MCDR-MiFLOBXT/9/2023-JBP-IBM_RO_JBP INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

1/14261/2023

FMCP Inspection REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/ANNUPPUR/BX-5 Mine code: 07MPR38004

Name of the Inspecting : CQ04) ROBERT SIMON C

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Yogesh Pandey Lessee Representative, Sushil bajpa

Official with

Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 21-MAR-23 Prev.inspection date : 17-JUN-22 (V)

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : GARHI DADAR (4.90 HA)

(b) Registration NO.

(C) Category : A

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

Postal address (e)

> State : MADHYA PRADESH

District ANUPPUR Village : GARHIDADAR Taluka : PUSPARAJGARH

Post office : UMARIA : 484881 Pin Code FAX No. : NA

E-mail Yogesh.pandey16@gmail.com

9300593019 Phone

Police Station (f)

First opening date : 01-APR-09 (g)

Weekly day of rest (h) :

Address for : SHRI IMTIYAZ KHAN correspondance D-2 RESIDENTAL AREA,

G.T.O. DISTT. INDORE (M.P.)

3. Lease Number : MPR2372 (a) (b) Lease area : 4.9 Period of lease : 20 (C)

> Date of Expiry : 26-OCT-28 (d)

Mineral worked 4. : BAUXITE Main

PAGE : 2

1/14261/2023

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : IMTIYAZ KHAN

D-2 RESEDENCY AREA G.T.O. INDORE (M.P.) INDORE MADHYA

PRADESH

Phone: 9300593019

FAX :NA

Owner : IMTIYAZ KHAN

D-2 RESEDENCY AREA G.T.O. DISTT. INDORE (M.P.) INDORE MADHYA PRADESH Phone: 9300593019

FAX : NA

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 14-AUG-14 Plan/Scheme of Mining : MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 29-MAR-19

1/14261/2023

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Not proposed | NA | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Not proposed | NA | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not proposed | NA | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Entire area is already explored under G1. | Entire area is already explored under G1. | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Not specified | 111-37015 T 211-160425 T | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | | Entire lease areais already explored under Gllevel. The bauxitebed has thickness 7.5 mtr av and iscovered on top bysoil (.25 to 2.5 mtr) and laterite-0-1.0 mtr. and lithomargic clayis at bottom of bauxite which marks its end of bauxite. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|---|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | E-562800 - 562700 N- 2528025 - 2578175 | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | | 2 in mineral 1 in waste | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.1 | Nil | |

| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 2300 m3 | Nil | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1)of MCDR 2017. |
|----|---|-----------|-----|--|
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 2169.6 m3 | Nil | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1)of MCDR 2017. |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Nil topsoil generated against the proposal of 2300 m3 & 2625 m3 of topsoil generation for the year 2021-22 & 2022-23 respectively.Nil OB/waste generated against the proposal of 2169.6 m3 & 1260 m3 of OB/waste generation for the year 2021-22 & 2022-23 respectively. Violation pointed under rule 11(1). |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 01 | 01 | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 19960 Т | Nil | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1)of MCDR 2017. |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | Not specified | Entire ROM dispatched | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not proposed | NA | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | NA | NA | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | NA | NA | |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | NA | NA | |
|----|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | Manual | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Proposed | Not done | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Proposed | Not done | No production is done for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23 against the proposals of 19960 T and 11592 T respectively. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Proposed | Suitable | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 4.26 ha | 3.75 ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.1 | Nil | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 4.62 ha | 4.52 ha | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2018-19 35,190 2019-20 27876 | 2017-18 20,525 2018-19 35,103 2019-20 27870 2020-21 2570 2021-22 Nil | |

3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining

No production is done for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23 against the proposals of 19960 T and 11592 T respectively. Hence violation pointed out under rule 11(1).

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------|-------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | Not proposed | Not done | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | NA | NA | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | 01 | 01 | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | outside UPL | outside UPL | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | NIL | Nil | |
| 4 f | Number of dead dumps. | NA | NA | |
| 4g | Number of dumps lestablished. | NA | NA | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Not proposed | Nil | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Nil | Nil | |

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

Nil OB/waste generated against the proposal of 2169.6 m3 & 1260 m3 of OB/waste generation for the year 2021-22 & 2022-23 respectively. Violation pointed

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|--|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | | As per Development Plan & sections for the year 2021-22 and 2022-23, there were no proposal to backfill between the Coordinates N2528170 - N2528000 & E 562700 - E 562800 (Virgin area) yet the same area have been backfilled despite not carrying out the excavation/production for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23. The present RLs of the backfilled area suggests that excavation was carried out in the backfilled area which was not approved & also the same was not reported. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not specified | 3.00 ha | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Proposed | Nil topsoil generated against the proposal of 2300 m3 & 2625 m3 of topsoil generation for the year 2021-22 & 2022-23 respectively. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Proposed | 3 ha | |

| 5e | General remarks |
|----|------------------|
| | of inspecting |
| | officers on |
| | backfilling and |
| | reclamation etc. |

There were no proposal to backfill between the Coordinates N2528170 -N2528000 & E 562700 - E 562800 (Virgin area) yet the same area have been backfilled despite not carrying out the excavation/product ion for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23. The present RLs of the backfilled area suggests that excavation was carried out in the backfilled area which was not approved & also the same was not reported. Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------|---|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | | PMCP report for the year 2021-22 not furnished. | Violation pointed out under rule 26(2)of MCDR 2017. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 0.6 ha | PMCP report for the year 2021-22 not furnished. | Violation pointed out under rule 26(2)of MCDR 2017. |
| 6с | afforestation done (ha). | 0.6 ha | PMCP report for the year 2021-22 not furnished. | Violation pointed out under rule 26(2)of MCDR 2017. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Not specified | 200 | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not specified | 1000 nos approx | |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | 2.6 ha | 1.6 ha |
|----|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | Not specified | 300 |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | NA | NA |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | NA | NA |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | NA | NA |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | NA | NA |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | NA | NA |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Proposed | As per proposal |

| General remarks | PMCP report for |
|------------------|---|
| of inspecting | the year 2021-22 |
| officers on PMCP | not |
| compliance and | furnished.Violatio |
| progressive | n pointed out |
| closure | under rule 26 |
| operations etc. | (2)of MCDR 2017. |
| | of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM Mineraldispatc h | Not done | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Not done | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Yes | Not done as no production is achieved. | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | NOt proposed | Not done | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | No beneficiation process at mines adopted. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Proposed | Nil topsoil generated against the proposal of 2300 m3 & 2625 m3 of topsoil generation for the year 2021-22 & 2022-23 respectively. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1)of MCDR 2017. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Proposed to be utilised for plantation | NA | |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | OB dump proposed | OB dump already maintained | |
|----|---|---------------------|---|--|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | | There were no proposal to backfill between the Coordinates N2528170 - N2528000 & E 562700 - E 562800 (Virgin area) yet the same area have been backfilled despite not carrying out the excavation/production for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23. The present RLs of the backfilled area suggests that excavation was carried out in the backfilled area which was not approved & also the same was not reported. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | | There were no proposal to backfill between the Coordinates N2528170 - N2528000 & E 562700 - E 562800 (Virgin area) yet the same area have been backfilled despite not carrying out the excavation/production for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23. The present RLs of the backfilled area suggests that excavation was carried out in the backfilled area which was not approved & also the same was not reported. | Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Yes | Available | |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified | 60% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling on | Water sprinkling on roads tocontrol airborne dust carried out. | |

8i General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area

Aesthetic beauty in and around mines area is good.

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------------|---|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Submitted online. | Submitted online. | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Yes | Mining Engineer in charge Ajit Kumar Geologist in charge Nil | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Yes | (i) Already exploited & abandoned byopencast (O/C) mining 0.000 3.750 3.750 (ii) Covered under current (O/C) Workings 0.000 0.000 0.000 (iii) Reclaimed/Rehabilitated 0.000 3.000 3.000 (iv) Used for waste disposal 0.000 0.250 0.250 (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads 0.000 0.100 0.100 | Part-I, Sl.No.12, the lease area (surface area) utilisation are furnished incorrectly Refer Page 41 (8.6 Financial Assurance) the approved document there were no proposa of reclamation & rehabilitation but th same was reported whi goes against the approved proposals. Violation pointed out under rul 45(7). |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Given | (i) Number of treesplanted during the year200(ii) Survival rate inpercentage 60.00 | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Nil | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Given | Category Opening stock(in tonne) Production(in tonne) Closing stock(in tonne) Open Cast Workings 11.780 0.000 11.780 | |

1/14261/2023

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Nil | No production is done for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23 against the proposals of 19960 T and 11592 T respectively. | |
|----|---|-------|--|--|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Given | Value of Fixed Assets (in Rs.) 131729 | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Nil | Nil | No production is done for the years 2021-22 & 2022-23 against the proposals of 19960 T and 11592 T respectively. |

1/14261/2023

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rule N | NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 15-MAY-23 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 26(2) | 15-MAY-23 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 27(2) | 15-MAY-23 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 31(4) | 15-MAY-23 | | | |
| MCDR17 | Rule 33 | 15-MAY-23 | | | |

Date : (ROBERT SIMON C)

Indian Bureau of Mines

PAGE : 14