# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION MCDR REPORT

## Dehradun regional office

Mine File No. Minecode: 38HPR10012

| (i)   | Name of the Inspecting Officer              | Deepak Sharma           |
|-------|---|-------------------------|
| (ii)  | Designation                                 | Senior Mining Geologist |
| (iii) | Accompanying mine official with designation | Kapil Anand             |
| (iv)  | Date of Inspection                          | 29.07.2022              |
| (V)   | Prev. Inspection date                       | -                       |

#### PART-I: GENERAL INFORMATION

|   |     | T                          |                         |
|---|-----|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | (a) | Mine Name                  | Hiyona Limestone Mines  |
|   | (b) | Registration No.           |                         |
|   | (c) | Category                   | A-Category              |
|   | (d) | Type of Working            | Opencast                |
|   | (e) | Postal Address             |                         |
|   |     | State                      | Himachal Pradesh        |
|   |     | District                   | Sirmour                 |
|   |     | Village                    | Mauza Barwas            |
|   |     | Taluka/Tehsil              | Poanta Sahib            |
|   |     | Post Office                | Poanta Sahib            |
|   |     | Pin Code                   | 173001                  |
|   |     | FAX No.                    | NA                      |
|   |     | E-mail                     | Kapilanand51@gmail.com  |
|   |     | Phone                      | NA                      |
|   | (f) | Police Station             | Poanta Sahib            |
|   | (g) | First Opening Date         | NA                      |
|   | (h) | Weekly day of rest         | _                       |
| 2 |     | Address for Correspondence | Kapil Anand             |
|   |     |                            | R/o Bata Mandi          |
|   |     |                            | Tehsil-Poanta Sahib     |
|   |     |                            | District-Sirmour        |
|   |     |                            | Himachal Pradesh-173001 |
| 3 | (a) | Lease Number               | _                       |
|   | (b) | Lease Area                 | 18.21 hect              |
|   | (C) | Period of Lease            | 50 Years                |
| 4 |     | Mineral Worked             | Limestone               |

## PART-II: OBSERVATION / COMMENST OF INSPECTING OFFICER

## 1. Exploration:

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals   | Actual Work   | Remarks |
|------|--|---|---|---------|
| 1a   | Backlog of previous year   | NO exploration was proposed during the reporting year | NIL   |         |
| 1b   | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.            | Potential<br>mineralized are                          | Potential mineralized area is explored upto G1 level of exploration |         |
| 1c   | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh Rupees during the year    | Not Proposed  | Not Mentioned   |         |
| 1d   | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2.    | Mineralized area                                      |   |         |
| 1e   | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2017                                  | 111-9131300<br>122-3649520<br>221-90026<br>222-27124  | 111-  |         |
| 1f   | General remarks of Inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. |   |   |         |

#### 2. Development:

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals                         | Actual Work        | Remarks |
|------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| 2a   | Location of Development w.r.t. lease area  | work in the old                   | Working was as per |         |
|      |  | pit in the central part of the ML | proposed location  |         |
| 2b   | Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15)                           | Proposed                          | Nil                |         |
| 2c   | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio   | 1:0.0                             | 1:0.0              |         |
| 2d   | Quantity of topsoil generation in m <sup>3</sup>                                       | Nil                               | Nil                |         |
| 2e   | Quantity of overburden generation in m <sup>3</sup>                                    | Nil                               | Nil                |         |
| 2f   | General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc. |                                   |                    | _       |

#### 3. Exploitation:

| S.N. | Item                      | Proposals    | Actual Work       | Remarks |
|------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| 3a   | Number of pits proposed   | Single pit   | Single pit        |         |
|      | for production            | working was  | working was done  |         |
|      |                           | proposed     | as per proposal   |         |
| 3b   | Quantity of ROM mineral   | 180000       | 95650.800         |         |
| J.D  | production proposed       | 100000       | 33030.000         |         |
| 3c   | Recovery of               | 100%         | 100%              |         |
| JC   | Salable/usable mineral    | 100%         | 100%              |         |
|      | from ROM production       |              |                   |         |
| 3d   | *                         | Nat December | Nil               |         |
| sa   | Quantity of mineral       | Not Proposed | NII               |         |
| 2    | reject generation         | 37 + D 1     | 27.13             |         |
| 3e   | Grade of mineral reject   | Not Proposed | Nil               |         |
|      | generation and threshold  |              |                   |         |
| 0.5  | value declared            |              |                   |         |
| 3f   | Quantity of sub-grade     | Not Proposed | Nil               |         |
|      | mineral generation        |              |                   |         |
| 3g   | Grade of sub-grade        | Not Proposed | Nil               |         |
|      | mineral generation        |              |                   |         |
| 3h   | Manual/Mechanized method  | Mechanized   | Mechanized method |         |
|      | adopted for segregation   | method was   | adopted           |         |
|      | from ROM                  | proposed     |                   |         |
| 3i   | Any analysis or           | Not proposed | Nil               |         |
|      | beneficiation study       |              |                   |         |
|      | proposed & carried out    |              |                   |         |
|      | for sub-grade mineral and |              |                   |         |
|      | reject                    |              |                   |         |
| 3j   | Provision of drilling &   | Proposed     | Nil               |         |
| - 5  | blasting in mineral       |              |                   |         |
|      | benches                   |              |                   |         |
| 3 k  | Provision of mining       | Use of HEMM  | HEMM is being     |         |
| 011  | machineries in mineral    | was proposed | used for mineral  |         |
|      | benches                   | to be used   | production and    |         |
|      |                           |              | dispatch          |         |
| 31   | Whether height of benches | Proposed     | Proposed bench    |         |
| ) I  | in overburden and mineral | rioposed     | height and width  |         |
|      | suitable for method of    |              | are suitable for  |         |
|      | mining proposed in MP/SOM |              | opencast mining   |         |
| 2    | Total area covered under  | 2.9368       | 2.7645            |         |
| 3m   |                           | 2.9308       | 2.7645            |         |
| 2    | excavation /pits          | 1.0.0        | 1.0.1             |         |
| 3n   | Ore to OB ratio for the   | 1:0.0        | 1:0.1             |         |
| 2    | pit/mine during the year  | F 6000       | 1 5056            |         |
| 30   | Total area put in use     | 5.6000       | 4.7876            |         |
|      | under different heads at  |              |                   |         |
|      | the end of year           |              |                   |         |
| 3р   | Production of ROM mineral | 21-22=180000 | 21-22=135224.90   |         |
|      | during last five-years    | 22-23=180000 | 22-23=95650.80    |         |
|      | period, as Applicable     |              |                   |         |
|      |                           |              |                   |         |
| 2    |                           |              |                   |         |
| 3q   | General remarks of        |              |                   |         |
|      | inspecting officer on     |              |                   |         |
|      | method of mining etc.     |              |                   |         |

## 4. Solid Waste Management-Dumping:

| S.N. | Item                           | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 4a   | Separate dumping of topsoil,   | Proposed  | As per      |         |
|      | OB & mineral reject (Rule      |           | proposal    |         |
|      | 32,33)                         |           |             |         |
| 4b   | Location of topsoil, OB &      | Proposed  | Within ML   |         |
|      | mineral reject dumps           |           |             |         |
| 4c   | Number of Dumps within lease   | Proposed  | 1-dump      |         |
|      | area and outside lease area    |           |             |         |
| 4d   | Location of Dumps w.r.t.       | Proposed  | Outside the |         |
|      | ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)   |           | UPL         |         |
| 4e   | Number of Active & Alive dumps | Proposed  | 1           |         |
| 4 f  | Number of deed dumps           | Not       | Nil         |         |
|      |                                | Proposed  |             |         |
| 4g   | Number of dumps established    | Not       | Nil         |         |
|      |                                | proposed  |             |         |
| 4h   | Whether Retaining wall or      | Proposed  | As per      |         |
|      | garland drain all along dumps  |           | proposal    |         |
|      | are there                      |           |             |         |
| 4i   | Length of Retaining wall or    | Proposed  | As per      |         |
|      | garland drain all along dump   |           | proposal    |         |
| 4ј   | Number of settling ponds       | Not       | Nil         |         |
|      |                                | Proposed  |             |         |
| 4 k  | Specific commends of           |           |             |         |
|      | inspecting officer on waste    |           |             |         |
|      | dump management                |           |             |         |

## 5. Solid Waste Management-Backfilling:

| S.N. | Item                          | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 5a   | Status on part or full        | Not       | Full        |         |
|      | extraction of mineral from    | Proposed  | extraction  |         |
|      | mined out area before         |           | of mineral  |         |
|      | starting backfilling          |           | is not done |         |
|      |                               |           | yet,        |         |
|      |                               |           | mineral     |         |
|      |                               |           | continuity  |         |
|      |                               |           | still       |         |
|      |                               |           | exists      |         |
| 5b   | Area under backfilling of     | Not       | No area     |         |
|      | mined out area                | Proposed  | fully       |         |
|      |                               |           | mature for  |         |
|      |                               |           | backfilling |         |
| 5c   | Concurrent use of topsoil for | Proposed  | Nil         |         |
|      | restoration or rehabilitation |           |             |         |
|      | of mined out area (Rule 32)   |           |             |         |
| 5d   | Total area fully reclaimed &  | Not       | No area     |         |
|      | rehabilitated                 | Proposed  | fully       |         |
|      |                               |           | mature      |         |
|      |                               |           | enough for  |         |
|      |                               |           | reclamation |         |
|      |                               |           | program     |         |
| 5e   | General remarks of inspecting |           |             |         |
|      | officer on backfilling,       |           |             |         |
|      | reclamation etc               |           |             |         |

## 6. Progressive Mine Closer Plan:

| S.N | Item   | Proposals    | Actual Work | Remarks |
|-----|--|--------------|-------------|---------|
| 6a  | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 23E(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20 | Proposed     | Submitted   |         |
| 6b  | Management of worked/mined out benches   |              |             |         |
|     | i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | ii) Afforestation done (ha)  | 0.35         | 0.38        |         |
|     | iii) No. of saplings planted during the year   | 250          | 250         |         |
|     | iv) Cumulative no. of plants   | 1000         | 1250        |         |
|     | v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation   | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
| 6c  | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling  |              |             |         |
|     | <ul><li>i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D)</li></ul>   | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | ii)Void filled by waste/tailings   | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | <pre>iii) Afforestation on the<br/>backfilling</pre>   | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | iv)Rehabilitation by making water reservoir  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | v) Any other specific means  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
| 6d  | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease  |              |             |         |
|     | i) Afforestation   | Not Proposed | NIL         |         |
|     | ii) Area rehabilitation  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
|     | iii) Method of rehabilitation  | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
| 6e  | Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)   | Not Proposed | Nil         |         |
| 6f  | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operations   |              |             |         |

#### 7. Mineral Conservation:

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals | Actual<br>Work | Remarks |
|------|--|-----------|----------------|---------|
| 7a   | ROM mineral dispatch or grade  | ROM       | ROM is         |         |
|      | wise sorting within lease area   | dispatch  | being          |         |
|      |  | is        | dispatched     |         |
|      |  | proposed  |                |         |
| 7b   | Method of grade-wise mineral   | Proposed  | Manual         |         |
|      | sorting i.e. manual or   |           | soring is      |         |
|      | mechanical   |           | being done     |         |
|      |  |           | within the     |         |
|      |  |           | ml             |         |
| 7с   | Different grade of mineral   | Not       | Nil            |         |
|      | sort out at mine   | Proposed  |                |         |
| 7d   | Any beneficiation process at   | Not       | Nil            |         |
|      | mines  | Proposed  |                |         |
| 7e   | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues |           |                |         |

#### 8. Environment:

| S.N. | Item  | Proposals | Actual Work                                  | Remarks |
|------|---|-----------|--|---------|
| 8a   | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)  | Proposed  | As and when generated                        |         |
| d8   | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil  | Proposed  | In plantation over safety barrier zone       |         |
| 8c   | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)                                       | Proposed  | As and when generated                        |         |
| 8d   | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use           | Proposed  | In plantation<br>over safety<br>barrier zone |         |
| 8e   | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Proposed  | As per proposal                              |         |
| 8f   | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)                      | Proposed  | As per approved mining plan                  |         |
| 8g   | Survival rate   | 90%       | 70%  |         |
| 8h   | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust  | Proposed  | With use of water tanker                     |         |
| 8i   | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area                           |           |  |         |

#### 9. Compliance of Rule 45:

| S.N. | pliance of Rule 45:   | Comments            | Remarks  |
|------|---|---------------------|--|
| 9a   | Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns  | AR and MR submitted | AR and MR submitted online   |
| 9b   | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager           | Furnished           | Mining Engineer<br>Dharmendra Bhati  |
| 9c   | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Furnished           | Lease area (surface area) utilisation as at the end of year (hectares):  Under forest Outside forest Total  (i) Already exploited and abandoned by opencast (O-C) mining 0.000 1.350 1.350  (ii) Covered under current (O-C)  Workings 0.000 0.410 0.410  (iii) Reclaimed-rehabilitated 0.000 2.200 2.200  (iv) Used for waste disposal 0.000 0.410 0.410  (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings and roads 0.000 1.890 1.890  (vi) Used for any other purpose (specify)  Remaining vergin land 0.000 11.030 11.030  (vii) Work done under progressive mine closure plan during the year 0.000 0.920 0.920 |
| 9d   | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation  | Furnished           | Trees planted- survival rate  Description Within lease area Outside lease area i) Number of trees planted during the year 250 0 ii) Survival rate in percentage 70 0 iii) Total no. of trees at the end of the year 250 0  |
| 9e   | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)                     | Furnished           | Nil  |
| 9f   | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore                                       | Furnished           | Nil  |

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production costs | Furnished     | Ex mine price 308.78  |
|----|--|---------------|---|
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets                                  | Not Furnished | Value of Fixed Assets*<br>(₹ 4154494)   |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries                            | Furnished     | Type of Machinery: Give the following information for the types of machinery in use such as hoist, fans, drills, loaders, excavators, dumpers, haulages, conveyors, pumps, etc. Type of machinery Capacity of each type of machinery Unit (in which capacity is reported) No. of machinery Electrical Nonelectrical (specify) Used in opencast underground (specify) AIR COMPRESSOR 90.000 CUM/MN 2 Non Electrical Opencast DUMPER 6.000 TONNE 3 Non Electrical Opencast FRONT END LOADER 70.000 CUM 2 Non Electrical Opencast WATER TANKER 10000.000 LITRE 1 Non Electrical Opencast |