INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

	Mine	file No : MP/DHAR/LIM	EST	DNE/65 Mine code : 38MPR12080
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	;	NQ08) KATHROJU NAVEEN
	(ii)	Designation	:	Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Shri V.K Chankundey, DGM Mines, Shri Siyaram Mishra G
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	18-FEB-23
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	
		PA	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	RODADA LIMESTONE MINE (13
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/15003/2013
	(g) (h)	Weekly day of rest		0731-4075937, 4093290 12-APR-21 SUN
2.		ess for espondance		601/1, AIREN HEIGHTS, PU-3, OPPOSITE C-21 MALL, A B ROAD, INDORE - 452001
3.	(c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	::	
4.	Mine	ral worked	:	LIMESTONE Main

- 5. Name and Address of the
 Lessee : SATGURU CEMENT PVT. LTD.
 601/1, AIREN HEIGHTS, PU-3
 SCHEME NO.54 A.B.ROAD,
 INDORE 452010,MP. DHAR
 MADHYA PRADESH
 Phone:NA
 FAX :NA
- 6. Date of approval of Mining : Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	Not proposed	NIL	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Not proposed	exploration proposed in 2022-23 entire area has explored under G1 category by drilling 8 new boreholes.	
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not Proposed	During 2022-23 8 boreholes are drilled throghUnique Geo Tech drillers agency which incurred Rs.3,50,814/	08 new boreholes were proposed in 2022-2023 to bring entire area into G1 category. Drilling started on 03/08/2022 and completed on 12/09/2022.
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Area explored under G-1=9.102 ha G2=4.048 ha level of exploration	Entire area has explored under G1 by completing the 8 propose dril	1
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	33,23,479 T	33,93,435 T	As per the last approved document total available reserves are 34,23,485T out of which 30,050 T were depleted against the proposed 1,00,006T.thus the balance reserves as on 33,18,449 T.

PAGE : 4

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc As per the last approved document total available reserves are 34,23,485T out of which 30,050 T were depleted against the proposed 1,00,006T.thus the balance reserves as on 33,18,449 T. Lease area has explored in G1 level.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	N2480270 to N2480380 E507070 to E507125	Not upto the proposal due to EC issue	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Not proposed	Not Applicable	

2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc There is no top soil and overburden in proposed working location. These is only mineral bench (Limestone bench) Development is not upto the proposal due to EC issue. There is only mineral bench (Limestone bench) Development is not upto the proposal due to EC issue.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One	One	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Proposed 100006 Tones of Limetone production.	30050T of limestone exploited during the RY.	CTO obtained on 09/02/2022 hence very less production reported duing the proposed year.
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%	100%	There is no any waste generation repoprtedly observed.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Not proposed	Not Applicable	reportedly,There is no mineral reject generation in the mine.
3е	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Not proposed	Not Applicable	reportedly,There is no mineral reject generation in the mine.
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Not proposed	Not Applicable	Subgrade Mineral generation not fond in the mine.
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	Not proposed	Not Applicable	Subgrade Mineral generation not fond in the mine.

3h Manual / Not proposed Not Applicable There is no any Mechanised segregation from ROM, produced method adopted for segregating limestone is being from ROM dispached as ROM to the Captive plant for cement manufacturing 3i Any analysis or Not proposed Not Applicable beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. Provision of Зj 114mm dia Rock breaker is being drill hole of deployed as the human drilling and 6m depth are blasting in settlemet lies within mineral benches proposed with the lease and proximity blasting. of blasting zone. 3k Provision of Hydraulic As perth proposal but Excavators and the quantity of handling mining machineries in dumpers is very less due to mineral benches proposed. deployement of breaker in place of Drilling and blasting. 31 Whether height 5-6m bench yes as per the proposal. of benches in height is overburden and proposed . mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM 0.8806 ha Зm Total area 0.2003 ha covered under excavation/pits Ore to OB ratio Not proposed Not Applicable 3n for the pit/mine during the year. Total area put Area under Area under Mining0.2003 30 in use under Mining ha different heads 0.8806 ha Roads....0.07 ha at the end of Road-0.07 ha Infracture...0.07 ha year Infrastructure Mineral Storage ...0.00 -0.08 ha Mineral Others ...0.020 ha storage- 0.1 ha Other 0.018 ha

the mine.

3p Production of 2017-18 NA POM minoral 2018-19 NA 2017-18...NA ROM mineral 2018-19 NA 2018-19....NA during the last 2019-20 NA 2019-20...NA five year period 2020-21 5030T 2020-21....0T as applicable 2021-22 2021-22....30,050T 100006T 2022-23 ...1,79,085 T 2022-23 1,90,023T During 2021-22 3q General remarks of inspecting very less quantity officers on was extracted method of mining against the etc. proposed. recoevry proposed as 90% but no such 10% leftover material found in

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	Not proposed	Nil	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Not proposed	Not applicable	
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	Not proposed	Nil	
4f	Number of dead dumps.	Not proposed	Nil	
4g	Number of dumps established.	Not proposed	Nil	

Waste genration not found in the mines hence the dump details not

available.

4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Not	proposed	Not	applicable
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Not	proposed	Nil	
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not	proposed	Nil	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management				

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Not proposed	Nil	Not completely exctracte dto propose the backfilling
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not proposed	Nil	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			The proposed area of woking has not been fully extracted to start backfilling.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes to be submitted.	submitted.	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	0.009 ha	0.020 ha	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	10 plant sproposed during the Reporting Year.	40 plants planted along the lease boundary.	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	10	40	
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	200m fencing was proposed along the lease Boundary.	200m fencing was errected along the lease Boundary.	
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Rs.10,000/- proposed for plantaion during the year.	Rs 181156/- spent on watch and care as reported	
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Not proposed	Not Applicable	

Not proposed Not Applicable бj Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on Not proposed Not Applicable reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on Not proposed Not Applicable reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. Compliance of 10 planted 40 plants planted duing 6m rehabilitation proposed. the RY. of waste land within lease (i) afforestation 0.009 ha Compliance of 0.020 ha 6n rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) Plantation 60 Compliance of Plantation rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation 6p Compliance of Proposed Monitoring the environmental environmenmtal environmental parameters monitoring (core monoitoring. in copre zone and buffer zone and buffer zone. zone)

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. 40 saplings were planted during the year and 200m fencing laid along the lease boundary.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	proposed 100006 Tones of ROM limestone for captive consumption.	Exploited 30050 Tones of RO	Grade wise sorting not proposed as the produced ROM is being consumed at the captice cemnet plant.
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			The produced ROM is being consumed at the captive cement manufacturing plant no grade wise sorting or seperate handling proposed.

- Environment:
- Sl.No. Item

Propasals

Actual work

Remarks

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not proposed	No concurrent use found	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	proposed phased restoration.	working has not been completely extrated the pit for phased restoration	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	10 plant with survival rate of 80%	as per the Annual return submitted 40 saplings planted within the lease with survuvalrate of 43% and outside the lesase 20 saplings planted with survival rate of 40%. 43% & 40%	
8g	Survival rate	80%	43% & 40%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling for	As er the proposal.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			No overburden or top soil avaialbe in the mine.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Comp	liance of Rule	45:		
Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns		Monthly return submitted on time Annual return submitted on time	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer A Gopal Reddy Geologist Siyaram Mishra	Mining Engineer Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh Geologist Siyaram Mishra	Shri.Manoj kumar singh appointed as mining engineer inplace of A Gopal Reddy on 10/11/2022.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Workings 0.200. Occupied by		
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Number of trees planted during the year within the lease 40 and outside the lease 20	Plantation observed lalong the lease boundary.	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Nil	No mineral reject found	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore			
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Production cost given as 303.31	Exmine price and production cost are 303.31	
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Fixed asset Rs.1042000/- reprted in AR.	Given	

9k Scrutiny of Air Compressor Shovel …1 Annual return on …1 Tipper ….3 mining Shovel …1 machineries Tipper ….3

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Violation	n observed	Show couse position			
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		
MCDR17 Rule 11(1)	08-MAY-23				

Date :

(KATHROJU NAVEEN)

Indian Bureau of Mines