MCDR-MifLoLST/5/2022-BBS-IBM_RO_BBS INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

1/20391/2023

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No : ORI/DOL/SNG/MCDR-5/BBS-12/5/0 Mine code : 380RI13014

(i) Name of the Inspecting: SKM1) SANJIB KUMAR MOHAPATRA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Senior Mining Geologist

(iii) Accompaning mine : Sri Omprakash R Khelkar (Agent), Sri Debi Prasad Mish

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 14/09/2023
(v) Prev.inspection date : 01/06/2022

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : LANJIBERNA LIMESTONE & DO

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/393/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ORISSA

District : SUNDARGARH
Village : LANJIBERNA

Taluka : Kutra

Post office : LANJIBERNA

Pin Code : 770023

FAX No. : 06624-220933

E-mail : ocl_rajgangpur@dalmiacement

Phone : 06624-221212

(f) Police Station : RAJGANGPUR
(g) First opening date : 12/05/1951

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : VILL/PO-LANJIBERNA

correspondance DIST-SUNDERGARH

ODISHA

3. (a) Lease Number : ORI0016 (b) Lease area : 1002.01

(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 20/08/1998

4. Mineral worked : DOLOMITE Associated

LIMESTONE Main

1/20391/2023

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : ORISSA CEMENT LIMITED

AT/PO RAJGANGPUR SUNDARGARH ORISSA Phone: 20133, 20733 FAX : 20933, 20133

Owner : SHRI AMANDEEP

M/S OCL INDIA LIMITED RAJGANGPUR ODISHA SUNDARGARH ORISSA Phone: 06624220933

FAX :

Agent : SAROJ KUMAR ROUT

LANJIBERNA RAJGANGPUR ODISHA SUNDARGARH ORISSA

Phone: 06624676403

FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name : B. JAGADEESH KUMAR, Full Time

Qualification : AMIE in Mining Engg

Appointment/ : 28/11/2015

Termination date

Geologist

Name : DR. UDAYNATH SAHOO, Full Time Qualification : M.Sc, M. Phil, Ph. D (Geology)

Appointment/ : 20/10/2010

Termination date

Manager

Name : SUBRAT MISHRA

Qualification : Diploma in Mining Engg. & FCC

Appointment/ : 02/05/2019

Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 27/01/2005 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 27/11/2005 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 01/02/2007 Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 07/05/2009 FMCP under 23C(1) 08/02/2012 Modif.of approved Mining Plan 11/01/2013 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 25/03/2015

MINING Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 25/03/2015
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 25/01/2018
Modif.approved Mining Scheme 28/08/2019
MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 14/11/2019

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	During the year 2022-23, No BH have been proposed.	During the year 2022-23 , 08 Holes ,945 mts have been drilled.	During the year 2022-23, 08 Holes, 945 mts have been drilled.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Nil	7.0 Ha have been converted to G2 level of exploration.	7.0 Ha have been converted to G2 level of exploration.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Nil	Natural Resources Exploration & Mining Pvt Limited, Bhubaneswar.	Natural Resources Exploration & Mining Pvt Limited, Bhubaneswar.
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	470.935 Hects	Nil	No proposal during the reporting year. However the lessee has proposed the exploration program in the submitted modification of mining plan during 2023-24 & 2024-25 to convert the entire mineralised area to G1 level of exploration.
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20		Limestone 95.518 Million Ton Dolomite 1.96 Million Ton as on 01.04.2023	Limestone 95.518 Million Ton Dolomite 1.96 Million Ton as on 01.04.2023

1f	General remarks
	of inspecting
	officers on
	geology,
	exploration etc

There were no proposal for any exploration proposal for activities during the exploration reporting year. However activities during the lessee has drilled a the reporting total of 8 nos of bore year. However the hole, 945 mtrs and lessee has drilled converted 7.0 Ha of area a total of 8 nos to G2 level of exploration.

There were no proposal for any of bore hole, 945 mtrs and converted 7.0 Ha of area to G2 level of exploration.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	2463016N- 2463834N 242492E- 244246E	2463016N-2463834N 242492E-244246E	Development carried out within the proposed area during the reporting year.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	No Proposal for top soil generation. Separate benches were proposed for Ore & OB excavation.	No Proposal for top soil generation. Separate were done for Ore & OB excavation during the reporting year.	No Proposal for top soil generation. Separate were done for Ore & OB excavation during the reporting year.
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.79	1:0.60	1:0.60
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No Proposal for top soil generation.	Nil	No Proposal for top soil generation during the reporting year.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	29,97,500	13,01,359	Less waste generated than proposal due to less production of limestone compared to proposal.

1/20391/2023

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	02 Pits (Q1-3 & 4-5) and Q 2-6	02 Pits (Q1-3 & 4-5) and Q 2-6	02 Pits (Q1-3 & 4-5) and Q 2-6. Lessee has carried out production with the proposed pit, but could not achieved the proposed target during the reporting year.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	Limestone 94,96,750 Tons Dolomite 74,750 MT	Limestone 54,63,339 Tons Dolomite 3,852 tones Less production due to less market demand of cement in captive cement plant.	Limestone 54,63,339 Tons Dolomite 3,852 tones Less production due to less market demand of cement in captive cement plant.
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	100% recovery proposed.	100% recovery carried out.	100% recovery carried out.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	5,57,000 Tons	5,08,805 tons carried out during the reporting year.	5,08,805 tons carried out during the reporting year.
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	Threshold Value, CaO>34 % & MgO<5 .	Threshold Value, CaO>34 % & MgO<5 .	Threshold Value, CaO>34 % & MgO<5 .
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	Nil	Nil	Nil
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	NA	Not applicable	Not applicable
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Fully Mechanized method	Mechanized method	Mechanized method

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	Nil	Nil	Nil
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Yes, Jack Hammer 115 mm dia Drills. Blasting proposed with LD explosives of 25mm dia. & 83mm dia. with detonating cord & milli second delay detonators.	Yes, Jack Hammer 115 mm dia Drills. Blasting proposed with LD explosives of 25mm dia. & 83mm dia. with detonating cord & milli second delay detonators.	Yes, Jack Hammer 115 mm dia Drills. Blasting proposed with LD explosives of 25mm dia. & 83mm dia. with detonating cord & milli second delay detonators.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Yes, Shovel and Dumper combination proposed.	Yes, Shovel and Dumper combination carried out.	Yes, Shovel and Dumper combination carried out.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Bench height proposed is 8 m & width is 12m.	The height of the bench is suitable for the method of mining proposed in review of mining plan.	The height of the bench is suitable for the method of mining proposed in review of mining plan.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	166.187 Ha	152.01 hectares till 31.03.2023.	152.01 hectares till 31.03.2023.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:0.79	1:0.60	1:0.60
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	263.277 ha (End of Review Period 2020-25 MP)	235.78 ha as on 01.04.2023	235.78 ha as on 01.04.2023

3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last	2017-18 : Limestone =	2017-18 : Limestone=		2017-18 : Limestone=
	five year period as applicable		41,50,721.000 MT Dolomite = 5572.000		41,50,721.000 MT Dolomite = 5572.000
		2018-19 :	2018-19 :		2018-19 :
		Limestone = 44,92,750	Dolomite =	47,099	Limestone= 39,47,099
		Dolomite= 46,150	43,664		Dolomite = 43,664
		,	2019-20		,
		2019-20	Limestone =		2019-20
		Limestone =	44,05,692		Limestone =
		65,01,250 Dolomite=	Dolomite = 17,331		44,05,692 Dolomite =
		78,950	17,551		17,331
		·	2020-21 :		·
		2020-21 :	Limestone=		2020-21 :
		Limestone =	60,99,957 MT		Limestone=
		85,00,000 MT			60,99,957 MT
		Dolomite= 79,150 MT	22,991		Dolomite = 22,991
		79,130 MI	2021-22 :		22,991
		2021-22 :	Limestone=		2021-22 :
		Limestone =	57,55,505 MT		Limestone=
		89,99,750 MT	Dolomite =		57,55,505 MT
		Dolomite=	7,480 MT		Dolomite =
		78,550 MT	2022 22 .		7,480 MT
		2022-23 :	2022-23 : Limestone=		2022-23 :
		Limestone =	54,63,339 MT		Limestone=
		94,96,750 MT			54,63,339 MT
		Dolomite=	3,852 MT		Dolomite =
		74,750 MT			3,852 MT

1/20391/2023

General remarks of inspecting officers on etc.

proposed for and dolomite during the reporting year. The lessee has carried out within the proposed location but could not achieved the targeted production during the reporting year. However, in view of the same the lessee has submitted a modified proposal and the same is

under process of approval.

The lessee has The lessee has proposed for production for both production for limestone and dolomite method of mining both limestone during the reporting year. The lessee has carried out the production proposal well within the proposed location but could not achieved the targeted the production production during the proposal well reporting year. However, in view of the same the lessee has submitted a modified proposal and the same is under process of approval.

The lessee has proposed for production for both limestone and dolomite during the reporting year. The lessee has carried out the production proposal well within the proposed location but could not achieved the targeted production during the reporting year. However, in view of the same the lessee has submitted a modified proposal and the same is under process of approval.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks 4a Separate dumping Separate Separate stacking of Separate stacking of topsoil, OB stacking of mineral reject are being of mineral reject and mineral mineral reject proposed temporarily, are being proposed rejects (Rule are being which have been temporarily, which 32,33) subsequently blended proposed have been temporarily, with the high grade subsequently which will be material and sold out blended with the subsequently during the reporting high grade blended with year. There is no material and sold the high grade generation of Top soil out during the material and during the year. reporting year. sold out. There is no There is no generation of Top proposal for soil during the generation of year. Top soil during the year. 4b Location of i) Dump 5N: Dump 5 N has been Dump 5 N has been topsoil, OB and 244601Ecarried out as per the carried out as per mineral reject 245090E & proposal. Whereas Dump the proposal. dumps 2463705N-7N could not be carried Whereas Dump 7N 2463905N, out as per the proposal, could not be ii) Dump 7N as the lessee could not carried out as per Extension: able to get the surface the proposal, as 245475Eright of the proposed the lessee could 245692E & location. However, in not able to get view of the above reason 2463771Nthe surface right of the proposed 2463851N the lessee submitted modification of RoMP location. However, with a revised proposal in view of the of dumping and above reason the production also, where lessee submitted alredy surface right modification of have been acquired. RoMP with a revised proposal of dumping and production also, where alredy surface right have been acquired. 4c Number of dumps 10 - Inactive 10 - Inactive 10 - Inactive 01 - Active 01 - Active (within 01 - Active within lease area and outside (within lease lease area) (within lease of lease area area) area) 4d Outside UPL Location of Outside UPL Outside UPL dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)

4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	and alive	02 Nos active and alive dumps (one for dumping & one for relocation)	02 Nos active and alive dumps (one for dumping & one for relocation)
4f	Number of dead dumps.	No such proposal during the year.	Nil	10 nos dump already stabilised prior to the reporting year.
4 g	Number of dumps established.	No such proposal during the year.	Nil	10 nos dump already stabilised prior to the reporting year.
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	No proposal during the reporting year.	Nil	No proposal during the reporting year. Hence work done during the reporting yaer is NIL. However, retaining wall and garland drains are already exists along the dumps within the lease area.
41	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	No proposal during the reporting year.	Nil	No proposal during the reporting year. Hence work done during the reporting yaer is NIL. However, retaining wall and garland drains are already exists along the dumps within the lease area.

1/20391/2023

4j Number of settling ponds

No proposal Nil. during the reporting year.

No proposal during the reporting year. Hence work done during the reporting yaer is NIL. However, settling retaining wall and garland drains and settling ponds are already exists along the dumps within the lease area.

4k

PAGE: 12

Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste 2463705Ndump management

Dump 5 N: 244601E-245090E & 2463905N,has been carried proposal. Whereas Dump 7N: 245475E-245692E & 2463771Nproposal, as the lessee to get the of the proposed location. However, in view of the above reason the lessee submitted modification of RoMP with a revised proposal of dumping and production also, where alredy surface right have been acquired. The precautionary measures were taken care off

Dump 5 N : 244601E-245090E & 2463705N-2463905N, has been carried out as per the proposal. Whereas Dump 7N: 245475E-245692E & out as per the 2463771N-2463851N could not be carried out as per the proposal, as the lessee could not able to get the surface right of the proposed location. 2463851N could However, in view of the not be carried above reason the lessee out as per the submitted modification of RoMP with a revised proposal of dumping and could not able production. The precautionary measures surface right were taken care off all around the waste dumps during the reporting year.

Dump 5 N: 244601E-245090E & 2463705N-2463905N, has been carried out as per the proposal. Whereas Dump 7N: 245475E-245692E & 2463771N-2463851N could not be carried out as per the proposal, as the lessee could not able to get the surface right of the proposed location. However, in view of the above reason the lessee submitted modification of RoMP with a revised proposal of dumping and production. The precautionary measures were taken care off all around the waste dumps during the reporting year.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

all

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	Nil	NIl.	No such proposal in the reporting year.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Nil	Nil	No such proposal in the reporting year.
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	Nil	Nil	No such proposal in the reporting year.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	Nil	Nil	No such proposal in the reporting year.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.		The re is no such proposal for soild waste management in the form of backfilling during the reporting year.	The re is no such proposal for soild waste management in the form of backfilling during the reporting year.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	As per Rule 26(2) Annual PMCP report to be submitted before 1st day of July of Every Year	Submitted within the stipulated time period for the reporting year.	Submitted within the stipulated time period for the reporting year.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Nil	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.

6c	afforestation done (ha).	proposed to be planted over	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	proposed to be planted over	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	proposed to be planted over	2620 saplings were planted within the ML area and cumulative total 3,35,236 nos of saplings were planted within the ML area and 3484 saplings were planted outside the ML area till 31.03.2023	2620 saplings were planted within the ML area and cumulative total 3,35,236 nos of saplings were planted within the ML area and 3484 saplings were planted outside the ML area till 31.03.2023
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Nil	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.

Compliance on No such No such proposal during No such proposal

6ј

		reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	proposal during the reporting year.	the reporting year.	during the reporting year.
•	5k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.
6	51	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.	No such proposal during the reporting year.
•	5m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	proposed to be planted over	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.
•	5n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	proposed to be planted over	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.	2620 nos of sapplings were planted over 0.7 ha. area with a survival rate of 70% during the reporting year.
6	50	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Afforestation.	Afforestation.	Afforestation.
•	gō	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	Water quality	Air, Noise and Water quality monitoring carried out as per the proposal during the reporting year.	Air, Noise and Water quality monitoring carried out as per the proposal during the reporting year.

General remarks бq of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

The lessee has carried out afforestation and carried out environmental monitoring afforestation and as per the proposal during the reporting year.

The lessee has environmental monitoring as per the proposal during the reporting year.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area		The ore produced from mine is being consumed at lessees Captive Plant at Rajgangpur at distance of 12 Km from the mine with Plant acceptable grade of ore is +38% CaO and -7% SiO2.	The ore produced from mine is being consumed at lessees Captive Plant at Rajgangpur at distance of 12 Km from the mine with Plant acceptable grade of ore is +38% CaO and -7% SiO2.
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanized	Mechanized	Blending of different grade minerals is being carried out in mines and supply to lessees Captive Plant at Rajgangpur at distance of 12 Km from the mine with Plant acceptable grade of ore is +38% CaO and -7% MgO.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	CaO > 38% & MgO < 7% CaO 34-38% & MgO < 7% The same will be blended as per the plant requirement.	CaO > 38% & MgO < 7% CaO 34-38% & MgO < 7% The same are being blended as per the plant requirement.	CaO > 38% & MgO < 7% CaO 34-38% & MgO < 7% The same are being blended as per the plant requirement.

7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	No beneficiation appart from dry crushing and screening.	No beneficiation appart from dry crushing and screening.
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	The ore produced from mine is being consumed at our Captive Plant at Rajganjpur. Plant acceptable grade of ore is +38% CaO and -7% MgO. Accordingly the produced ore are being transported belt conveyor (12 kms) to the ccaptive cement plant. No beneficiation process was proposed. Only crushing and screening is being carried out.	The ore produced from mine is being consumed at our Captive Plant at Rajganjpur. Plant acceptable grade of ore is +38% CaO and -7% MgO. Accordingly the produced ore are being transported belt conveyor (12 kms) to the ccaptive cement plant. No beneficiation process was proposed. Only crushing and screening is being carried out.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	No proposal.	Nil	The top soil quantity is negligible and occurring as small isolated pockets which gets mixed up with stone chips and not possible to separate it out.

d8	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal.	Nil	The top soil quantity is negligible and occurring as small isolated pockets which gets mixed up with stone chips and not possible to separate it out.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate stacking of OB, mineral reject was proposed and mineral reject of limestone ore were subsequentlybl ended with sale bale ore and sent to the lessees own captive plant was proposed.	Separate stacking of OB, mineral reject was carried out and mineral reject of limestone ore were subsequently blended with sale bale ore and sent to the lessees own captive plant.	Separate stacking of OB, mineral reject was carried out and mineral reject of limestone ore were subsequently blended with sale bale ore and sent to the lessees own captive plant.
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No such proposal during the reporting year.	Nil	Nil.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No such proposal during the reporting year.	Nil	Nil
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	2500 nos of sapling proposed to be planted during the year	2620 nos of sapling planted during the reporting year.	2620 nos of sapling planted during the reporting year.

8g	Survival rate	Not specified.	70% survival rate during the reporting year.	70% survival rate during the reporting year.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling by	Water sprinkling by permanent water sprinkler and water tanker over haul roads has been carried out during the reporting year to control the air borne dust particles.	Water sprinkling by permanent water sprinkler and water tanker over haul roads has been carried out during the reporting year to control the air borne dust particles.
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area		Lessee has planted 2620 no of saplings within the lease area and water sprinkling by water tanker over haul roads. Stationary sprinkler system has been fixed along the haul roads to control the airborne dust and to minimize the fugutive dust emmision. This sustains the asthetic beauty of the mine area.	Lessee has planted 2620 no of saplings within the lease area and water sprinkling by water tanker over haul roads. Stationary sprinkler system has been fixed along the haul roads to control the airborne dust and to minimize the fugutive dust emmision. This sustains the asthetic beauty of the mine area.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly returns and annual return for the reporting year have been submitted within the stipulated time period.	Monthly returns and annual return for the reporting year have been submitted within the stipulated time period.	Monthly returns and annual return for the reporting year have been submitted within the stipulated time period.

9b Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager

Mining Engineer, Mines Manager Engineer mentioned in the Annual reportingyear; inspection. 1. Sri Debi Prasad Mishra - Mines Manager 2. Sri Devendra Deshmukh-Mining Engineer 3. Dr Udayanath Sahoo -Geologist.

Employment of 1. Sri Debi Prasad Mishra - Mines Manager 2. Sri Devendra Geologist and Deshmukh- Mining 3. Dr Udayanath Sahoo -Geologist. return of the were present during the

1. Sri Debi Prasad Mishra - Mines Manager 2. Sri Devendra Deshmukh- Mining Engineer 3. Dr Udayanath Sahoo - Geologist. were present during the inspection.

9c Scrutiny of Annual return on Ha. land use pattern Waste Dumpfor area under pits, reclaimed Infrastructure area, dumps etc. & Road- 22.480

61.350 Ha На.

Pit- 151.95034 Pit- 151.95034tr Ha. Waste Dump- 61.350 Ha Infrastructure & Road-22.480 Ha.

Pit- 151.95034 Ha. Waste Dump- 61.350 Infrastructure & Road- 22.480 Ha.

97 Scrutiny of afforestation

area and cumulative the ML area and 3484 saplings were planted outside the ML area till

31.03.2023

2620 saplings 2620 saplings were Annual return on were planted planted within the ML within the ML area and cumulative total 3,35,236 nos of saplings were planted total 3,35,236 within the ML area and 3484 saplings were saplings were planted outside the ML planted within area till 31.03.2023

2620 saplings were planted within the ML area and cumulative total 3,35,236 nos of saplings were planted within the ML area and 3484 saplings were planted outside the ML area till 31.03.2023

9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	5,08,805 MT Mineral Reject generated during the reporting Year against proposed 5,57,000 MT	5,08,805 MT Mineral Reject generated during the reporting Year against proposed 5,57,000 MT	5,08,805 MT Mineral Reject generated during the reporting Year against proposed 5,57,000 MT
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore		6,505.230 Production: 54,63,339.000 Closing Stock: 6,505.230	ROM: - Opening stock: 6,505.230 Production: 54,63,339.000 Closing Stock: 6,505.230
		Process Ore:- Opening stock: 210789.305 Production: 54,63,339.000 Despatch: 53,69,983.000 Closing Stock: 3,04,145.305	Process Ore:- Opening stock: 210789.305 Production: 54,63,339.000 Despatch: 53,69,983.000 Closing Stock: 3,04,145.305	Process Ore:- Opening stock: 210789.305 Production: 54,63,339.000 Despatch: 53,69,983.000 Closing Stock: 3,04,145.305
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Ex. Mine Price- Rs.348.86/-	Ex. Mine Price-Rs.348.86/-	Ex. Mine Price-Rs.348.86/-
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		Value of Fixed Assets: Rs.2389728018 /-	Value of Fixed Assets: Rs.2389728018 /-

9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Shovel Hyd-	-
	mining		Shovel Hyd- 1.6 Cum: 1
	machineries	1.6 Cum: 1 No	No
	macrific reb	Shovel Hyd-	Shovel Hyd- 4.5 Cum: 4
		4.5 Cum: 4 Nos	
		Shovel Hyd-	Shovel Hyd- 6.0 Cum: 2
		6.0 Cum: 2 Nos	-
		Dumper- 35	Dumper- 35 tonne: 13 Nos
		tonne: 13 Nos	Dumper- 40 tonne: 16 Nos
		Dumper- 40	Dumper- 50 tonne: 10 Nos
		tonne: 16 Nos	Dozer- 3 Nos
		Dumper- 50	Motor Grader-2 No
		tonne: 10 Nos	Road Roller-2 No
		Dozer- 3 Nos	Explosive van- 2 No
		Motor Grader-2	Tractor- 1 No
		No	Water tanker- 3 Nos
		Road Roller-2	
		No	Back Hoe- 1 No
		Explosive van-	
		2 No	Pumps- 16 Nos
		Tractor- 1 No	
		Water tanker-	
		3 Nos	Others 11 Nos
		Wheel Loader-	
		1 No	
		Back Hoe- 1 No	
		Crane-:1 No	
		Pumps- 16 Nos	
		Crusher 4 Nos	
		Drill- 3 Nos	
		Others 11 Nos	

MCDR-MiFLOLST/5/2022-BBS-IBM_RO_BBS

PAGE: 23

1/20391/2023

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out					
Violat	ion observed	Show c	ouse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		

Date:

(SANJIB KUMAR MOHAPATRA)

Indian Bureau of Mines