INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/KNL/LST-121/HYD Mine code : 38APR11046

(i) Name of the Inspecting : 160) kalmata.M.K

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Regional Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : M Srinivasulu, Mines Manager.

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 18-MAY-23
(v) Prev.inspection date : 23-DEC-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

(a) Mine Name : PELUKUR

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/668/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

 $\text{(d)} \qquad \text{Type of Working} \qquad \qquad : \quad \text{Opencast} \\$

(e) Postal address

State : ANDHRA PRADESH

District : KURNOOL
Village : PELUKUR
Taluka : BEGANAPALLY
Post office : PELUKUR
Pin Code : 518598

FAX No. : 08516276242

E-mail : seshasaimining@yahoo.com

Phone : 0851627342

(f) Police Station : Banganapalli

(g) First opening date : 10-APR-97

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : Shri B. Rajendranath,

correspondance H.N-10-161, AT/PO- BETHAMCHERLA

DISTRICT KURNOOL

3. (a) Lease Number : APR1272
(b) Lease area : 4.05
(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 09-APR-47

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : B.RAJENDRANATH

B.RAJENDRANATH, H.NO:10/161 BETHAMCHERLA, KURNOOL DT. KURNOOL ANDHRA PRADESH Phone:08516-273023

FAX :

Owner : B.RAJENDRANATH

MINE OWNER, H.NO:10/161 BETHAMCHERLA KURNOOL, AP. KURNOOL ANDHRA PRADESH Phone: 08516-273042

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : Shri. Jeelan, Part Time

Qualification : B.E. Mining. Appointment/ : 02-OCT-17

Termination date

Geologist

Name : Mr.Shridhar M Bhat, Part Time

Qualification : Msc, Geology. Appointment/ : 01-SEP-19

Termination date

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	There was a proposal to drill 08 exploratory boreholes in the year 2022-23.	No such exploration has been carried out by the lessee.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Proposal was given to prove the depth wise continuity of the ore body.	No exploration has been carried out in the year 2022-23.	Entire mineralized area was laterally explored under G-1 level. However, in the year 2022-23, proposal was given to prove depth wise continuity of the ore body.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	None.	None.	No exploration has been carried out in the year 2022- 23.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	None.	None.	Entire mineralized area is explored under G-1 level, however, most of the boreholes were ended in ore only. So know the depth continuity exploration was proposed in the year 2022-23. The said exploration was not carried out by the lessee and violation is poited out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDER 2017.

Balance reserve 1e as on 01/04/20

As per the approved document dated 09.03.2022, details of reserves/reso urces is as follows. (Unit in tonnes, As on 01.01.2022) Proved M Reserves (111) -530101 Feasibility M Resources (211) -139895 Total:-

01.04.2023 (unit in tonnes) Proved M Reserves (111) - 445366 Feasibility M Resources (211) - 139895 Total :-585261

Reserves/resources as on Reserves/resources are updated by depleting 84735 tonnes of ROM production, achieved during the period 1.1.2022 to 31.03.2023

669996

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc The area forms a part of The said Narji Limestone formations of Kurnool group of rocks. The limestone appears to be an altered product of Carbonate rocks. The formation is fine to medium grained in texture. Laterally entire mineralized ares is explored under G-1 level by drilling 02 boreholes and exploratory trial pits. Further, in the year 2022-23 proposed 08 boreholes to know the continuity of the ore body.

exploration was not carried out by the lessee and violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Development :

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area		It was observed that working was carried out between the co-ordinates N 1705550 to N 1705635 & E 205350 to E 205440. Further it appears in the Eastern side (between BP-D & E) working has been extended in the 7.5mtr safety zone also.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Benches were proposed in ore only.	Only ore benches were observed.	Topsoil is removed completely without forming the bench.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0	1:0	No OB handling was proposed in the year 2022-23.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	150 Cum	250 Cum	Working has been extended in the 7.5 mtr safety zone also . so topsoil is removed more than the proposal. Violation is pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017, for extended working up to 7.5 mts safety zone.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	None.	None.	No OB handling was proposed in the year 2022-23.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc		The Entire area is mineralized & ore is fine to medium grined in nature. No OB was proposed and handled in the year 2022-23. However, working has been not carried out as per the proposal and Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.	

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One.	One.	

3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	1,00,000 tonnes in the year 2022-23.	49710 tonnes in the year 2022-23.	Production is achieved nearly 50% only. Violation is pointedout for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	100%	100%.	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	None.	None.	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	None.	None.	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	None.	None.	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	None.	None.	
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Proposed drilling and blasting for production and development.	Not carried out drilling and blasting in the year 2022-23.	The material is fine to medium grined in texture and that can be esily removed by excavaotor. so no drilling and blasting was carried out in the year 2022-23.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Proposed combination of excavator and tipper for production and development.	using excavtor & tippers as per the proposal.	

31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Proposed 3.00 mtr bench height.	Maintained bench height 2.5 to 3.00 mtrs.	The material is fine to medium grined in nature and proposed bench height is suitable to the nature of the deposit.
3 m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	3.270Ha up to end of financial year 2022-23.	Approximately 3.10 Ha.	Lessee has not updated plan and sections, so unable to calculate exact area under pits. Violation is pointed out for not updatingplan and sections under Rule 31(4) of MCDR 2017.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:0	1:0	No OB handling is proposed in the year 2022-23.
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Area under mining-3.27Ha, Waste dump-0.270Ha, Mineral storage:-0.06Ha.	Area under mining- 3.25Ha, waste dump- 0.27Ha, Mineral storage:- 0.08Ha.	Waste dump and mineral storages are covered in the Pit area of 3.25Ha, However, since lessee has not submitted updated plan and section, unable to calculate eaxct area of utilization under different heads.
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2022-23 100000 tonnes 2021-22 100000 tonnes 2020-21 100000 tonnes 2019-20 100000 tonnes 2018-19 100000 tonnes	2022-23 49710 tonnes 2021-22 96450 tonnes 2020-21 13390 tonnes 2019-20 16980 tonnes 2018-19 18740 tonnes	The last document was approved for the period 2022-23 to 2026-27. In the year 2022-23 production is achived only 50% and violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.

The formation is fine to medium grained in texture, so material was removed by using excators without drilling and blasting. Bench height was almost maintained 2.5 mtr to 3.00 mtrs height which is as per the proposal and suitable to the nature of the deposit. Further, in the year 2022-23 production is achieved only 50% of the proposal and violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	None in the year 2022-23	About 200 tonnes of topsoil was temprorily stocked in the northern part of the lease area.	Four old waste dumps were observed in the northern part of the lease area.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	None in the year 2022-23.	About 200 tonnes of topsoil was temprorily stocked in the northern part of the lease area.	Four old waste dumps were existing in the northern side of the lease.
4 C	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	None in the year 2022-23	None.	Four old waste dumps are existing within the lease area.
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	None.	None.	Old dumps are existing within the UPL.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	None.	None.	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	None in the year 2022-23.	None in the year 2022-23.	Four inactive old waste dumps are existing in the Northern side of the lease area.
4g	Number of dumps established.	None.	None.	None.

4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	None.	None.	Old dumps are existing within the pit only. So no retaing walls were proposed and made all along the toe of the waste dump.
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	None.	None.	None.
4j	Number of settling ponds	None.	None.	None.
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management		No dumping was made in the year 2022-23. However, four old inactive waste dumps are existing in the northern side of the lease area. The dumps are existing within the UPL. The dumps are not huge and existing within the pit, so no retaining walls were proposed and made all along the toe of the dump.	

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	is proposed in	No backfilling is made in the present plan period.	Mineral is not extracted up to the proved depth, so, no backfilling was proposed and made in the present plan period.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	None in the year 2022-23.	None in the year 2022-23.	Previously about 0.06Ha area in the 7.5 mtr safety zone is backfilled (Norther western part of the lease area.
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	None.	None.	

5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	None.	None.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		Mineral is not extracted upto the proved depth and no area is matured for backfilling, so no backfilling was proposed and made in the present plan period. However, previously about 0.06Ha area in the 7.5 mtr safety zone is backfilled (Norther western part of the lease area).

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Before 1st July of every year.	Not submitted yearly report on PMCP for the year 2021-22.	Violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	None.	None.	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	0.11Ha.	0.13Ha.	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year		95 saplings were planted in the year 2022-23.	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	1 2	Plantation made in the year 2022-23 is 95.	Total plantation made so far is approximately 500.
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	None.	None.	
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	None.	25000 approximately.	

6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	None.	None.	Previously 60m x 10m x 3.0 m area is backfilled in the north westrn part of the lease area.
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	None.	None.	Previously 7.5 mtr safety zone is backfilled by using waste rock.
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area	None.	None.	
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	None.	None.	
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means.	None.	None.	
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	None.	None.	Plantation was made in the 7.5 mtr safety zone.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)	None.	None.	
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation	None.	None.	

6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Air, water and	Lessee has carried out environmental monitoring in the month of January 2023 for Air, Water and Noise and all the parameters are found within permissible limit.	Monitoring was carried out by MSV Analytical Laboratories, Ballari.
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.		Only plantation was proposed in the year 2022-23, lessee has planted 95 saplings in the year 2022-23 against the proposal of 50. Carried out Mointoring of AIr, Water and Noise in the month of Jan-2023 and results are within permisible limits. Lessee has not submitted yearly report on PMCP for the year 2021-22, violation is pointed out for the same under Rule 26(2) of MCDR 2017.	

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Proposed dispatch of Cement grade ore.	Lessee is dispatching Cement grade ore.	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	None.	None.	Lessee is dispatching cement grade ore by blending.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Cement Grade.	Cement Grade.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	None.	None.	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues		Lessee is using Entire ROM as cement grade by blending and recovery is 100%. No beneficiation is required for upgradation of the ore.	

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a Separate removand utilization of topsoil (Ru 32)		Yes, proposed.	Topsoil is removed separately and utilized for plantaion work.	Approximately 500 tonnes of topsoil was removed in the year 2022-23. Out of 500 tonnes approximately 300 tonnes utilized for plantation work and remaining stored in the temprory stock yard for future use.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Concurrent use.	Both concurrent use & Storage.	Approximately 500 tonnes of topsoil was removed in the year 2022-23. Out of 500 tonnes approximately 300 tonnes utilized for plantation work and remaining stored in the temprory stock yard for future use.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	None.	None.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	None.	None.	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	None.	None.	Earlier, in North western part of the lease area some are is temproraily back filled in the 7.5 mtr safety zone.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)		Yes provided, lessee has planted about 500 saplings within the lease area.	

8g	Survival rate	Not mentioned.	40 to 50%.	Lessee has advised to take care of the plantation by engaging manpower.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust		Lessee is using hired water tanker for supression of dust when required.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area		The benches were maintained systematically. retaining wall is constructed all along the lease boundary. Plantation was made in the 7.5 mtr safety zone and in the office premises. During inspection it was observed that survival rate was less, so lessee has advised to engage manpower for taking care of the plantation.	

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	returns shall be submitted	Lessee is regularly submitting monthy and annual returns within the time limit.	Submitted Monthly returns up to April 2023 and annual returns 2021-22.

9b Scrutiny of The details furnished in However, both As per the Annual return annual returns the Annual returns are mining engineer and Geologist were for information submitted for found correct. the year 2021not present during on Mining 22, details of the inspection. Engineer, Geologist and Mining Enginer & Geologist is Manager as follows. Mining Engineer:-Shri S.Jilan and Geologist:-Shri Sreedhar Bhat. Manager: - Shri M.Sreenivasulu 9c Scrutiny of Area under Area under mining-The information Annual return on Mining-3.49Ha, 3.25Ha, waste dumpfurnished in the land use pattern Other 0.27Ha, Mineral AR is almost for area under storage:- 0.08Ha. correct, since the purpose: pits, reclaimed 0.55Ha, dumps are existing area, dumps etc. Infrastructure within the pit -0.01Ha. only, about 0.55ha is shown as other. Howver, lessee has advised to show the land use patterns properly as per the actual utilization. 9d Scrutiny of 110 plants Information is found Annual return on with survival correct. afforestation rate of 40%. None. 90 Scrutiny of None. Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Scrutiny of ROM: - Opening In the Annual returns Show-cause notice is pointed out for Annual return on and closing submitted for the year ROM stock and/or stock-Nil and 2021-22, Closing stock the same under graded ore production is shown as Zero for Rule 45(7) of MCDR 96450 T. Grade ROM. However, in the 2017. Monthly returns wise production and submitted for the Month dispatch:of April 2022, Opening Cement Grade: - stock for ROM is Opening stcok- mentioned as 5740 tonnes. 2290T, Procuction 96450T, Dispatch-

93000T and closing stock:-5740T.

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	production:- Rs 295/T , Sale value and		
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		furnished is found	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries		Information is found correct.	Additionally lessee isusing hired excavator for production and development and same is not shown in the returns.

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violation observed				Show couse position	
Rule 1	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	24-MAY-23			
MCDR17	Rule 26(2)	24-MAY-23			
MCDR17	Rule 31(4)	24-MAY-23			

Date : (kalmata.M.K)

Indian Bureau of Mines