loader-2 Nos. Water tanker-1 Nos. Pumps(ele)-2 Nos.	Appears to be correct.	-	

III. Status of compliance of MCDR, 2017 including therewith the rectification of outstanding violation of the rules.

Date of last inspection	Violation pointed out	Compliance reported
20.09.2021	Rule 11(1), 13(2), 14(4), 19, 26(2),33, 45(5)(C), 46,54 and 55 on dated 24.09.2021	All complied on 22.02.2022

Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 is pointed out during current inspection.

Date: 18.04.2023

प्रशान्त कुमर साहू / Prasant Kumar Sahu सहायक खनन अभियंता / Assistant Mining Engineer भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines