# MCDR-MiFLOLST/98/2023-JBP-IBM_RO_JBP <br> INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMEMT AND REGULATION DIVISION <br> <br> MCDR inspection REPORT <br> <br> MCDR inspection REPORT <br> Jabalpur regional office 



## PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name
(b) Registration NO.
(c) Category
(d) Type of Working
(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH
District : SATNA
Village : TAMORIA
Taluka : MAIHAR
Post office : Bathiya
Pin Code : 485771
FAX No.
E-mail
Phone
(f) Police Station:
(g) First opening date
(h) Weekly day of rest
2. Address for correspondance
3. (a) Lease Number : MPR2225
(b) Lease area : 42.567 Ha
(c) Period of lease : 30
(d) Date of Expiry : 21-APR-31
: LIMESTONE
Main
5. Name and Address of the

| Lessee | : | S.N.S.(MINERALS) LTD. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NH-7, Maihar, Dist: Satna |
|  |  | MADHYA PRADESH- 485771 Phone: 8435802174 |
|  |  | FAX : N.A |
| Owner | : | S.N.S.MINERALS) LTD. |
|  |  | NH-7, Maihar, Dist: Satna |
|  |  | MADHYA PRADESH- 485771 |
|  |  | Phone: 8435802174 |
|  |  | FAX : N.A |
| Agent | : | Shri S.P.Tiwari |
|  |  | S.N.S.(MINERALS) LTD. |
|  |  | Sunderson House, NH-7, Maihar,Dist: Satna MADHYA PRADESH- 485771 |
|  |  | Mobile-8435802174 |
|  |  | Email- snsmhr@gmail.com |
|  |  | Date of Appointment- 20/07/2021 |

Mining Engineer:
Name Shri Goutam Karmakar
Qualification B.E. (Mining)
Appointment/termi 01/03/2022
nation date

Geologist : Shri Manoj Kumar Tripathi
Name
M.Sc (Geology)

Qualification
01/10/2020
Qualification
Appointment/termi
nation date
6. Date of approval of Mining
Plan/Scheme of Mining
: Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960
22-MAR-00

Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 04-MAY-12
Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 20-NOV-15 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 25-OCT-17 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 25-OCT-17 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 19-Mar-21

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS
Exploration :

| Sl. No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Backlog of previous year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-22: } 4 \\ & \text { Nos } \end{aligned}$ | 2021-22: 4 Nos | There is no backlog in exploration |
| 1 b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | In 2022-23, there was no exploration proposal | Nil | Nil |
| 1 c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 1 d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | In 2022-23, there was no exploration proposal | The entire mineralized area has been explored in G1 level | Nil |
| 1 e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Reserves as on 01.04.2022 under 111 category was 4033316 tones and under 122 category was 4392000 tones | Depletion during the year 2022-23 was 368625.00 tones. Balance reserve under 111 category is 3664691 tones and under 122 category is 4392000 tones | Nil |
| 1f | ```General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc``` |  | There is no backlog in exploration. Entire mineralized area has been explored under G1 level of exploration. | Nil |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 2a | Location of <br> development | In 2022-23, <br> development | In 2022-23, development <br> carried out between <br> coordinates N 2687400 to | Nil |

Stripping ratio 1 : $1.65 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} 31$ : $1.68 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} 3$
or ore to OB
ratio
Quantity of
topsoil
In 2022-23,
the proposal quantity of topsoil
generation in m3 for topsoil generated was 3400 cum
quantity
generation was
7500 cum
$2 e$
Quantity of
overburden
In 2022-23, In 2022-23, actual
Nil
overburden the proposal quantity of overburden
generation in m3 for overburden generated was 219960 cum
quantity
generation was
223650 cum
$2 f$ General remarks
The extent of
Nil
of inspecting
officers on
development of
pit w.r.t. type
of deposit etc

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | Number of pit proposed for production | During the year 2022-23, two (02) nos of pits were proposed for exploitation | During the year 2022-23, two (02) nos of pits were exploited | Nil |
| 3 b | ```Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed``` | During the year 2022-23, the ROM production quantity proposed was 369625 tonne | During the year 2022-23, the actual ROM production quantity was 368625 tonne | Nil |
| 3 c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | Nil |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 3 e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 3 f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |


| 3 g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3h | Manual / <br> Mechanised <br> method adopted <br> for segregating <br> from ROM | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| $3 i$ | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 3 j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Proposed | Carried out | nil |
| 3 k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Proposed | Carried out | Nil |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Yes suitable | Yes suitable | Nil |
| 3 m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | The total area covered under excavation/pit $s$ at the end of the plan period 2025-26 is 22.0 Ha. | The total area covered under excavation/pits at the end of the plan period 2022-23 is 18.40 Ha | Nil |
| $3 n$ | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | $1: 1.65 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | $1: 1.68 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | Nil |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | The total area put in use under different heads at the end of the plan period 2025-26 is 26.75 Ha . | The total area put in use under different heads at the end of the plan period 2025-26 is 23.40 Ha. | Nil |


| $3 p$ | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | $\begin{aligned} & 2018-19 \\ & \text { tonnes } \\ & 2015063 \\ & \text { tonnes } \\ & 2020-216225 \\ & \text { tonnes } \\ & 2021-22 \\ & 20264731 \\ & \text { tonnes } \\ & 2022-23 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned} 369625 \text { }$ | 2018-19 274900 tonnes 2019-20 276000 tonnes 2020-21 279200 tonnes 2021-22 360000 tonnes 2022-23 368625 tonnes | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. |  | Production was carried out from two nos of pits as per proposal. | Nil |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | During 202223, there was no proposal for Top Soil and Mineral reject dump. Top soil generated has been proposed for reuse/spreadin g. Overburden has been proposed for backfilling. | During 2022-23, there was no generation of Mineral reject dump. Top soil has been reused/ spreaded. Overburden material generated has been backfilled. | Nil |
| 4 b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | During 202223, there was no proposal for Top Soil and Mineral Reject dump. Top soil generated has been proposed for reuse/spreadin g. Overburden has been proposed for backfilling. | During 2022-23, there was no generation of Mineral reject dump. Top soil has been reused/ spreaded. Overburden material generated has been backfilled. | Nil |


| Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Existing within Lease area- 02 No of Overburden/was te dump. <br> Outside Lease area- Nil | Existing within Lease area- 02 No of Overburden/waste dump. Outside Lease area- Nil | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Outside UPL | Outside UPL | Nil |
| Number of active and alive dumps. | 01 OB dump (already existing OB dump) | 01 OB dump (already existing OB dump) | Nil |
| Number of dead dumps. | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| Number of dumps established. | 01 OB dump (already existing OB dump) | 01 OB dump (already existing OB dump) | Nil |
| Whether <br> Retaining wall <br> or garland drain <br> all along dumps <br> are there. | Yes | Retaining wall and Garland drain constructed. | Nil |
| Length of <br> Retaining wall <br> or garland drain <br> all along dumps | Not Proposed | During 2022-23, 25 mtr of Retaining wall and 200 mtr of Garland drain was constructed. | Nil |
| Number of settling ponds | Not proposed | Nil | Nil |
| Specific <br> comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management |  | During 2022-23, there was no generation of Mineral reject dump. Top soil has been reused/ spreaded. Overburden material generated has been backfilled. | Nil |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 a | Status of part or full <br> extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Full <br> extraction of mineral before backfilling | Full extraction of mineral before backfilling | Nil |

Area under backfilling of mined out area

During 2022- During 2022-23, mined Nil 23, mined out out area of 0.65 Ha has area of 0.65 been backfilled.
Ha was
proposed for backfilling.
Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)
Total area fully reclaimed and
rehabilitated
General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.

Proposed
Carried out Nil
4.70 Ha
4.75 Ha

Nil

Backfilling has been Nil
done as per proposal.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | The holder of a mining lease shall submit to the competent authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1stday of July report every year | The annual return on PMCP has been submitted timely. | Nil |
| 6 b | ```Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .``` | 1.7 Ha | 1.7 Ha | Nil |
| 6 c | afforestation done (ha). | 1.7 Ha | 1.7 Ha | Nil |
| 6 d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 1200 Nos | 1400 nos | Nil |
| 6 e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not Specified | 8455 nos of Saplings | Nil |


| Any other method of rehabilitation | Not Proposed | Nil | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not Specified | Rs. 12,35,000/- | Nil |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation | 2.8 Ha | 2.8 Ha | Nil |
| by backfilling <br> (i) Voids <br> available for <br> backfilling ( Lx <br> B x D |  |  |  |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | 0.65 Ha | 0.65 Ha | Nil |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | 1.20 Ha | 1.40 Ha | Nil |
| Compliance on | Not Proposed | Nil | Nil | reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv)

Rehabilitation
by making water reservoir

Compliance on Not Proposed Nil Nil
reclamation and
rehabilitation
by backfilling
(v) any other specific means.
6m Compliance of Proposed Carried Out Nil rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation
Compliance of 0.100 ha 2.200 ha (Afforestation) Nil rehabilitation (Afforestation of waste land ) within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha)

Compliance o
Plantation
rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation
6p Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)

General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

PMCP proposals have been Nil
complied as per
proposal.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | ROM mineral dispatch | ROM mineral dispatch. | Nil |
| 7 b | Method of gradewise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Not Proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 7 c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Not Proposed | Nil | Nil |
| 7 d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not Proposed | Nil | Nil |
| $7 e$ | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues |  | Direct dispatch of ROM mineral. | Nil |

Environment:
Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

| Separate removal <br> and utilization | Proposed | Carried out | Nil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| of topsoil (Rule |  |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32) |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns |  | Annual Return for 2022- <br> 23 submitted on <br> 27.06.2023. Monthly <br> returns for 2022-23 have <br> been submitted timely. | Nil |
| 9 b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining <br> Engineer, Geologist and Manager have been employed in the mines | Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager have been employed in the mines | Nil |

```
Scrutiny of
    (i) Already
    (i) Already exploited &
Nil
Annual return on exploited & abandoned by Opencast
land use pattern abandoned by (O/C) mining = 16.9 ha.
for area under Opencast (O/C) (ii) Covered under
pits, reclaimed mining = 16.9 current (O/C) Workings
area, dumps etc. ha. =1.500 ha.
    (ii) Covered (iii)Reclaimed/Rehabili
    under current tated
    (O/C) Workings : 1.4 ha.
    =1.500 ha. (iv) Used for waste
    (iii)Reclaimed disposal : 0.000 ha.
    /Rehabilitated (v) Occupied by plant,
    :
    1.4 ha. welfare buildings &
    (iv) Used for roads : 0.250 ha.
    waste disposal (vi) Other Purpose :
    : 0.000 ha. 0.000 ha.
    (v) Occupied (vii) Work done under
    by plant, progressive mine closure
    buildings, plan during the year :
    residential, 0.65 ha.
    welfare
    buildings &
    roads : 0.250
    ha.
    (vi) Other
    Purpose :
    0.000 ha.
    (vii) Work
    done under
    progressive
    mine closure
    plan during
    the year :
    0.65 ha.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation & Yes & \begin{tabular}{l}
i) Number of trees planted during the year 1400 nos \\
ii) Survival rate in percentage : 90\% \\
iii) Total no. of trees at the end of teh year: 8455 nos
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) & Mineral reject has not been generated & Mineral reject has not been generated \\
\hline Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore & \begin{tabular}{l}
Closing stock \\
of ROM is Nil
\end{tabular} & Closing stock of ROM is Nil \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Cost of production reported is Rs 201.47 per tonne | Cost of production <br> reported is Rs 201.47 <br> per tonne | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Value of Fixed <br> Assets <br> furnished is <br> Rs 153535303 | Value of Fixed Assets furnished is Rs 153535303 | Nil |
| 9 k | ```Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries``` | ROCK DRILL <br> (NON-ELEC.): 2 <br> Nos; DUMPER: 6 <br> nos; BACK HOE: <br> 3 nos etc. | ROCK DRILL (NON-ELEC.) : <br> 2 Nos; DUMPER: 6 nos; BACK HOE: 3 nos etc. | Nil |


| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of <br> violation pointed out |
| :---: |
| Violation observed |
| Rule NO. |

## Signed by Sudip Ranjan <br> Mazumdar <br> Date: 25-09-2023 17:34:13 <br> Reason: Approved

