1/14945/2023

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT OF GORUMAHISANI IRON ORE BLOCK

General

SN	Particulars	Details
1	File No	
2	Name of the Mine	Gorumahisni Iron Ore Block
3	Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-	Forest Area= 90.50 Ha
	forest and forest land	Non-Forest Area= 259.00 Ha
		Total= 349.50 Ha
4	Mine code	30ORI10020
5	Date of Inspection	04.05.2023 & 05.05.2023
6	Name of official accompanying inspection	Sri Anubhab Dutta, Sri Manas Kumar Patra
0	ivalile of official accompanying inspection	-
		& Sri Anup Samanta
	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988	IBM/1235/2011
8	Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and	M/s. GHANASHYAM MISRA & SONS
	fax number	PVT. LTD.
		7, Waterloo Street, 2nd Floor, Kolkata,
		West Bengal- 700069, Tel: 033-
		22480602/22482623, Fax: 033-22482623
		E-mail- gmspl2011@gmail.com
9	Village	Kuleishila, Badamouda, Sanamouda,
		Sundhal, Balitangri, Nodhabani and
		Gorumahisani
10	Taluka/Mandal	Rairangpur
11	District	Mayurbhanj
12	Pincode	757042
13	State	Odisha
14	Post office	Gorumahisani
15	Nearest police station	Gorumahisani
16	Nearest Railway station	Gorumahisani
17	Date of Grant of Mining Lease Date of Execution	02.07.2020 03.07.2020
18 19		25.07.2020
20	Date of first Panaval, if applicable and its period	25.07.2020
20	Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period	NA
21	& expiry Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its	
	period & expiry	NA
22	Date of submission of renewal application if	
	Mining Operations are continuing under deemed	NA
	extension	11/1
23	Name of the Nominated Owner with Address,	Sri Sourav Misra,
	phone, email, fax number and date of	JBS Road, Silver Spring, Kolkata
	appointment	E-mail- gmspl2022@gmail.com
24	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone,	NA
24	email, fax number and date of appointment	11/1
25	* *	Sri Anubhab Dutta,
25	Name of the Mines Manager with Address,	
	phone, email, fax number and date of	At & PO- Gorumahisani,
	appointment in mines	Dist- Mayurbhanj,
		Odisha- 757042
		E-mail- gmspl2011@gmail.com
		Date of Appointment- 04.03.2023

I/14945/202\$ 26	Name of the Mining	Mining engineer	Sri Anup Samanta
1, 113 13, 2020 20	_		1 -
	Engineer & Geologist,	Qualification	Qualification- B.Tech- Mining,
	Qualification and total	Date of appointment	Exp- 18 yrs
	experience	Address phone/email	D.O.A 01.04.2020
			GMSPL Office, At/PO- Gorumahisani,
			Dist- Mayurbhanj, Odisha-757042,
			E-mail- gmspl2011@gmail.com
		Geologist	Sri Manas Kumar Patra,
		Qualification	M.ScGeology,
		Date of appointment	Exp- 15 yrs,
		Address phone/email	D.O.A 05.07.2021
			GMSPL Office, At/PO- Gorumahisani,
			Dist- Mayurbhanj, Odisha-757042,
			E-mail- gmspl2011@gmail.com
27	Whether Geologist and	Mining Engineer	Yes,
	appointed in mines satis	fy the rule 42 & carrying	Sri Anup Samanta, Mining engineer
	out their duties as per ru	ıle 43 & 44.	Sri Manas Kumar Patra, Geologist
28	Date of Approval of Re	view of Mining	Approved vide letter No-
	Plan/Modified Mining F	Plan with five-year period	MP/A/31-ORI/BHU/2020-21 dated 29-01-
	and specific condition in	n approval letter, if any.	2021, Subsequent Modification approval
			vide letter no- MPM/A/05-ORI/BHU/2021-
			22 dated 29-07-2021
29	Date of Approval of Sch	neme of Mining/Modified	
	Scheme of Mining with	five-year period and	NA
	specific condition in app	proval letter, if any.	
30			Iron Ore
	mining		
31	Method of Mining(Oper	ncast, Underground)	Opencast
32	Category (Fully Mechan	nised, Others or Manual)	Fully Mechanized
33	Captive/Non Captive		Non-Captive

Exploration

S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
•				
1a	Backlog of previous year	Nil	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area	30	Nil	However, the lessee
	for Geological axis 1 or 2.			has already drilled
				128 nos of bore
				holes during 2021-
				22.
1c	Exploration Agency &	Not proposed	Nil	The lessee has not
	Expenditure in lakh Rupees			drilled any
	during the year			exploratory bore
				holes during the
				reporting year of
				2022-23
1d	Balance area to be explored	32.50 Ha	Nil	The lessee has not
	to bring Geological axis in 1			drilled any
	or 2			exploratory bore
				holes during the
				reporting year of

١	1/1	1	0	1	Е	,	2	\sim	2	ł	
		14	м	4	ר	/	_	u	1	ı	١

2 🛭			2022-23			
	1e	Balance reserves as on	16134667.700			
		01.04.2023	tonnes			
	1f	General remarks of	The lessee has not carried out the exploration proposal as per			
		inspecting officer on	the approved modification of mining plan. However, as per			
		geology, exploration etc.	the present draft modification submitted to this office, the			
			lessee has drilled 128 no of bore holes within the lease area			
			during 2020-21.			

<u>Development</u>

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks	
2a	Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Kochar Quarry, Kochar Top Quarry, F-Level Quarry, Kisuntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry	Kochar Quarry, F-Level Quarry	The lessee has not carried out development as per the proposal of the approved modification of mining plan during the reporting year of 2022-23. In this regard, a violation letter vide dated 22/05/2023 has been issued to the lessee from this office.	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15)	NA	Nil	No proposal	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.27 (MT: m ³)	1:2.5 (MT: m ³)		
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³	Nil	Nil		
2e	Quantity of overburden/waste generation in m ³	204921 m ³	1341666 m ³		
2f	General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc.	The lessee has proposed development and production from all existing quarries i.e. 5 nos of quarries. During the inspection it has been seen that the lessee has carried out excavation work within two quarries only and back filled in another two quarries i.e. Kisuntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry where development and production were proposed. A violation letter for contravention of Rules of MCDR 2017 has already been issued vide dated 22/05/2023 to the lessee.			

Exploitation

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed	5 Nos;	2 Nos;	The lessee has
	for production	Kochar Quarry, Kochar	Kochar Quarry,	not carried out
		Top Quarry, F-Level	F-Level Quarry	exploitation as
		Quarry, Kisuntanki		per the

/14945/202	3		Quarry, 2550 quarry		proposal of the
			Quarry, 2550 quarry		approved
					modification
					of mining plan
					during the
					reporting year
					of 2022-23. In
					this regard, a
					violation letter
					vide dated
					22/05/2023
					has been
					issued to the
					lessee from
					this office.
	3b	Quantity of ROM	750000 tonnes	690796.600 tonnes	The lessee has
		production proposed			not carried out
					exploitation as
					per the
					proposal of the
					approved
					modification
					of mining plan
					during the
					reporting year
					of 2022-23. In
					this regard, a
					violation letter
					vide dated
					22/05/2023
					has been
					issued to the
					lessee from
					this office.
	3c	Recovery of salable/usable			
		mineral from ROM	262500 tonnes	5432.300 tonnes	
		production			
	3d	Quantity of mineral reject	487500 tonnes	166294.930 tonnes	
		generation			
	3e	Grade of mineral reject			
		generation and threshold	45-55% Fe	45-55% Fe	
		value declared			
	3f	Quantity of sub-grade	Nil	Nil	
		mineral generation			
	3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral	NA	NA	
		generation			
	3h	Manual / Mechanised			
		method adopted for	Mechanized	Mechanized	
	-	segregating from ROM			
	3i	Any analysis or			
		beneficiation study	NA	Nil	

I/14945/202	3	proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and			
		reject			
	3j	Provision of drilling &	Yes, Jack Hammer 115	Yes, Jack Hammer	
	J	blasting in mineral benches	mm dia Drills.	115 mm dia Drills.	
			Blasting proposed with	Blasting proposed	
			LD explosives	with LD explosives	
			of 25mm dia. & 83mm	of 25mm dia. &	
			dia. With detonating	83mm dia. With	
			cord & milli second	detonating cord &	
			delay detonators.	milli second delay	
		-		detonators.	
	3k	Provision of mining	Yes, Shovel and	Yes, Shovel and	
		machineries in mineral	Dumper combination	Dumper	
		benches	Proposed	combination	
-	31	Whether height of benches	Proposed bench	carried out. The height of the	
	JI	in overburden and mineral	height=6m,	bench is suitable	
		suitable for method of	Proposed bench width=	for the method of	
		mining proposed in	9m	mining proposed in	
		MP/SOM		review of mining	
				plan. However in	
				few places the	
				proposed bench	
				geometry was not	
				followed. A	
				violation letter in	
				this regard has	
				already been issued	
				to the lessee vide	
				letter dated	
	3m	Total area covered	67.83 Ha	22/05/2023. 58.686 Ha	Lessee has
	OIII	under excavation/pits	07.00 Hu	50.000114	back filled two
		ander eneavation pro			number
					quaries
					namely
					Kisuntanki
					Quarry, 2550
					quarry.
	3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/	1:0.27 (MT: M3)	1:2.5 (MT: m ³)	
-	2	mine during the year			
	30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of	147.39 Ha till the end	140.44 Ha till the	
			of the plan period.	end of the reporting	
		year	or the plan periou.	year.	
}	3р	Production of ROM		y cui.	
	1	mineral during last five-			
		year period, as applicable			
		Year- 2020-21	2020-21= 750000 MT	282983.550 MT	
		Year- 202-22	2021-22= 750000 MT	652792.700 MT	

l/14945/202	В	Year- 2022-23	2022-23= 750000 MT 690796.600 MT
	3q	General remarks of	The lessee has proposed development and production from all
		inspecting officer on	existing quarries i.e. 5 nos of quarries. During the inspection it
		method of mining etc.	has been seen that the lessee has carried out excavation work
			within two quarries only and back filled in another two
			quarries i.e. Kisuntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry where
			development and production were proposed. Further the bench
			geometry was also not as per the proposal of the approved
			mining plan document. However, a violation letter for
			contraventions of Rules of MCDR 2017 has already been
			issued vide dated 22/05/2023 to the lessee.

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil,	Separate dumping	Separate dumping	
	OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,	of topsoil, OB &	of OB & stacking of	
	33)	mineral reject were	mineral reject are	
		proposed	being practiced.	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB &	Top Soil= NA,	Top Soil= Nil	
	mineral reject dumps	OB Proposed:-	OB Proposed:-	
		2022-23- Rajpal	2022-23- Rajpal	
		Dump, KTD-2	Dump, KTD-2	
		Dump, 2550	Dump,	
		Dump.	Mineral Reject	
		Mineral Reject	Proposed:-	
		Proposed:-	2022-23= Mineral	
		2022-23= Mineral	reject-1	
		reject-1		
4c	Number of dumps within	Within Lease- 17,	Within Lease- 17,	
	lease area and outside lease	Outside Lease- Nil	Outside Lease- Nil	
	area			
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t.	Rajpal-2467689N		Out of the 3
	ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	to		active dump ,
		2467803N/425338		dumping of
		E to 425421E		waste are
		KTD2-2467309N	Rajpal-2467689N to	carried out in
			2467803N/425338E	one dump only
		to 2467428N/426406	to 425421E	in one dump.
		E to 426566E		
		E 10 420500E		
		2550-2467709N to		
		2467795N/426453		
		E to 426495E		
4e	Number of active & alive	17	3 active dumps out	
	dumps		of 17 dumps	
4f	Number of dead dumps	0	0	
4g	Number of dumps	14	14	
	stabilished			
4h	Whether Retaining wall or	Both Retaining	Both Retaining wall	
	garland drain all along	wall and Garland	and Garland drain	
	dumps are there	drain proposed.	constructed;	

1/14945/202	3		Retaining wall:-	Retaining wall:-		
			2022-23= 740m,	2022-23= 740m,		
			Garland drain:-	Garland drain:-		
			2022-23=740m	2022-23=740m		
	4i	Length of Retaining wall or	Retaining wall:-	Retaining wall:-		
		garland drain all along dump	2022-23= 740m,	2022-23= 740m,		
			Garland drain:-	Garland drain:-		
			2022-23=740m	2022-23=740m		
	4j	Number of settling ponds	2022-23= 5 Nos	05 Nos		
	4k	Specific comments of	Separate dumping o	f OB & stacking of mineral reject are		
		inspecting officer on waste	being practiced duri	ng the reporting year. OB dumps are		
		dump management	well within the prop	oosed location and protective measures		
			are also constructed	as per the proposal. However, the lessee		
			has proposed development and production from all existing			
			quarries i.e. 5 nos of quarries. During the inspection it has			
			been seen that the lessee has carried out excavation work			
			within two quarries	only and back filled in another two		
			quarries i.e. Kisui	ntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry where		
				oduction were proposed. Based on the		
				olation letter for contraventions of Rules		
			_	nas already been issued vide dated		
			22/05/2023 to the les			

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks	
5a	Status on part or full extraction	Nil	In 2 Nos quarries;		
	of mineral from mined out		Kisuntanki		
	area before starting backfilling		Quarry, 2550		
			quarry		
5b	Area under backfilling of	Nil	In 2 Nos quarries		
	mined out area		entirely;		
			Kisuntanki		
			Quarry, 2550		
			quarry, about		
			9.15 Ha.		
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for	Nil	Nil		
	restoration or rehabilitation				
	of mined out area (Rule 32)				
5d	Total area fully reclaimed &	Nil	Nil		
	rehabilitated				
5e	General remarks of inspecting	_	= =	and production from	
	officer on backfilling,			quarries. During the	
	reclamation etc	inspection it has	been seen that the l	essee has carried out	
		excavation work	within two quarries o	only and back filled in	
		another two quar	ries i.e. Kisuntanki	Quarry, 2550 quarry	
		where developme	ent and production v	vere proposed. Based	
		on the mine inspection, a violation letter for contraventions			
		of Rules of MCD	R 2017 has already b	een issued vide dated	
		22/05/2023 to the	lessee.		

Progressive Mine Closure Plan

7

I/14945/2023 S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23E(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20.	Yes	Report has been submitted	
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii)No. of saplings planted during the year iv)Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi)Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	Nil	Nil	
6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L *B * D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means	Nil	Nil	
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	i) 1250 nos of sapplings ii)2022-23= 0.5 Ha iii) Plantation	i) 1250 nos of sapplings ii)2022-23= 0.5 Ha iii) Plantation	
6e	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Proposed in the mining plan	Done	
6f General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closure operations out back filled in two quarry where developments are development.		ed out afforestation of abornmental monitoring as per gran. However, the lesser of quarries i.e. Kisuntanki opment and production were inspection, a violational cules of MCDR 2017 has 205/2023 to the lessee.	r the proposal ee has carried Quarry, 2550 ere proposed. on letter for	

Mineral Conservation

S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-	Saleable	Saleable Ore: +55 %	

L /4 40 45 /202		T		T	
1/14945/2023	В	wise sorting within lease area	Ore: +55 %	Fe, Mineral Reject:	
			Fe,	+45 to 51% Fe +51 to	
			Mineral	55% Fe are being in	
			Reject: +45	practiced.	
			to 51% Fe		
			+51 to 55%		
			Fe were		
			proposed.		
	7b	Method of grade-wise mineral	Mechanized	Machaninad Mathal	
		sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Method	Mechanized Method	
	7c	Different grade of mineral sorted	Saleable	Saleable Ore: +55 %	
		out at mines	Ore: +55 %	Fe, Mineral Reject:-	
			Fe, Mineral	+45 to 51% Fe +51 to	
			Reject:- +45	55% Fe	
			to 51% Fe		
			+51 to 55%		
			Fe		
	7d	Any beneficiation process at	Nil	Nil	
		mines	1111	1111	
	7e	General remarks of inspecting	Saleable Ore:	+55 % Fe, Mineral Reject	t: +45 to 51% Fe
		officer on Mineral conservation &	+51 to 55% l	Fe stocks are being pract	iced. Further no
		beneficiation issues	beneficiation process was proposed. Only crushin		ly crushing and
			Screenings ar	e being carried out durin	ng the reporting
			year.		

Environment

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Nil	Nil	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Nil	Nil	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumps for overburden and mineral reject stacks, waste rocks are proposed.	Separate dumps for Over-burden and mineral stacks and other waste rocks are being practiced.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Nil	Back filled in two quarries i.e. Kisuntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry	Back filled in two quarries i.e. Kisuntanki Quarry, 2550 quarry
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc.)	Nil	Nil	·
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	2022-23=1250 Nos	1250	

1/14945/20238

23 8g	Survival rate			
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling by water tanker over haul roads has been carried out during the reporting year.	Water sprinkling by water tanker over haul roads has been carried out during the reporting year.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	Out during the reporting year		quarries. During the lessee has yo quarries only s i.e. Kisuntanki velopment and ation letter for 017 has already to the lessee. f saplings within vater tanker over and to minimize

Compliance of Rule 45

S.N.	Item	Comments		Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Submitted within times		Form-F1 has been submitted
S.N.	Item		Observation of I/Officer	Remarks
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Annual return for FY 2022-23 has not been submitted till the date of inspection and yet to be submitted within the scheduled time period.	Annual return for FY 2022-23 has not been submitted till the date of inspection and yet to be submitted within the scheduled time period.	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Annual return for FY 2022-23 has not been submitted till the date of inspection and yet to be submitted within the scheduled time period.	Annual return for FY 2022-23 has not been submitted till the date of inspection and yet to be submitted	

14945/202	}			within the	
				scheduled	
-	0.1	Cti	A f EX 2022	time period.	
	9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return	
		afforestation	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-	
			till the date of inspection	23 has not	
			and yet to be submitted	been	
			within the scheduled time	submitted till	
			period.	the date of	
				inspection and	
				yet to be	
				submitted	
				within the	
				scheduled	
				time period.	
-	9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return	
	50	mineral reject generation	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-	
		(Grade & quantity)			
		(Grade & qualitity)	till the date of inspection	23 has not been	
			and yet to be submitted		
			within the scheduled time	submitted till	
			period.	the date of	
				inspection and	
				yet to be	
				submitted	
				within the	
				scheduled	
				time period.	
	9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return	
		ROM stock and/or graded	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-	
		ore	till the date of inspection	23 has not	
			and yet to be submitted	been	
			within the scheduled time	submitted till	
			period.	the date of	
				inspection and	
				yet to be	
				submitted	
				within the	
				scheduled	
				time period.	
	9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return	
	- 0	sale value, Ex. Mine price &	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-	
		production cost	till the date of inspection	23 has not	
		production cost	and yet to be submitted	been	
			within the scheduled time	submitted till	
			period.		
				inspection and	
				yet to be	
				submitted	
				within the	
				scheduled	
				time period.	

I/14945/202§		C	A 1	A 1 .
1/14943/2025	9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return
		fixed assets	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-
			till the date of inspection	23 has not
			and yet to be submitted	been
			within the scheduled time	submitted till
			period.	the date of
				inspection and
				yet to be
				submitted
				within the
				scheduled
				time period.
	9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Annual return for FY 2022-	Annual return
		mining machineries	23 has not been submitted	for FY 2022-
			till the date of inspection	23 has not
			and yet to be submitted	been
			within the scheduled time	submitted till
			period.	the date of
				inspection and
				yet to be
				submitted
				within the
				scheduled
				time period.