INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Bangalore regional office

Mine file No : KNT/BLR/FE/397/BNG Mine code : 30KAR03092

(i) Name of the Inspecting : **S19**) **SANDEEP KUMAR SINGH**

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri. A. D.Pipare, Mine Surveyor.Dr. D.N.Subramanyam

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 20-JAN-23
(v) Prev.inspection date : 11-OCT-17

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

L. (a) Mine Name : KUMARASWAMY

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/270/2011

(c) Category : A Fully Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : KARNATAKA
District : BELLARY
Village : KAMMADARUVU
Taluka : SANDUR

Taluka : SANDUR
Post office : DEOGIRI
Pin Code : 583112

FAX No. : 040-23538711 (F)

E-mail : kiom.nmdc@gmail.com,bsahoot Phone : 040-23538713 (0) 083952746

(f) Police Station : SANDUR
(g) First opening date : 18-NOV-91

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : NMDC Limited, Donimalai

correspondance Bellary, Karnataka. Pin-583 118

3. (a) Lease Number : KAR0212 (b) Lease area : 647.5 (c) Period of lease : 30

(d) Date of Expiry : 17-OCT-02

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : NATIONAL MINERAL DEV. CORPN. LTD

10-3-311/A, CASTLE HILLS, MASAB TANK, HYDERABAD

TELANGANA
Phone: 3538706
FAX: 3538705

Owner : D. K. Mohanty, Director (Production)

NMDC Limited, 10-3-311/A Castle Hills, Masab Tank, Hyderabad(Telangana)-

500028. HYDERABAD TELANGANA

Phone: 040-23538706/07 FAX : 04023538711

Agent : Sanjeev Sahi

Karnataka. Pin-583118 BELLARY KARNATAKA

Phone:

FAX: 08395274624

Mining Engineer

Name : PHOOL SINGH DHRUW, Full Time

Qualification : BE (Mining), First class mines manager c

Appointment/ : 19-OCT-22

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Dr. D. N. Subramanyam, Full Time

Qualification : M.SC. Geology
Appointment/ : 02-JUL-16

Termination date

Manager

Name : RANDHIR KUMAR
Qualification : DGM(Min), FCC
Appointment/ : 01-MAR-22

Termination date

6.	Date of approval of Mining :	:	Existing rule 11 MCDR1988	29-JUL-92
	Plan/Scheme of Mining		Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988	21-APR-98
			Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960	29-0CT-02

29-OCT-02 Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 06-FEB-07 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 17-SEP-07 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 16-AUG-12 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 23-JUN-14 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 26-AUG-16 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 03-NOV-16 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 06-JUL-17 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 13-JUL-18 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 13-DEC-19 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 29-OCT-21

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	NIL	NIL	NIL
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	26 Nos. Bore holes with 1710meterage proposed	29 nos. of bore holes drilled with total meterage 2038.	As reported in AR 2021-22.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not mentioned	NMDC in-house (Global Exploration Center, (GEC) Raipur, M/s. NMDC Limited.	Incurred cost of Rs. 2.16 Crores. As reported by the Lessee during inspection.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	G-1-195.27 ha G-3-14.5 ha	G-1-195.27 ha G-3-14.5 ha	As per the last approved document dated 29/10/2021. Exploration in progress to upgrade the G-3 area.
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2021 is 208,231,000 tons of iron ore with Fe grade of 62 %	Balance iron ore reserves as on 01.04.2022 is 201,381,366Tons.	The details of Mineral Reserves and Resources estimated at the end of the year is not reported correctly in ''tonnes'' in Part-V-Sec 3 as per the last approved mine plan document dated 29/10/2021. Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

1f	General remarks
	of inspecting
	officers on
	geology,
	exploration etc

i) The holder of a mining lease shall, on Mineral Reserves or before the 30th day and Resources of June every year estimated at the submit a digital copy end of the year is along with a print copy not reported of the surface geological plans and sections maintained under rule 32 along with per the last the annual return. The approved mine plan same has not been submitted. Violation issued under Rule 33 of Violation issued MCDR 2017.

ii) The details of correctly in ''tonnes'' in Part-V-Sec 3 as document dated 29/10/2021. under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	development	development	The vertical extent(mRL) of C-Block for pit 2 have been developed	
	w.i.t.lease alea	Block& C- Block: B-Block: B-6M to B-9 -	beyond the maximum vertical limit of 1024 mRL till 1018 mRL at Sec C-10(5/8) & C-11(1/4)	
		to B-5(Pit-2), B-8M to B- 12(Pit-3)	(N-1660214, E-670211) for the year 2022-23. Also, the benches at C- block Pit 3 have not	
		C-14 -3/8 to C-26-7/8(Pit- 1) & C-8(3/4)	been developed systematically maintaining 6 m bench height between section	
		to C-14(3/8). (Pit-2)	C-3 & C-3M from 1042 to 1024 mRL as per proposal.	

2b Separate benches Separate in topsoil, overburden and proposed in minerals (Rule 15)

benches overburden and mineral.

Separate benches maintained in overburden & C-Block-13 and mineral.

B-block-14 benches benches

Stripping ratio 1: 0.18 1: 0.08achieved (in or ore to OB proposed(in tons). 2с The stripping ratio proposed for ratio tons). the year 2021-22 is 1:0.18 against which 1:0.08 has been achieved. Less development & corresponding waste handling (529,107 tons) has been carried out proportionate to achieved ROM production of 6,849,633 tons. Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. 2d Quantity of No proposal NIL NIL topsoil generation in m3 Quantity of 203502Cum. achieved 2e 692307Cum As per the figures overburden reported in AR proposed generation in m3 2021-22.

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

i) The stripping ratio proposed for the year 2021-22 is 1:0.18 against which 1:0.08 has been achieved. Less development & corresponding waste handling (529,107 tons) has been carried out proportionate to achieved ROM production of 6,849,633 tons. Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

ii) The vertical extent(mRL) of C-Block for pit 2 have been developed beyond the maximum vertical limit of 1024 mRL till 1018 mRL at Sec C-10(5/8) & C-11(1/4) (N-1660214, E-670211) for the year 2022-23. Also, the benches at C-block Pit 3 have not been developed systematically maintaining 6 m bench height between section C-3 & C-3M from 1042to 1024 mRL as per proposal. Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	Three Pits in B-block and three pits in C-block proposed.	<u> </u>	Pit 1,2,3 B-block and Pit 1,2,3 C-block.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	10,000,000 Tonnes	6,849,633Tonnes	As reported in AR 2021-22.
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	100 % proposed	100 %achieved	Nil.

3	d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposal	Nil.	There is no Mineral rejects generation proposal as per the approved document whereas 350000 tonnes with grade 51.75 % have been reported in Part-V-Sec-4.2(B), which is ROM quantity and not mineral Reject. Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
3	е	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	No proposal	Nil.	There is no Mineral rejects generation proposal as per the approved document whereas 350000 tonnes with grade 51.75 % have been reported in Part-V-Sec-4.2(B), which is ROM quantity and not mineral Reject. Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
3	f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	3,50,070 tons of subgrade proposed.	3,50,000 tons of iron ore with grade 51.75 % have been generated which is been utilized through blending.	As reported by the Lessee during inspection.
3	g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	52.5 % of Fe proposed	51.75 % of Fe generated	As reported by the Lessee during inspection.
3	h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method proposed for segregated from ROM.	Mechanized method adopted for segregated from ROM.	Crushing & screening plants of 450 TPH capacity.

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Provision of drilling & blasting is proposed.	Drilling & blasting is done in combination with slurry explosives & Detonators.	Drill-110 mm-4 nos. (As reported in AR 2021-22)
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Mining Machinery proposed.	Excavator- 22 nos. (0.9 Cum, 0.6 Cum, 1.3 Cum, 1 Cum, 2.1 Cum, 4.3 Cum). Tipper- 70 nos. (14 & 16 Cum) Drills-4 nos. (110 mm) Wheel loader-15 nos. (2 Cum, 1.6 Cum, 2.1 Cum, 9 Cum & 4.2 Cum) Water Tanker - 4 nos. (10 KL-3 nos., 28 KL-1no.) Dozer: 1 no. (410 HP) Dumper- 2nos. (100 tons)	As reported in AR 2021-22.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	height =6 meters in both	The benches at C-block Pit 3 have not been developed systematically maintaining 6 m bench height between section C-3 & C-3M from 1042 to 1024 mRL as per proposal.	Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	100.16 Ha proposed till the end of the Plan Period.	109.38 Ha as on 31.03.2022.	NIL

3n Ore to OB ratio 1: 0.18 for the pit/mine proposed (in tons). during the year. tons).

1: 0.08 achieved (in

The stripping ratio proposed for the year 2021-22 is 1:0.18 against which 1:0.08 has been achieved. Less development & corresponding waste handling (529,107 tons) has been carried out proportionate to achieved ROM production of 6,849,633 tons. Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

3o Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year

Mining-100.16 Ha. Ha. Overburden Dump- 18.75 Ha. Mineral &Roads- 22.71 Нa, (As on 31.03.2022) as per the approved

Area under Area under Mining-109.38 Overburden Dump- 15.19 Ha. Mineral storage -12.06 Ha Occupied Plant, building storage -10.34 residential area, Roads -19.38 Ha. Infrastructure (As on 31.03.2022)

Land use as reported in AR 2021-22. The land use has been incorporated in the current mining plan approved dated 29/10/2021

Production of 3р ROM mineral during the last 2018-19: five year period 7,000,000 as applicable

2017-18: 6,000,000 2019-20: 7,000,000 2020-21: 7,000,000 2021-22: 10,000,000 (In tons)

document

dtd13/12/2019.

2017-18: 5,999,899 2018-19: 6,999,900 2019-20: 6,999,841 2020-21: 6,999,908 2021-22: 6,849,633 (In tons)

Actual production is within the existing EC & CEC limit. (EC-7-MTPA, CEC-6.85 MTPA)

3q General remarks i) The of inspecting officers on etc.

stripping 2021-22 is which 1:0.08 has been has been carried out tons. Violation issued under

> Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

ii) The vertical extent(mRL) of C-Block ratio proposed for pit 2 have been method of mining for the year developed beyond the maximum vertical limit 1:0.18 against of 1024 mRL till 1018 mRL at Sec C-10(5/8) & C-11(1/4) (N-1660214, Eachieved. Less 670211) for the year development & 2022-23. Also, the corresponding benches at C-block Pit 3 waste handling have not been developed (529,107 tons) systematically maintaining 6 m bench height between section proportionate C-3 & C-3M from 1042 to to achieved 1024 mRL as per ROM production proposal. Violation of 6,849,633 issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

iii) There is no Mineral rejects generation proposal as per the approved document whereas 350000 tonnes with grade 51.75 % have been reported in Part-V-Sec-4.2(B), which is ROM quantity and not mineral Reject. Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping proposed for the OB dumps. B- Block-AD-2 waste dump C-Block- AD-1 waste dump		OB dumping is being done in the earmarked area.

4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	OB dumps: AD-2 (B- Block): N- 76810 to 76960 E-78357 to 78636 AD-1 (C- Block): N- 76473 to 76797 E- 77013 to 77272 3 waste dumps within the lease area (AD-1, AD-2 & AD-3)	Waste dumping carried out ar AD-2 & AD-1 within the proposed extent as per the proposal 3 waste dumps within the lease area (AD-1, AD-2 & AD-3)	Local co-ordinates as per the approved document for the period 2021-22. The plans and sections required under these rules shall be maintained up to date showing also the respective proposal of AMP for various activities pertaining to that year, within three months in case of category 'A' mines as referred to in clause (a) of subrule(2) of rule 55. It was observed during inspection that the plans maintained have not shown the respective proposal of AMPViolation issued under Rule 31(4) of MCDR2017 NIL
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Both AD-1 & AD-2 outside UPL	Both AD-1 & AD-2 outside UPL	As per the current exploration data and the approved document dated 13/12/2019.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.		2 no. of active dumps	AD-1-(C-block) & AD-2-(B-block)

4f	Number of dead dumps.	1 no. of dead dump	1 no. of dead dump	AD-3. Ongoing waste dumping proposed only in dump AD-1 & AD-2 as per the ongoing approved document dtd 29/10/2021.
4 g	Number of dumps established.	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	wall (TW-1) and 125 m garland drain (GD-1) proposed for		Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	wall (TW-1) and 125 m garland drain (GD-1) proposed for		Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
4 ј	Number of settling ponds	No Proposal	NIL	NIL

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

It has been observed during inspection that garland drain construction of 125 m(GD-1) for waste dump AD-1 as proposed during year 2021-22 has not been completed as per the proposal. Violation issued under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No Proposal	NIL	NIL
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			No backfilling proposals.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	To be submitted before 1st July every year.	The annual PMCP report for the year 2021-22 setting for the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as per the approved Progressive mine closure plan has not been received in this office nor information sent w.r.t this office email dated 13.04.2022	Violation issued under Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017.	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	8.17 ha afforestation proposed	8. 04 ha afforestation achieved on Waste dumps.	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	8.17 ha afforestation proposed	8. 04 ha afforestation achieved on Waste dumps.	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	21 750 nos. of saplings proposed including dump, worked out benches etc.	25,000 nos. of saplings plantation carried out at waste dumps.	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	Not mentioned	2,13,417 nos. of plants including waste dump, green belt, etc. cumulative as on 1.04.2022	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No proposal	NIL	NIL	
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs. 45.8 lakhs proposed.	Rs. 100 lakhs incurred for afforestation on dump and watch & care	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	No proposal	NIL	NIL	

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No proposal	NIL	NIL
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	No proposal	NIL	NIL
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No proposal	NIL	NIL
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	No proposal	NIL	NIL
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation	No proposal	NIL	NIL
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	No proposal	NIL	NIL
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	No proposal	NIL	NIL

6р Compliance of Environmental Environmental monitoring NIL environmental monitoring is being carried out in monitoring (core proposed in both core & buffer zone zone and buffer core zone & for Air, Water, Noise and zone) buffer zone Soil as per the proposal. Environmental monitoring report of Jan-22 &March-22 availableduring inspection. Parameters within limit.

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

The annual PMCP report for the year 2021-22 setting for the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as per the approved Progressive mine closure plan has not been received in this office nor information sent w.r.t this office email dated 13.04.2022. Violation issued under Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Dry crushing and screening of ROM proposed.	Dry crushing and screening of ROM is carried out at different locations of the mines.	Crushing & screening plants of 450 TPH capacity

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	No proposal	NIL	NIL
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal	NIL	NIL
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumps for OB proposed (AD-1 & AD-2)	Waste dumping carried out at AD-1 & AD-2 as per the proposal.	NIL
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	NIL	NIL
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal	NIL	NIL
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Not mentioned	2,13,417 nos. of plants including waste dump, green belt, etc. cumulative as on 1.04.2022	As reported in annual PMCP report 2021-22.
8g	Survival rate	Not mentioned	85% survival rate achieved	As reported in AR 2021-22.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling on	Water sprinkling is carried out through 28 KL-1 no and 10 KL-3 nos. water sprinklers to control airborne dust.	NIL

8i General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area

Aesthetic beauty in and around the mine was good

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly Returns Submitted up to Dec, 2022 on 09.01.23 Annual Return submitted up to 2021-22 on 29.06.22	Monthly Returns Submitted up to Dec, 2022 on 09.01.23 Annual Return submitted up to 2021-22 on 29.06.22	NIL
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer: Shri.R.K Tekam Geologist:Dr. D.N. Subramanyam, Manager: Shri. Randhir Kumar	Appears to be correct	Shri. Phool Singh Dhruw appointed as Mining engineer from 19.10.22.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	current Open	Appears to be correct	NIL
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Within ML- 25,000 saplings@ 85 % survival.	Appears to be correct	NIL

9e Scrutiny of mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)

350,000 with

There is no Mineral Annual return on grade 51.75 %. rejects generation proposal as per the approved document whereas 350000 tonnes with grade 51.75 % have been reported in Part-V-Sec-4.2(B), which is ROM quantity and not mineral Reject.

Appears to be correct

Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

9f Scrutiny of Annual return on O/s -0 Ton,

 ${\tt ROM}$ stock and/or production graded ore

ROM:

6,849,633 .68 tons,

C/s - 0 tonGraded Ore 0/s-1,77,927.6

tons Production-6,849,634 tons Despatch-,5,483,098 tons

C/s- 1,544,463

tons.

(Includes both lumps & fines)

NIL

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Lumps: Below -55- Rs. 2405/ton 55-58 %- Rs 3449.99/ton 58-60 %- Rs. 4328.46/ton 60-62 %- Rs. 4533.52/ton 62-65 %- Rs. 4876.29/ton 65 % and above- Rs. 6285.91/ton Fines:	i) TheEx-mine price in Part-VI (3) (i) & (ii) for both iron ore lumps & fines of grades 55-58%, 58-60%, 60-62%, 62-65%, 65% and above have not been reported correctly as per the corresponding sales/dispatches quantity & sale value for the particular grade as reported in Part-VI-Sec-5. ii) Part-III: The DMF and NMET amount has not been reported correctly in Part-III (5) (c).	Non captive mine. Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Rs. 0	Part-II A: The value of fixed assets is not reported correctly under Part-II A (1).	Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Water tanker- 28000 litres- 1 no. Dumper- 2 no. Wheel loader-2 nos. Dozer- 1no.	drill, Crusher details have not been furnished	Violation issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

Violation observed				Show couse position			
Rule 1	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule	NO.	Issued on C	ompliance on
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	09-FEB-23		MCDR17	Rule	45(7)09-FEB-23	03-MAR-23
MCDR17	Rule 26(2)	09-FEB-23					
MCDR17	Rule 31(4)	09-FEB-23					
MCDR17	Rule 33	09-FEB-23					
MCDR17	03-MAR-23						

Date : (SANDEEP KUMAR SINGH)

Indian Bureau of Mines