# **INSPECTION DATASHEET**

## <u>General</u>

| S.N. | Particulars  | Details  |
|------|--|--|
| 1    | Name of the Mine   | Amehta Limestone Mine 10.01 Hect   |
|      | Date of Inspection:  | 28-06-2023   |
|      | Name of Inspecting Officer   | Shri Abhishek Ranjan Gautam (Assistant   |
| 2    | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of non-forest and   | Mining Engineer)  Forest Land – 0.00 Hect  |
| _    | forest land  | Non Forest Land - 10.01 Hect   |
| 3    | Mine code  | 38MPR47050   |
| 4    | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR,1988   | IBM/749/2011   |
| 5    | Last Inspection Details  | Inspecting officer – Shri Ibrahim Sharief Date of Inspection – 19/05/2022 Violation Point out – 11(1) of MCDR 2017 26(2) of MCDR 2017 31(4) of MCDR 2017 45(7) of MCDR 2017 55(1) of MCDR 2017 VL Compliance date – 21/09/2022 |
| 6    | Mine official present during the inspection  | Shri Manoj Kumar Roy, Mining Engineer  |
| 7    | Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, email and fax number  | Smt. Sumitra Grover Address- B.D. Agrawal Ward No 25, Civil Line Katni (M.P.) -483501 Email- ranjan_grover4@yahoo.com Mob No- 97524-88888,   |
| 8    | Village  | Amehta   |
| 9    | Taluka/Mandal  | Vijayraghavgarh  |
| 10   | District   | Katni  |
| 11   | Pin code   | 483775   |
| 12   | State  | M.P.   |
| 13   | Post Office  | Kahangaon  |
| 14   | Nearest Police Station   | Vijayraghavgarh  |
| 15   | Nearest Railway Station  | Jhukehi  |
| 16   | Date of Grant of Mining Lease  | 06-10-1986   |
| 17   | Date of Execution  | 03-01-1987   |
| 18   | Date of opening of Mine  | 03-01-1987   |
| 19   | Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry   | 03-01-2007 to 02-01-2027 (20 Years)  |
| 20   | Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry  | 03-01-2027 to 02-01-2037 (10 year)   |
| 21   | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining  | -  |
| 22   | Operations are continuing under deemed extension  Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Smt. Sumitra Grover Address- B.D. Agrawal Ward No 25, Civil Line Katni (M.P.) -483501 Email- ranjan grover4@yahoo.com Mob No- 97524-88888,   |
| 23   | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment  | -  |
| 24   | Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone,  | Shri Manoj Kumar Roy   |
| 25   | email, fax number and date of appointment in mines   | Chri Manoi Kumar Dou   |
| 25   | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with address, phone, email, fax number                                       | Shri Manoj Kumar Roy<br>Address- Katni (M.P.) -483501  |
|      | and date of appointment in the mine  | Email- roymanoj8@gmail.com   |
|      |  | Mob No- 6200082537   |
| 26   | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointment in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44.              | Shri Arvind Kumar Tamrakar   |
| 27   | Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine Plan with five- year period and specific condition in  | MP/Katni/Limestone/RMP-23-/2022-23-<br>2327 DTED 05-08-2022  |

## 1/14123/2023

|    | approval letter, if any.   |   |
|----|--|---|
| 28 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five- year period and specific | MP/Katni/Limestone/RMP-23-/2022-23-<br>2327 DTED 05-08-2022 |
|    | condition in approval letter, if any.  |   |
| 29 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining  | Limestone   |
| 30 | Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)   | Opencast  |
| 31 | Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual)  | Mechanized  |
| 32 | Captive/Non-Captive  | Non-Captive   |

## **Exploration**

| S.N.       | Item                                       | Proposals   | Actual Work                  | Remarks        |  |  |
|------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 1a         | Backlog of previous year                   | 5 Boreholes                                       | Nil                          | Violation      |  |  |
|            |  |   |                              | Pointed Out    |  |  |
| 1b         | Exploration over lease area for Geological | Lease area  | Lease area explored          | Violation      |  |  |
|            | axis 1 or 2.                               | explored up                                       | up to G2 level               | Pointed Out    |  |  |
|            |  | to G2 level                                       |                              |                |  |  |
| 1c         | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh   | Not   | Not applicable               |                |  |  |
|            | Rupees during the year                     | proposed  |                              |                |  |  |
| 1d         | Balance area to be explored to bring       | Entire  | Entire mineralised           |                |  |  |
|            | Geological axis in 1 or 2.                 | mineralised                                       | area has been                |                |  |  |
|            |  | area to be  | explored in G2 and G3        |                |  |  |
|            |  | explored in                                       | level                        |                |  |  |
|            |  | G1 level,   | G2 : 8.63 ha                 |                |  |  |
|            |  |   | G3 : 1.38 Ha,                |                |  |  |
| 1e         | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2023          | 2360558   | 2360558 tons                 |                |  |  |
|            |  | tons  |                              |                |  |  |
| <b>1</b> f | General remarks of Inspecting officer on   |   | from a part of the Semri     | •              |  |  |
|            | geology, exploration etc.                  | comprises rocks belonging to Rohtas formation of  |                              |                |  |  |
|            |  | -   | n system consists limesto    |                |  |  |
|            |  |   | , fine to Medium Grained     |                |  |  |
|            |  |   | estone is soft and stratifie |                |  |  |
|            |  |   | edded with intercalations    | -              |  |  |
|            |  | material and inter bedded with shale. The general |                              |                |  |  |
|            | tone is ENE- WSW and the                   |   |                              |                |  |  |
|            |  | l -   | to 45° with average dip of   | of 250 towards |  |  |
|            |  | North westerly                                    | /.                           |                |  |  |

### **Development**

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals  | Actual Work  | Remarks     |
|------|--|--|--|-------------|
| 2a   | Location of Development w.r.t. lease area  | North-East   | North-East   |             |
| 2b   | Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15)                           | Yes seperate bench for top soil, overburden and mineral has to be maintained separately for systematic mining. | Yes seperate bench for top soil, overburden and mineral had been maintained for systematic mining. |             |
| 2c   | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio   | 1:0.12   | 1:0.12   |             |
| 2d   | Quantity of topsoil generation in m <sup>3</sup>                                       | 12218 tons   | 13100 tons   |             |
| 2e   | Quantity of overburden generation in m <sup>3</sup>                                    | NIL  | NIL  |             |
| 2f   | General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc. | Bench height and width is f<br>Development done as per p   | · ·  | r proposal. |
|      |  | Evaloitation   |  |             |

#### **Exploitation**

| S.N. |        |    | Item |          |     | Proposals           | Actual Work   | Remarks |
|------|--------|----|------|----------|-----|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| 3a   | Number | of | pits | proposed | for | One pit is proposed | Production is |         |

### 1/14123/2023

|    | production   | for pro                            | duction            | being carried out                |              |
|----|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
|    |  |                                    |                    | from One Pit                     |              |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (2022-23)  | 999                                | 98 MT              | 89350 MT                         |              |
| 3c | Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production   | 9999                               | 98 MT              | 89350 MT                         |              |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation  | 1                                  | NIL                | NIL                              |              |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared                                      | 1                                  | NIL                | NIL                              |              |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation   | 1                                  | NIL                | NIL                              |              |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation  | 1                                  | NIL                | NIL                              |              |
| 3h | Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM  |                                    | nanized            | Mechanized                       |              |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject          | 1                                  | NIL                | NIL                              |              |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches  | ١                                  | 'es                | Yes                              |              |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches   |                                    | 200 (1.2<br>210 HP | L & T PC 200 (1.2<br>CUM) 210 HP |              |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM |                                    | 6                  | 6                                |              |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation /pits  | 4.                                 | 675                | 4.675                            |              |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year   |                                    | -                  | -                                |              |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year                                       |                                    | 015                | 9.015                            |              |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-years period, as Applicable                               |                                    | 99900              | 99800                            |              |
|    |  | 2019-20                            | 99960              | 99170                            |              |
|    |  | 2020-21                            | 99960              | 99750.89                         |              |
|    |  | 2021-22                            | 100200             | 99900                            |              |
|    |  | 2022-23                            | 99998              | 89350                            |              |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer  | Fully med                          | hanised met        | thod of mining deplo             | ying HEMM is |
|    | on method of mining etc  | _                                  |                    | y maintaining bench              | height of 6  |
|    |  | meters and width more than height. |                    |                                  |              |

# **Solid Waste Management-Dumping**

| S.N. | Item                                      | Proposals      | Actual Work        | Remarks        |
|------|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 4a   | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral | Only waste/    | OB dump is         |                |
|      | reject (Rule 32,33)                       | OB dump is     | maintained.        |                |
|      |   | maintained as  |                    |                |
|      |   | there is no    |                    |                |
|      |   | proposal of    |                    |                |
|      |   | mineral reject |                    |                |
|      |   | generation.    |                    |                |
|      |   | TOp soil       |                    |                |
|      |   | generation is  |                    |                |
|      |   | very small and |                    |                |
|      |   | being utilised |                    |                |
|      |   | for plantation |                    |                |
| 4b   | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject  | 7 no. of OB    | Dumping is carried | Dump-1         |
|      | dumps                                     | dumps within   | out in earmarked   | N2655660-      |
|      |   | lease area     | place.             | 2655730, E-    |
|      |   |                |                    | 453349- E-     |
|      |   |                |                    | 453416, Dump-  |
|      |   |                |                    | 2 -N 2655575 - |
|      |   |                |                    | N 2655660      |

|    |   |   |                                   | E 453382 - E 453430, Dump-3- N 26555482 - N 2655553, E 453454 - E 453483, Dump-4: N 2655292 - N 2655406, E 453267 - E 453507, Dump-5 N 2655495 E 453197 - E 453288, Dump-6-N 265554 E 453238 - E 453296, Dump-7- N 2655544 - N 2655578 E 453315 - E 453345 |
|----|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 4c | Number of Dumps within lease area and outside lease area          | 7no. of dumps<br>within lease<br>area                 | 7 no. of dumps within lease area. |  |
| 4d | Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)             | Dumps are<br>found within<br>UPL                      | Dumps are found<br>within UPL     | as these are<br>temporary<br>dumps   |
| 4e | Number of Active & Alive dumps                                    | 5 Dumps are<br>Active                                 | 5 Dumps are Active                | -  |
| 4f | Number of deed dumps  | 2 dumps are non active                                | 2 dumps are non active            |  |
| 4g | Number of dumps established                                       | No such proposal to establish the dumps               | Nil                               | -  |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | No such<br>proposal of<br>constructing<br>retain wall | Nil                               | Retaining wall is found along bottom periphery of waste dump   |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump          | 200 METER   | 200 METER                         | ·  |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds  | No proposal of creating settling pond during the year | Not done                          |  |
| 4k | Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management  | Waste dump is temporary in na                         | being carried out ei<br>ture.     | thin UPL and are   |

# Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals      | Actual Work  | Remarks     |
|------|--|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| 5a   | Status on part or full extraction of mineral | Entire mineral | No area is   | Violation   |
|      | from mined out area before starting          | to be excavate | backfilled   | pointed out |
|      | backfilling                                  | before         | during the   | under rule  |
|      |  | backfilling.   | year 2022-23 | 11(1)       |
| 5b   | Area under backfilling of mined out area     | Barrier zone   | Not done     | Violation   |
|      |  | near pillar no |              | pointed out |
|      |  | 9 to 11        |              | under rule  |
|      |  |                |              | 11(1)       |
| 5c   | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or | Used for       | Nil          |             |

# MCDR-MiFLoLST/24/2023-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

## 1/14123/2023

|    | rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | plantation in   |                |            |     |
|----|--|-----------------|----------------|------------|-----|
|    |  | backfilled area |                |            |     |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | No proposal of  | Nil during the |            |     |
|    |  | reclamation     | year           |            |     |
|    |  | and             |                |            |     |
|    |  | rehabilitation  |                |            |     |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on   | No area is      | matured for r  | eclamation | and |
|    | backfilling, reclamation etc               | rehabilitation  |                |            |     |

# **Progressive Mine Closer Plan**

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals  | Actual Work   | Remarks |
|------|--|--|---|---------|
| 6a   | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 23E(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20   | Annual report<br>on PMCP to be<br>submitted on<br>time as per<br>statute | YES   |         |
| 6b   | Management of worked/mined out benches   |  |   |         |
|      | i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)  | Nil  | Nil   |         |
|      | ii) Afforestation done (ha)  | No proposal of plantation in worked out benches                          | Not applicable  |         |
|      | iii) No.of saplings planted during the year  | No such<br>proposal  | Nil   |         |
|      | iv) Cumulative no. of plants   |  | 300   |         |
|      | v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation   |  |   |         |
|      | vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year  | No proposal  | Nil   |         |
| 6c   | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling  i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D)  ii) Void filled by waste/tailings  iii) Afforestation on the backfilling  iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir  v) Any other specific means | No voids<br>available for<br>backfilling                                 | Nil   |         |
| 6d   | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitation iii) Method of rehabilitation   | Not proposed   | Nil   |         |
| 6e   | Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)   | Quarterly<br>monitoring of<br>Air, water, Noise<br>to be carried out     | Quarterly monitoring of Air, water, Noise is being carried out regularly. |         |

# MCDR-MiFLoLST/24/2023-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

### 1/14123/2023

| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on | No area is mature for reclamation and rehabilitation |
|----|--|--|
|    | PMCP compliance & progressive closer     | hence no backfilling is carried out.                 |
|    | operations                               |  |
|    |  |  |

## **Mineral Conservation**

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals  | Actual Work  | Remarks |
|------|--|--|--|---------|
| 7a   | ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area                         | ROM ore produced shall be dispatched   | 89377 Tonnes<br>of Limestone<br>dispatch<br>during the<br>year |         |
| 7b   | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical                       | Mechanised<br>method to be<br>adopted for<br>sorting of ore                        | Mechanised method for sorting of ore is adopted.               |         |
| 7c   | Different grade of mineral sort out at mine  | Cement grade   | Cement grade   |         |
| 7d   | Any beneficiation process at mines   | No such proposal of beneficiation study is made during the year                    | No study<br>carried out<br>durng the year                      |         |
| 7e   | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | Low grade ore is being blended with High grade ore for optimum utilisation of ore. |  |         |

## $\underline{\textbf{Environment}}$

| S.N. | Item  | Proposals   | Actual Work   | Remarks |
|------|---|---|---|---------|
| 8a   | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)  | There is proposal of seperate removal of Top Soil so as to utilise for plantation | Top Soil is being excavated separately and store for it's use for plantation. |         |
| 8b   | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil  | To be used for plantation   | Top soil is being used for plantation   |         |
| 8c   | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)                                       | As Per<br>Proposal  | As Per Proposal   |         |
| 8d   | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use           | No<br>proposal to<br>use<br>overburden<br>to restore<br>the land                  | NOT APPLICABLE  |         |
| 8e   | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No<br>proposal  | No area is matured for backfilling, hence no area is backfilled.              |         |
| 8f   | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)                      | As Per<br>Proposal  | 200 no. of saplings planted during the year                                   |         |
| 8g   | Survival rate   | 80%   | 80%   |         |

## 1/14123/2023

| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to        | control  | It was           | Water sprinkling  |                |
|----|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
|    | airborne dust                       |          | proposed         | on Haul road,     |                |
|    |                                     |          | to suppress      | working area is   |                |
|    |                                     |          | the dust         | being carried out |                |
|    |                                     |          | with water       | to suppress the   |                |
|    |                                     |          | sprinkling       | dust              |                |
|    |                                     |          | on Haul          |                   |                |
|    |                                     |          | road,            |                   |                |
|    |                                     |          | working          |                   |                |
|    |                                     |          | area             |                   |                |
| 8i |                                     |          | Plantation i     | s being carried   | out to improve |
|    | General remarks of inspecting off   | ficer on | aesthetic beauty |                   |                |
|    | aesthetic beauty in and around mine | e area   |                  |                   |                |

### **Compliance of Rule 45**

| S.N.   | ltem  | Comments  | Remarks                                       |
|--------|---|---|---|
| 9a     | Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns  | MR of May-23 and AR of 2022-23 has been submitted   |   |
| 9b     | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager           | Name of the Mining Engineer:<br>Manoj Kumar Roy<br>Geologist:<br>Arvind Tamrakar  |   |
| 9c     | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Land use as per Annual return 2022-23 Currant working: 4.765 ha. Roads and Infra.: 0.110 ha. OB/waste dump: 4.030 ha. Others: 0.200 ha. | As submitted by lessee                        |
| 9d     | Scrutiny of Annual return on<br>Afforestation   | Afforestation as per MP and AR 200 saplings planted in the lease area.  | As submitted by lessee                        |
| 9e     | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)                     | No any mineral reject generated during the year.  |   |
| 9f     | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore                                       | As per AR 2022-23, approved mining plan ROM was proposed 499950 T & actual production carried out 499903 t                              |   |
| 9g     | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production costs                    | Ex mine Price : Rs.388.27, Cost of production = Rs. 256.62  | As submitted by lessee.                       |
| 9i     | Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets   | Fixed assets as per AR: Value of Fixed Assets – Rs - 3031328  |   |
| 9k     | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries   | Back Hoe- 0.9 cum-1 No., Tipper (10 cum)-6 No., 6 cum- 2 No., Blast hole drill- 1 No.   | Above machines are deployed in the lease area |
| Datail | of Validations observed during surrent  | the secretion and remailment and the  | of andrew telephone                           |

Details of Validations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:- Details is given below:

- 1. Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
- 2. Rule 31(4) of MCDR 2017

Date: Name: Shri Abhishek Ranjan Gautam

Designation: Assistant Mining Engineer