# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR inspection REPORT

#### Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/VZNR/MN-A2/HYD Mine code : 40APR20045

(i) Name of the Inspecting : RK13 ) A.V.Ramesh Kumar

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Geologis

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri Asok Konda Nominated Owner.

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 23-SEP-23
(v) Prev.inspection date : 26-AUG-22

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : AVAGUDAM

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/536/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ANDHRA PRADESH
District : VIZIANAGARAM
Village : AVAGUDAM
Taluka : GARIVIDI

Post office : VEDULLAVALASA

Pin Code

FAX No. : 08952-282021

E-mail : montymor@rediffmail.com

Phone : 08952-282129

(f) Police Station : GARIVIDI
(g) First opening date : 08-MAR-68

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : M/S RBSSD & FN DAS

correspondance SREERAM NAGAR

GARIVIDI, VIZIANAGARAM DT.

3. (a) Lease Number : APR1283 (b) Lease area : 13.87

(c) Period of lease :

(d) Date of Expiry : 06-DEC-25

4. Mineral worked : MANGANESE ORE Main

14-MAR-22

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S RBSSD &FN DAS

M/S RBSSD &FN DAS PO

SHREERAMNAGAR DIST:VZIANAGARAM

VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA PRADESH

Phone: NIL. FAX: NIL

Owner : M/S RBSSD &FN DAS

M/S R B S S D & F N DAS T U

M S A R, DIST

VIZIANAGARAM. VIZIANAGARAM

ANDHRA PRADESH

Phone: 089522--- 2021 FAX : NIL

Agent : K.V.MAHESWARA RAO

SHREERAM NAGAR GARIVIDI RS

VIZIANAGARAM-535101

VIZIANAGARAM ANDHRA PRADESH

Phone: FAX:

Mining Engineer

Name : Shri Karna Ashok Reddy, Full Time

Qualification : B. Tech. (Mining)

Appointment/ : 01-JAN-21

Termination date

| 6. | Date of approval of Mining | : | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 12-FEB-04 |
|----|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|
|    | Plan/Scheme of Mining      |   | Modif.approved Mining Scheme   | 31-JAN-06 |
|    |                            |   | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 06-FEB-09 |
|    |                            |   | Modif.approved Mining Scheme   | 04-APR-11 |
|    |                            |   | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 17-DEC-13 |
|    |                            |   | MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016  | 19-SEP-16 |
|    |                            |   | FMCP under 23C(1)              | 25-NOV-19 |

MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item  | Proposals | Actual work  | Remarks  |
|--------|---|-----------|--|--|
| 1a     | Backlog of<br>previous year   | Nil       | None   | No comments  |
| 1b     | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2                          |           | The entire potentially mineralised zone was explored under gl level of exploration.      |  |
| 1c     | Exploration<br>Agencies and<br>Expenditure in<br>lakh rupees<br>during the year | Nil       | Nil  | None   |
| 1d     | Balance area to<br>be explored to<br>bring Geological<br>axis in 1 or 2         | Nil       | None   | None   |
| 1e     | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20  |           | The reserves as on 1.4.2023 are 7,11,020 tonnes  | No comments to offer.                                |
| 1f     | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>geology,<br>exploration etc  |           | The entire potentially mineralised area has been explored under G1 level of exploration. | The lease area falls under eastern ghat mobile belt. |

## Development :

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals   | Actual work  | Remarks       |
|--------|--|---|--|---------------|
| 2a     | Location of development w.r.t.lease area                                   | It was proposed to develop between gridlines N 2030974 N 2031174 to E 767983 to E768183 | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No deviation. |
| 2b     | Separate benches<br>in topsoil,<br>overburden and<br>minerals (Rule<br>15) | It was proposed to have seperate bechyes in OB and ore.                                 | Seperate becnhes in OB and ore were observed during the inspection.In OB there are 5 benches and in ore there are 2 benches. | No deviation. |
| 2c     | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio   | 1:3.17  | 1:3.47   | No comments   |
| 2d     | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3                                       | Nil   | Nikl   | None          |

| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3  | meters | 20.285 cubic meters  | No comments           |
|----|--|--------|--|-----------------------|
| 2f | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>development of<br>pit w.r.t. type<br>of deposit etc |        | The lessee is carrying out development as proposed in the approved document. | No deviation noticed. |

## Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals           | Actual work      | Remarks     |
|--------|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 3a     | Number of pit proposed for production   | One                 | One No deviation | No comments |
| 3b     | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed   | 41,316.20<br>tonnes | 5,831 tonnes     | No comments |
| 3с     | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production   | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3d     | Quantity of mineral reject generation   | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3e     | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.                               | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3f     | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.   | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3g     | Grade of sub<br>grade mineral<br>generation   | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3h     | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM                                     | Nil                 | None             | None        |
| 3i     | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | Nil                 | Nil              | None        |

| 3j | Provision of<br>drilling and<br>blasting in<br>mineral benches   | It was proposed to carryout excavating the mineral by way of hydraulic excavator without any blasting |  | No deviation |
|----|--|---|--|--------------|
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches   | It was proposed utilise excavator and tipper for excavating and Transporting the mineral.             | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No comments  |
| 31 | Whether height<br>of benches in<br>overburden and<br>mineral suitable<br>for method of<br>mining proposed<br>in MP/SOM | It was proposed to maintain 6 meters for each bench.  | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No deviation |
| 3m | Total area<br>covered under<br>excavation/pits   |   | 7.751 ha   | No comments  |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.  | 1:3.17  | 1:3.47   | No comments  |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year   |   | 11.33 ha   | No comments  |
| 3p | Production of<br>ROM mineral<br>during the last<br>five year period<br>as applicable                                   |   | 2018-19 - 49,990.00<br>2019-20 - 49,930.00<br>2020-21 - Not in<br>possession<br>2021-22 - Not in<br>possession<br>2022-23- 5,831.0 | No comments. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|        |      |           |             |         |

| 4a         | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)             | It was proposed to dump OB separately.               | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No comments   |
|------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| 4b         | Location of<br>topsoil, OB and<br>mineral reject<br>dumps                    | N 2031074 -<br>N 2031274 &<br>E 767883 -<br>E 768083 | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No comments   |
| 4c         | Number of dumps<br>within lease<br>area and outside<br>of lease area         | Two  | Two  | No deviation  |
| 4d         | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)                        | The proposed dumps are proposed beyond UPL           | Same was noticed during the inspection.  | No comments   |
| 4e         | Number of active and alive dumps.  | Two  | two  | No deviation. |
| 4f         | Number of dead dumps.  |  |  | No comments   |
| <b>4</b> g | Number of dumps established.   |  |  | No comments   |
| 4h         | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.           |  | Retaining wall & garland drain were constructed with following dimensions 460x1 x1.5 meters. | No comments   |
| 4i         | Length of<br>Retaining wall<br>or garland drain<br>all along dumps           |  | Retaining wall & garland drain were constructed with following dimensions 460x1 x1.5 meters. | No comments   |
| <b>4</b> j | Number of settling ponds   | Nil  | Nil  | None          |
| 4k         | Specific<br>comments of<br>inspecting<br>officer on waste<br>dump management |  | None   | None          |

## Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|        |      |           |             |         |

| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Nil | None | None                             |
|----|---|-----|------|----------------------------------|
| 5b | Area under<br>backfilling of<br>mined out area  | Nil | None | None                             |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)     | Nil | None | None                             |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated  | Nil | None | None                             |
| 5e | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on<br>backfilling and<br>reclamation etc.        | Nil | None | No area matured for reclamation. |

#### Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals | Actual work              | Remarks     |
|--------|--|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|
| ба     | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Yes       | yes                      | No comments |
| 6b     | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .                                     | 0.40 ha   | 0.40 ha                  | No comments |
| 6c     | afforestation done (ha).   | 0.40 ha   | 0.40 ha                  | No comments |
| 6d     | No. of saplings planted during the year                                      | 100       | 200                      | No comments |
| 6e     | Cumulative no .of plants   |           | 2100                     | No comments |
| 6f     | Any other method of rehabilitation   |           | None                     | None        |
| 6g     | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year                              |           | No information available | None        |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D |      | None | None |
|----|--|------|------|------|
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings          | None | None | None |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area         | None | None | None |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir  | None | None | None |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.                   | None | None | None |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation                                   | None | None | None |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)                       | None | None | None |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation                      | None | None | None |

| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)  | Yes | all the parameters are within permissiblel limits | No comments |
|----|---|-----|---|-------------|
| 6q | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officers on PMCP<br>compliance and<br>progressive<br>closure<br>operations etc. |     | None  | No comments |

### Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals  | Actual work                             | Remarks     |
|--------|--|--|---|-------------|
| 7a     | ROM Mineral<br>dispatch or<br>grade-wise<br>sorting within<br>lease area               | Manual screening & sorting of the manganese ore from the ROM has been proposed | Same was noticed during the inspection. | No comments |
| 7b     | Method of grade-<br>wise mineral<br>sorting i.e.<br>manual or<br>mechanical.           | Manual screening & sorting of the manganese ore from the ROM has been proposed | Same was noticed during the inspection. | No comments |
| 7c     | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.  | Nil  | None                                    | None        |
| 7d     | Any beneficiation process at mines .   | None   | None                                    | None        |
| 7e     | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | None   | None                                    | None        |

#### Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|        |      |           |             |         |

| 8a     | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)   | None  | None  | None        |
|--------|---|---|---|-------------|
| d8     | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil  | None  | None  | None        |
| 8c     | Separate dumps<br>for overburden,<br>waste rock,<br>rejects and<br>fines (Rule 33)                          | It was<br>proposed to<br>have separate<br>dumps for the<br>OB | Same was noticed during the inspection.   | No comments |
| 8d     | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use           | Nil   | None  | None        |
| 8e     | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Nil   | None  | None        |
| 8f     | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)                    |   | There is no vegetation in the lease area other than the afforestation taken up by the lessee. | No comments |
| 8g     | Survival rate   |   | 80%   | No comments |
| 8h     | Water sprinkling<br>on roads to<br>control airborne<br>dust   | proposed to   | Same was noticed during the inspection.   | No comments |
| 8i<br> | General remarks<br>of inspecting<br>officer on<br>aesthetic beauty<br>in and around<br>mines area           |   |   | No comments |

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals  | Actual work                               | Remarks     |
|--------|---|--|---|-------------|
| 9a     | Status of<br>submission of<br>Monthly and<br>Annual returns                                   |  | Yes being submitted in time               | No comments |
| 9b     | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager           | As per the Annual return 2022-23, Sri Md. Amzad and Sri B. Ramana Murthy are appointed as Mining Engineer and Geologist                          | Same was confirmed during the inspection. | No comments |
| 9c     | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. |  | Same was noticed during the inspection.   | No comments |
| 9d     | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>afforestation  | 200  | Same was noticed during the inspection.   | No comments |
| 9e     | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)                   |  | Nil                                       | None        |
| 9f     | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>ROM stock and/or<br>graded ore                             |  | Same was noticed during the inspection.   | No comments |
| 9g     | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost                   | The ex-mine price as per Annual returns Submitted during the year 2022-23 for less than 25% was Rs.1,816/ & 25% to 35% - Rs. 5,272.83/-per tonne | Same was confirmed during the inspection. | No comments |

| 9h | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>fixed assets |  | It was not scrutinised.                 | No | comments |
|----|---|--|---|----|----------|
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | 1.Shovel (Hydraulic) - 1 2. Tippers - 5 3.Watertanker- 1 4. Generator - 1 5 Pumps -2 | Same was noticed during the inspection. | No | comments |

PAGE : 13

| Details of violations observed during violation pointed out | current inspection and compliance position of |
|---|---|
| Violation observed  | Show couse position                           |

| Violation observed |           |               | Show couse position |                         |  |
|--------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rule NO.           | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO.            | Issued on Compliance on |  |

Date :

(A.V.Ramesh Kumar)

Indian Bureau of Mines