INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

| | Mine | file No : MP/DHAR/LIME | ST | ONE/64 Mine code : 38MPR12079 |
|----|--|--|-----|--|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | NQ08) KATHROJU NAVEEN |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Shri V.K Chankendey DGM(Mines), Shri Siyaram Mishra (|
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 14-FEB-23 |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | |
| | | PAI | ۲T- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | BADIYA LIMESTONE MINE (4. |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/15003/2013 |
| | (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest | | BADIYA GANDHWANI JEERABAD 454446 mines@satgurucement.in 09425064204, 0731-4093290 |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | 601/1, AIREN HEIGHTS, PU-3, OPPOSITE C-21 MALL, A B ROAD, INDORE - 452010 |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | :: | |
| 4. | Mine | ral worked | : | LIMESTONE Main |

- 5. Name and Address of the
 Lessee : SATGURU CEMENT PVT. LTD.
 601/1, AIREN HEIGHTS, PU-3
 SCHEME NO.54 A.B.ROAD,
 INDORE 452010,MP. DHAR
 MADHYA PRADESH
 Phone:NA
 FAX :NA
- 6. Date of approval of Mining : Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Not proposed | Nil | nil |
| lb | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| lc | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not proposed. | NA | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Area explored under G-2= 4.99ha level of exploration | Area explored under G-2= 4.99ha level of exploration | |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | 4,43,136 T | 4,53,050 T | As per the approved mining plan the reserves available at the mine are 4,63,500 T out of which it is proposed to produce 20,364 T till 01.04.2022 against which 10,450 Tproduced till 01.04.2022. |

| Development | : |
|-------------|---|
|-------------|---|

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------|---------------------|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | work at the | as per the proposal | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Not proposed | Nil | |

| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Not proposed | Nil | |
|----|--|--------------|-----|---|
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | No benches are proposed in Top soil or in OB. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | One | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | proposed 10,464 T production | Achieved 10,450 T prodution. | |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not proposed | Nil | |

location

3h Manual / Not proposed Nil Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM 3i Any analysis or Not proposed Nil beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. 3j Provision of yes proposed As per the proposal drilling and blasting in mineral benches 3k Provision of Yes proposed As per the proposal mining machineries in mineral benches 31 Whether height yes . yes of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM 3m Total area 0.0825 Ha 0.0825 ha Working is covered under proposed within excavation/pits already existing pit having an area 2.60 ha . In this 2.60 ha area pit about 0.0825 area is deepen by producing limestone There is no 3n Ore to OB ratio Not proposed Nil for the pit/mine overburden in during the year. proposed working

| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Area under Mining -2.60 ha Road-0.05 ha Infrastructure -0.045 Mineral storage- 0.1 ha Other 0.009 ha | Area under Mining -2.60 ha Road-0.05 ha Infrastructure-0.00 ha Mineral storage- 0.00 ha Other 0.025 ha | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | | 2018-19NA | |
| Зq | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | | 10,450T of production exploited against the proposed 10,464 T. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------|-------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Not proposed. | Nil | |

| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not proposed. | Nil | |
|-----|--|---------------|-----|---|
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 4 k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | | There is No topsoil, OB or Mineral rejet generation in the lease area subsequent to that neighter the waste dumping proposal nor the achievement observed in the subject mine. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Not proposed. | NI | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not proposed. | Nil | |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Not proposed. | | Nil |
|----|--|---------------|-----|--|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | | Mineral has bot been extracted completely to initiate the reclamation and rehabilitation activities. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted | Submitted. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | proposed 0.045 ha during the five yearsproposal period | 0.025 ha | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 20 Saplings. | 50 Saplings. | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | 20 | 50 | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not proposed. | Rs.2500/- | |

6h Not proposed. Nil Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx ВхD 6i Compliance on Not proposed. Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on Not proposed. Nil reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on Not proposed. Nil Pits has not been reclamation and extracted rehabilitation completely. by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir Compliance on Not proposed. Nil 61 reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. 6m Compliance of 20 saplings 20 saplings rehabilitation proposed in of waste land the barrier within lease zone. (i)afforestation 6n Compliance of 0.045 ha arae 0.025 ha afforestrated rehabilitation during the during the RY. of waste land proposal within lease period (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)

| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Pantation | Plantation | |
|----|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Monitoring of corezone and | Environment has been moniroring in the respective zones. | |
| бq | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | Minera hs not exhausted to initiate the reclamation and rehabilitation activities. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------|---|---|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM disptch is proposed | ROM dispatching to captive plant is reported. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | ROM dispatch is found in the said mien no grade wise sorting is found. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------------------|---|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Not proposed. | NA | Top soil not available in the mine |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not proposed. | NA | Top soil not avaialble for concurrent use or for storage. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Not proposed. | Nil | No Over Burden or Mineral reject found in the said mine |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not proposed. | Nil | No Over Burden or Mineral reject found in the said mine |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not proposed. | Nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 20 Saplings proposed. | 20 saplings found. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 80% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | yes proposed | water sprinkling not found in the said mine as the mine is temporarily discontinued after achievig the targetted production. | |

8i General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area No top soil/ Mineral reject or waste generation found in the mine

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Annual return and Monthy return submitted online. | | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer in charge GOPAL REDDY Geologist in charge SIYARAM MIRSHRA | Mining Engineer in charge Shri V.K Chakundey Geologist in charge Shri. SIYARAM MIRSHRA | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Workings 2.600 ha Reclaimed/Reha | | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Number of trees planted during the year 50 | 50 Saplings planted | |

| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Not furnished | Mineral reject generation not found in the mine. |
|----|--|--|--|
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | No stock observe on the date of inspection. |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | | As the mineral is being produced for the captive consumption Production cost and Ex mine price are same. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Value of Fixed Assets (in) Rs.10,42,000 /- | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | | As the mine is temorarily discontinued no machinery were found in the said mine |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Violation observed | | | Sho | ow couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO | . Issued on Compliance on | | |

Date :

(KATHROJU NAVEEN)

Indian Bureau of Mines