INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Dehradun regional office

Mine file No : HP/SRM/LST-1 Mine code : 38HPR10044

(i) Name of the Inspecting : 004) Mukesh Kumar Sharma

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Engineer

(iii) Accompaning mine :

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 20-JUN-22
(v) Prev.inspection date : 20-NOV-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

.. (a) Mine Name : BARWAS (8.91 HA)

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/487/2011

(e) Postal address

State : HIMACHAL PRADESH

District : SIRMUR Village : BARWAS

Taluka : PAONTA SAHIB

Post office : KAMRAOO
Pin Code : 173029
FAX No. : N.A
E-mail : N.A

Phone : (01704) 262449/(01704) 271:

(f) Police Station : PAONTA SAHIB

(g) First opening date : 08-MAR-87

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : C/O SHRI J.S FAUJI, VILLAGE AND POST SATAUN

correspondance DISTRICT -SIRMOUR

STATE- HIMACHAL PRADESH

3. (a) Lease Number : HPR0047
(b) Lease area : 18.21
(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 05-NOV-23

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : JAKRA MINES AND MINERALS,

JALAM SINGH FAUJI VPO -SATAUN VIA-PAONTA SAHIB SIRMUR HIMACHAL PRADESH

Phone: FAX:

Owner : JALAM SINGH FAUJI

VILL & PO - SATAUN TEHSHIL - KAMRAO DISTRICT - SIRMOUR SIRMUR HIMACHAL PRADESH Phone: (01704) 2624449

FAX :

Agent : Jalam Singh Fauji

Village- Sataun Post-Sataun Via- Paonta Sahib SIRMUR HIMACHAL PRADESH Phone: (01704) 2624449

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : Ajai Kumar, Part Time

Qualification : B. Sc. (Hons) (Mining), AISM, SCC

Appointment/ : 01-MAR-95

Termination date

Manager

Name : Ajai Kumar

Qualification : B. Sc. (Hons) (Mining), AISM, SCC

Appointment/ : 01-MAY-95

Termination date

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No Proposal was proposed during 2020- 21 | NA | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Proposal was | No exploration was carried out during the year 2021-22 | Violation is observed & being issued |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Nil | Nil | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | As per approved mining plan G1-2.39 Ha G2-1.84 Ha G3-4.68 Ha | As per approved mining plan G1-2.39 Ha G2-1.84 Ha G3-4.68 Ha | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | As on 01.03.2021 Reserves- 0.323755 million tonnes Resources- 3.365638 million tonnes Total- 3.689493 million tonnes | As on 31.03.2022 Reserves- 0.291437 million tonnes Resources-3.365638 million tonnes Total- 3.689493 million tonnes | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | 6 DTH bore hole was proposed during 2021-22. Violation pointed out as per Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 for under exploration as per proposals. |
| Deve | elopment : | | | |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |

| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | Development was proposed towards Eastern flank part of area from1524 mRL to 1518 mRL with height of benches is Three meters | Development work has been carried out at proposed place | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | No such proposals are incorporated | Only Mineral benches developed | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.05 | Mining operation are in mineralised land at present | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposals are incorporated | 2021-22- Nil | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | No such proposals is incorporated | 2021-22- Nil | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Development work was carried out at proposed place but height of the benches observed more than proposed height. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | As per proposal 1(One) pit is proposed for production | Observed as per proposal | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | | Total production during 2021-22 is 32318.1 tonnes. | |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | | ROM is saleable in the market. | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposals are incorporated | No significant mineral reject in this mine is being generated | |

| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
|----|--|---|--|
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | No proposals are incorporated | No segregating was carried out in the mine |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Drilling operation proposed by Jack hammer machine hole dia 100 m depth 6 metre. Blasting operation was proposed by safety fuse and ordinary detonator. | Yes, Deployed as per proposal. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Hydraulic excavation L&T with bucket capacity 0.9 cum using for limestone loading operation | Deployed as per proposal |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Yes, Average Height of benches proposed are 6 m, | Bench height has been observed more than the proposed height |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 1.673 ha | Broadly as per the proposals |

| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.05 | More or less it is 1:0.05 | |
|----|--|-----------|---|---|
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 0.0324 ha | Within the limit of proposal incorporated in the mining plan. | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | | 2018-19- 52146.90 | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | Mining plan was approved on 13-09-2021. During the inspection it was observed that proposed development works has been carried out at the earmarked places. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | No proposals are incorporated | Insignificantly quantity of topsoil & Over burden is generated during year 2021-22 | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | No proposals are incorporated | Insignificantly quantity of topsoil & Over burden is generated during year 2021-22 | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | No proposals are incorporated | No active dump observed within lease area | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | As per proposals dumping was not proposed towards lease area | Not seen during the inspection. | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | None | Not applicable. | |

| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | None | Not applicable. | |
|----|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | None | Not applicable | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | incorporated | Not erected | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Not proposed | Not applicable. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | Insignificant quantity of top soil and overburden is present in this mine. No proposal incorporated for separated dumping of Top Soil and OB |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No proposals for backfilling in year 2021-22 | Area has yet not been matured for backfilling. | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposals are incorporated | Not Applicable | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposals are incorporated | No proposals are incorporated | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No proposals are incorporated | No proposals are incorporated | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Area yet not matured for back filling |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------|----------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Proposed | Submitted | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |

| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Not Proposal | Not applicable | |
|----|---|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | 50 saplings proposed during 21-22 | 55 saplings planted during 2021-22 | |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 50 saplings proposed during 21-22 | 55 saplings planted during 2021-22 | |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Not applicable | Not applicable | |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Monitoring proposed | Monitoring is done | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | PMCP report under 26(2) of MCDR-2017 has been submitted within the stipulated time. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No proposals incorporated, | 95% ROM sailable in the marked | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |

| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | | Grade wise sorting is not carried out at the mine. As learnt shorting is carried out at stockyard. | |
|----|--|----------------|--|---|
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | There is no problem of mineral Conservation at this Mine. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | present in | Nil | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Proposal incorporated | Nil | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not applicable | Not applicable | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No. proposal incorporated | Not applicable | |

| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Baseline study done | During Year 2020-21, 55 sapling plant was planted out of 50 and 2155 Cumulative no. of plants are planted out of 250 sapling | |
|----|--|------------------------|---|--|
| 8g | Survival rate | 60 to 70% | It is around 60% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Sprinkling on | Water Sprinkling on haul road for dust suppression is done occasionally. | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | | Aesthetic beauty is maintained more or less except degradation of land due to mining. More focus is to be given to maintain the aesthetic beauty by planting suitable saplings having good survival rate depending on the existing climatic condition in and around mine site. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Proposal given | All Monthly and Annual Return Submitted. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer is appointed | Mining Engineer is appointed | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | under current working-1.680, Reclaimed | Seen as per information given. | |

| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | | |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | Seen as per information given. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | 32318.1 tonne | Found as per Information given |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | is 346.39 per tonne and Cost of | Found as per Information given |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | - | - |
| 9k | - | - | It is reported the hired tipper are being used |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Rule 1 | NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 22-SEP-22 | 10-NOV-22 | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 27(2) | 22-SEP-22 | 10-NOV-22 | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 33 | 22-SEP-22 | 10-NOV-22 | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 34(1) | 22-SEP-22 | | | |

Date :

(Mukesh Kumar Sharma)

Indian Bureau of Mines